## CHESSPROBLEMS.CA BULLETIN

#### Contents

1 Outstands	44
1 Originais 2	
2016 Informal Tourney 2	44
Hors Concours	47
2 Articles 2	51
Cornel Pacurar: Circe Assassin	
Series Retractors	51
Sébastien Luce & Adrian	
Storisteanu: Graffiti in	
Black	57
leff Coakley & Andrey Frolkin: The	
Flyis Effect 2	62
Arno Tüngler: Record Breakers I 2	82
Arno Tüngler: Series Canture and	
Win-a-niece Tasks	25
Adrian Storictoanu: A Duzzling Sido	.05
Acido	01
Aside	01
3 Last Page 3	04
Miervaldis (Walter) Jurševskis 3	04

Page

Editor:	Cornel Pacurar
Collaborators:	Elke Rehder,
Adriar	Storisteanu, Arno Tüngler
Originals:	originals@chessproblems.ca
Articles:	articles@chessproblems.ca
Correspondence:	bulletin@chessproblems.ca

ISSN 2292-8324

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

#### ISSUE 8 (APRIL 2016)





### 2016 Informal Tourney

ChessProblems.ca's annual Informal Tourney is open for series-movers of any type and with any fairy conditions and pieces. *Hors concours* compositions (any genre) are also welcome!

Send to: originals@chessproblems.ca.

#### 2016 Judge: TBD

#### 2016 Tourney Participants:

1.	Alberto Armeni	(ITA
2.	Eric Huber	(ROU
3.	Branko Koludrović	(HRV
4.	Sébastien Luce	(FRA
5.	Cornel Pacurar	(CAN
6.	Paul Răican	(ROU
7.	Adrian Storisteanu	(CAN
8.	Pierre Tritten	(FRA
9.	Arno Tüngler	(DEU



#### T271 (Eric Huber):

a)  $1.5b1 \times d2 2.5d2 - c4[+bPd2] 3.5c4 - a5[+bPc4] 4.Sa5 - c6[+bPa5] 5.Sc6 - b4[+bPc6] 6.Sb4 - d5[+bPb4] 7.Sd5 - f4[+bPd5] 8.Sf4 - g6 [+bPf4] 9.Sg6 - h8[+bPg6] Kc5 - d4[+wPc5] 10.Sh8 - f7 = b) 1.Sc3 - b1[+bPc3] 2.Sb1 \times d2 3.Sd2 - f3[+bPd2] 4.Sf3 - e5[+bPf3] 5.Se5 - c4[+bPe5] 6.Sc4 - a5[+bPc4] 7.Sa5 - c6[+bPa5] 8.Sc6 - b4 [+bPc6] 9.Sb4 - a2[+bPb4] Kc5 \times c4[+wPc5] 10.Sa2 - c1[+bPa2] =$ 

The solution of twin B) does not work in A) because of the absence of sentinel bPc3. Two different stalemates. (Author)

#### T272 (Alberto Armeni):

i) 1.Rf3-f4 2.Rc8-c6+ Bg2×e4-d6 3.Bh3-f5+ Kg6×f5-c2 4.Rf4-d4 Sa7×c6-b6 #
ii) 1.Rc8-c6+ Sa7×c6-a6 2.Se4-f6 3.Rf3-g3+ Kg6×f6-e4 4.Rg3-c3 Bg2×h3-e6 #

#### T273 (Alberto Armeni):

 $1.Ba1-c3\ 2.Bc3\times b4[Bc1]\ 3.Kb6\times a5[Ra1]\ 4.Ka5\times a4[Pa2]\ 5.Ka4-b5\ 6.Kb5-c4\ 7.Kc4-c3\ 8.Kc3\times c2[Sb1]\ 9.Bb4-c3\ Sb1-a3\ \#$ 

#### T274 (Paul Răican, Arno Tüngler):

1.Kc1-d1 17.Kc4×b3[Sb1] 35.Kc1×b1 55.Kd3-e3 & 1.Ra2-d2 Ke3×d2[Ra1] Z

**T277**: A very simple 'black line' of pawns. But in the end an AUW! C+ WinChloe. (Author)

**T278**: A noteworthy point is that setting a more sizeable stipulation (e.g., in trustworthy Popeye), for example ser-#99, the 23-move solution is still the one and only (no Alice in Wonderland monkey business). This results from a right combination of the unique path leading to a mate (leading to *anything*: though the problem is by no means a no-brainer, other choices arising during the solution lead to dead ends), and the characteristics of the locust – which not only is unable to lose a tempo whenever it feels like it, but also is compelled to capture, doing so in its weird style rather than the common, easily reversible, PWC piece exchange.

We're not talking conventional Canadianspring shrinkage here (*cpb*-2 p.27). This one leaves even the Winnipeg-winter variety behind. When stipulating a very very large number of moves, this is, for all intents and purposes, *infinite* shrinkage. The pinnacle of the beaver briefs. Move over, genau (*cpb*-5 pp.151-152), here comes the genau-free genau! (Author)

#### ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8



#### T275 (Sébastien Luce):

i) 1.Kc7×d6[+wPe5] 2.Kd6×e5[+wPf4] 3.Ke5×f4[+wPg3] 4.Kf4×g3[+wPh2] h2-h4 #
ii) 1.Kc7-b6 2.Kb6×c5[+wPd4] 3.Kc5×d4[+wPe3] 4.Kd4×e3[+wPf2] f2-f4 #
iii) 1.Kc7-c6 2.Kc6×d5[+wPe4] 3.Kd5×e4[+wPf3] 4.Ke4×f3[+wPg2] g2-g4 #

#### T276 (Sébastien Luce, Pierre Tritten):

 $1.Qe5-c7+1.Kd8\times c7-g3\ 2.Kg3\times g4-g3\ 3.Kg3\times f3-b7\ 4.Kb7\times a6-b8\ 5.Kb8\times a7-a6\ 6.Ka6\times b6-b5\ 7.Kb5\times c5-c4\ 8.Kc4\times d4-d3\ 9.Kd3\times e3-e2\ 10.Ke2\times f1-f6\ 11.Kf6\times g5-g4\ 12.Kg4\times h4-f3\ 13.Kf3\times g2-g1\ 14.Kg1\times h2-a2\ 15.Ka2\times a3-f8=$ 

#### T277 (Sébastien Luce):

#### T278 (Adrian Storisteanu):

 $1.Le5 \times c7-b8[+bLe5] 2.Lb8 \times b5-b4[+bLb8] 3.Lb4 \times c5-d6[+bLb4] 4.Ld6 \times d5-d4[+bLd6] 5.Ld4 \times b4-a4[+bLd4] 6.La4 \times d4-e4[+bLa4] 7.Le4 \times e5-e6[+bLe4] 8.Le6 \times d6-c6[+bLe6] 9.Lc6 \times e6-f6[+bLc6] 10.Lf6 \times c6-b6[+bLf6] 11.Lb6 \times f6-g6[+bLb6] 12.Lg6 \times b6-a6[+bLg6] 13.La6 \times a4-a3[+bLa6] 14.La3 \times a6-a7[+bLa3] 15.La7 \times a3-a2[+bLa7] 16.La2 \times a7-a8[+bLa2] 17.La8 \times a2-a1[+bLa8] 18.La1 \times c3-d4 [+bLa1] 19.Ld4 \times e4-f4[+bLd4] 20.Lf4 \times d4-c4[+bLf4] 21.Lc4 \times f4-g4[+bLc4] 22.Lg4 \times c4-b4[+bLg4] 23.Lb4 \times g4-h4[+bLb4] \#$ 

**T279:** AUW with capture and rebirth of the piece of promotion. A kind of Babson??!! (Authors)

**T274, T281 & T282:** New move-length records for this stipulation and Circe for the corresponding number of total units. See Paul Răican's article "Series help-self with Circe rules" in *Quartz* 42 (November 2015) (Authors)

T280: Solutions:





ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

Т279	Т280		Т282
Sébastien Luce	Cornel Pacurar	Т281	Branko Koludrović
Pierre Tritten	Adrian Storisteanu	Branko Koludrović	Arno Tüngler
ser-h# 3 $C+(8+9)$	) ser= $= 27 2$ Sols. C+ (1+4)	ser-hsZh8 108 C+ (12+1)	ser-hsZe7 139 $C+(15+1)$
Circe Turncoats	Parachute Circe	Circe	Circe
4 Solutions	🖄 🎐 = Flamingo		
	$\mathbf{I} = \text{Grasshopper-2}$		

#### T279 (Sébastien Luce, Pierre Tritten):

i) 1.c2-c1=S 2.Sc1-a2 3.Sa2 $\times$ b4[+wPb2=b] a3 $\times$ b4[+bSb8=w] #

ii) 1.f2-f1=B 2.Bf1×h3 3.Bh3-f5 Sd6×f5[+bBc8=w] #

iii) 1.h2-h1=R 2.Rh1×h3[+wPh2=b] 3.Rh3-h7 Kg8×h7[+bRa8=w] #

iv) 1.e2-e1=Q 2.Qe1×b4[+wPb2=b] 3.Qb4-b5 c4×b5[+bQd8=w] #

#### T280 (Cornel Pacurar, Adrian Storisteanu):

i) 1.FLf1-e7 2.FLe7-d1 3.FLd1-c7 4.FLc7-b1 5.FLb1-a7 6.FLa7-g6 7.FLg6-a5 8.FLa5-g4 9.FLg4-a3 10.FLa3×g2[+bFLg1] 11.FLg2-a3 12.FLa3-g4 13.FLg4-a5 14.FLa5-g6 15.FLg6-a7 16.FLa7-b1 17.FLb1-c7 18.FLc7-d1 19.FLd1-e7 20.FLe7-f1 (back home...) 21.FLf1-g7 22.FLg7-a6 23.FLa6-g5 24.FLg5×a4[+bFLa1] 25.FLa4×g3[+bG2g1] 26.FLg3×a2[+bG2a1] 27.FLa2×g1 [+bG2g1] ==

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{ii)} 1.FLf1-g7 \ 2.FLg7-a6 \ 3.FLa6-g5 \ 4.FLg5 \times a4[+bFLa1] \ 5.FLa4-g5 \ 6.FLg5-a6 \ 7.FLa6-g7 \ 8.FLg7-f1 \ (we are baaack...) \ 9.FLf1-e7 \ 10.FLe7-d1 \ 11.FLd1-c7 \ 12.FLc7-b1 \ 13.FLb1-a7 \ 14.FLa7-g6 \ 15.FLg6-a5 \ 16.FLa5-g4 \ 17.FLg4-a3 \ 18.FLa3 \times g2[+bFLg1] \ 19.FLg2-f8 \ 20.FLf8-e2 \ 21.FLe2-d8 \ 22.FLd8-c2 \ 23.FLc2-b8 \ 24.FLb8 \times a2[+bG2a1] \ 25.FLa2 \times g3[+bG2g1] \ 26.FLg3-a2 \ 27.FLa2 \times g1[+bG2g1] == \end{array}$ 

Two long-winded paths, to parachute the same black pieces but in a different order, lead to an identical stalemate. The wFL goes out of its way for the first capture – retracing its leaps all the way back for the rest of the action. Two extra flamingos, on a8 and g8, alter the paths: now two moves longer but without the introductory return trips, and just as convoluted (the long-legged flamingo does not move easily inside the small diagram cage). (Authors)

#### T281 (Branko Koludrović):

 $\begin{array}{l} 11. \mathsf{K}\mathsf{f8} \times \mathsf{g8}[+\mathsf{w}\mathsf{B}\mathsf{f1}] \ 28. \mathsf{K}\mathsf{f2} \times \mathsf{g3}[+\mathsf{w}\mathsf{S}\mathsf{g1}] \ 49. \mathsf{K}\mathsf{g5} \times \mathsf{f5}[+\mathsf{w}\mathsf{P}\mathsf{f2}] \ 69. \mathsf{K}\mathsf{e1} \times \mathsf{f2} \ 90. \mathsf{K}\mathsf{f5} \times \mathsf{e4}[+\mathsf{w}\mathsf{R}\mathsf{h1}] \\ 108. \mathsf{K}\mathsf{f8} \cdot \mathsf{g8} \ \mathsf{R}\mathsf{h1} \cdot \mathsf{h8} + \ 109. \mathsf{K}\mathsf{g8} \times \mathsf{h8}[+\mathsf{w}\mathsf{R}\mathsf{a1}] \ \mathsf{Z} \end{array}$ 

**T282 (Branko Koludrović, Arno Tüngler ):** 6.Kg2×h2[+wBc1] 16.Kd8×c8[+wBf1] 28.Kg1×f1 40.Kc8×b8[+wSg1] 57.Kb1×a2[+wRh1] 76.Ka6×a5[+wPa2] 95.Kb1×a2 115.Ka5×b4[+wRa1] 133.Kb7×b6 137.Ke6×f6[+wPf2] 139.Ke7-e8 Ra1-a8+ 140.Ke8-e7 Z

### **Hors Concours**

#### HC124

Sébastien Luce Adrian Storisteanu



ser-# 18 C+ (1+9) Enemy Sentinels No wK  $\mathbf{W} = \text{Locust}$ 



ser-# 26 C+ (1+9) Enemy Sentinels No wK  $\mathbf{I} = \text{Locust}$  HC126 György Bakcsi



s= 9 C+ (12+4)White UltraSchachZwang HC127 György Bakcsi



 $h=8 \qquad C+ (3+9) \\ Black must check \\$ 

HC124: Eiffel Tower



[Credit: Benh Lieu Song]

#### HC125: Louvre Pyramid



[Credit: Benh Lieu Song (detail)]

#### ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

#### HC124 (Sébastien Luce, Adrian Storisteanu):

#### HC125 (Sébastien Luce):

 $1.LO \times f4-g5(+e3)$  $2.LO \times e5-d5(+g5)$  $3.LO \times g5-h5(+d5)$  $4.LO \times f3-e2(+h5)$  $5.LO \times d3-c4(+e2)$  $6.LO \times d5 - e6(+c4)$  $8.L0 \times c3-d3(+b3)$  $7.LO \times c4-b3(+e6)$  $9.LO \times e4-f5(+d3)$  $10.LO \times d3-c2(+f5)$   $11.LO \times e2-f2(+c2)$  $12.LO \times f5-f6(+f2)$  (C+ Alybadix) 13.LO×f2-f1(+f6) 14.LO×f6-f7 15.LO×e6-d5(+f7) 16.LO×b3a2(+d5) 17.LO×d5-e6(+a2) 18.LO×e3-e2(+e6) 19.LO×e6e7(+e2) 20.LO×e2-e1(+e7) 21.LO×g3-h4 22.LO×h5-h6(+h4) 23.LO×h4-h3(+h6) 24.LO×h6-h7(+h3) 25.LO×h3-h2(+h7) 26.LO×h7-h8(+h2) #

#### HC126 (György Bakcsi):

#### HC127 (György Bakcsi):

1.Rd1-d5+ Sf6×d5 2.Bc1-f4+ Sd5×f4 3.Ra6-e6+ Sf4×e6 4.Ba7d4+ Se6×d4 5.Rf7-f5+ Sd4×f5 6.Bb4-d6+ Sf5×d6 7.Rq4-d4+ Sd6×e4 8.Be7-f6+ Se4×f6 = (C + A) (C + A)

HC128: La Géode



[Credit: coolmonfrere]

#### **HC128** Sébastien Luce



C+(1+9)ser-# 23 Enemy Sentinels No wK ⊯ = Locust 2 Solutions

#### HC129 Branko Koludrović Paul Răican Arno Tüngler



ser-hsZe7 122 C+ (13+1) Circe

HC130 György Bakcsi



C+(2+9)h = 8Black must check

#### HC131 György Bakcsi



C+(3+8)h = 7Black must check

#### HC128 (Sébastien Luce):

4.LO×d3-d4 5.LO×d5-d6(+d4) 6.LO×d4-d3(+d6) 7.LO×d6- [+wRh1] 75.Ka6×a5[+wPa2] 94.Kb1×a2 114.Ka5×b4[+wRa1] d7(+d3) 8.LO×d3-d2(+d7) 9.LO×c3-b4(+d2) 10.LO×c4- 116.Kb5×b6 120.Ke6×f6[+wPf2] 122.Ke7-e8 Ra1-a8+ 123.Ke8d4(+b4) 11.LO×e5-f6(+d4) 12.LO×f4-f3(+f6) 13.LO×f6- e7 Z f7(+f3) 14.LO×f3-f2(+f7) 15.LO×d4-c5(+f2) 16.LO×b4a3(+c5) 17.LO×c5-d6(+a3) 18.LO×g3-h2(+d6) 19.LO×d6- **HC130 (György Bakcsi):** c7(+h2) 20.LO×d7-e7(+c7) 21.LO×e2-e1(+e7) 22.LO×d2-c3 1.Rb5-b6+ Rb7×b6 2.Bf1-b5+ Rb6×b5 3.Rf5-c5+ Rb5×c5 23.LO×c7-c8(+c3) # II)  $1.LO \times e2-e1(+e3)$   $2.LO \times e3-e4$   $3.LO \times e5-e6(+e4)$   $4.LO \times e4-$  7.Ra7-c7+ Rd7 $\times c7$  8.Bc8-b7+ Rc7 $\times b7=$  $e_3(+e_6)$  5.LO×e6-e7(+e3) 6.LO×e3-e2(+e7) 7.LO×d2- (C+ Alybadix) c2(+e2) 8.LO×e2-f2(+c2) 9.LO×c2-b2(+f2) 10.LO×c3d4(+b2) 11.LO×d5-d6(+d4) 12.LO×d4-d3(+d6) 13.LO×d6- **HC131 (György Bakcsi):** d7(+d3) 14.LO×d3-d2(+d7) 15.LO×f4-g5(+d2) 16.LO×d2- 1.Sa8-b6+ Rb5×b6 2.Re6-d6+ Rb6×d6 3.Qa6-c6+ Rd6×c6 c1(+g5) 17.LO×b2-a3 18.LO×f3-g3(+a3) 19.LO×g5-g6(+g3) 4.Rf6-d6+ Rc6×d6 5.Sg4-f6+ Rd6×f6 6.Bh3-e6+ Rf6×e6  $20.LO \times g3-g2(+g6)$   $21.LO \times g6-g7(+g2)$   $22.LO \times g2-g1(+g7)$   $7.f \times e6+ Kd5 \times e6 =$ 23.LO×g7-g8 #

#### HC129 (Branko Koludrović; Paul Răican, Arno Tüngler):

4.Be6-d5+ Rc5×d5 5.Rd7-d6+ Rd5×d6 6.Bh3-d7+ Rd6×d7

(C + Alybadix)

HC134: Indian Theme, change of function. (Author)

HC135: C+ Alybadix





UltraSchachZwang



C+(8+12)h# 8 UltraSchachZwang

Ĭ

Å

È

HC134 Gerald Ettl

h# 2

b)  $ilde{\mathbf{g}} c5 \rightarrow g4$ 



HC135 György Bakcsi János Csák



h = 16C+(4+3)Black must check

#### HC132 (György Bakcsi, János Csák):

1.Ra6-a4+b2-b4  $2.Ra4\times b4+c2-c4$   $3.Rb4\times c4+d2-d4$  $4.\text{Rc4} \times \text{d4} + \text{e2-e4} \quad 5.\text{Rd4} \times \text{e4} + \text{f3-f4} \quad 6.\text{Re4} \times \text{f4} + \text{Kg4-h5}$ 7.Rf4×f5+ Kh5-h4 8.Rf5-h5+ Kh4-g4 9.Rh5-h4+ Kg4-f3 10.Rh4-h3+ g2-g3#

#### HC134 (Gerald Ettl):

W = Neutral Queen $\mathbf{k} =$ Neutral Bishop  $\mathbf{V} =$ Neutral Nightrider

a) 1.nNb5-h8 nBg3-d6+ 2.Kc5-b5 nBd6×b4# b) 1.nBg3-b8 nNb5-c7 2.Kg4-g3 nNc7×e3#

C+(2+7+3)

#### HC135 (György Bakcsi, János Csák):

1.Rg8-g6+ Kh6-h5 2.Rg6-h6+ Kh5-g5 3.Rh6-h5+ Kg5-f6 4.Rh5h6+ Kf6-e5 5.Rh6-h5+ Ke5-d6 6.Rh5-h6+ Kd6-c5 7.Rh6-h5+ Kc5-b6 8.Rh5-h6+ Kb6-a5 9.Rh6-h5+ Ka5×a6 10.Rh5-h6+ 1.h4×g3+ Kh2×g3 2.Rf4×g4+ Kg3-f3 3.Re4×e3+ Kf3×e3 Ka6-b5 11.Rh6-h5+ Kb5-c6 12.Rh5-h6+ Kc6-d5 13.Rh6-h5+  $Kg6 \times h6 =$ 

#### HC133 (György Bakcsi, János Csák):

4.Rg4-e4+ Ke3×d3 5.Re4×d4+ Kd3×c3 6.Rd4-c4+ Kc3×b3 Kd5-e6 14.Rh5-h6+ Ke6-f5 15.Rh6-h5+ Kf5-g6 16.Rh5-h6+ 7.Rc4×b4+ Kb3-a3 8.Rb4-a4+ Bc2×a4 #

HC136: White excelsior, black selfblock, (Author) white underpromotion. HC137: Switchback of white knight. (Author) Miniature.



A. Cbs. Ps3 &. Rob. Ps4 3. Cat. P\$54. Cs4. P. P16 5. Ca5. P. P. 7 6. Cb7. Pg8 . C! 7. Fb8. Cb6+ mat. No White King



.... Cd5 A. Rg8. Cf4 2. Rb7. C. Ph3 (openha/h8) 3. Phi . T+ . Cgl 4. Th7. Cél 5. Rab. Cg3 6. Jat. Cont (Cds?) 7. Fb7. Cb6 + mat. Switchback of white Knight. White King is accuracy

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

#### HC136 Jean Carf



No wK

HC140 György Bakcsi



Black must check

#### HC136 (Jean Carf):

1.Sc3-b5 c2-c3 2.Ka7-a8 c3-c4 3.Sb5-a7 c4-c5 4.Se3-c4 c5×d6 5.Sc4-a5 d6\*c7 6.Sa5-b7 c7-c8=S 7.Bh2-b8 Sc8-b6 #

HC137

Jean Carf È

h# 7.5

HC141

Ï

h = 10

György Bakcsi

János Csák

¢

 $\dot{\mathfrak{B}} = \text{Roval Dummv}$ 

ģ

¢

Ï

渲

C+(2+11)

Ï Ð

÷

Black must check

C+(2+5)

Ï

#### HC137 (Jean Carf):

1... Sb6-d5 2.Kd8-c8 Sd5-f4 3.Kc8-b7 Sf4×h3 4.h2-h1=R+ Sh3-g1 5.Rh1-h7 Sg1-e2 6.Kb7-a8 Se2-c3 7.Rh7-a7 Sc3-a4 8.Bf3-b7 Sa4-b6 #

#### HC138 György Bakcsi



HC139 György Bakcsi



h = 6C+(2+4)Black must check

#### HC138 (György Bakcsi):

1.f2-f1=Q+ Ra2-f2 2.Qf1-d1+ Rf2-e2 3.Qd1×d5+ Re2-e4 4.Qd5×f5+ Re4-f4 5.Qf5-h5+ Ra5×h5 = (C + Alybadix)

#### HC139 (György Bakcsi):

1.a2-a1=Q+ d2-d4 2.Q1-a5+ d4-d5 3.Qa5-c7+ d5-d6  $4.Qc7-e7+d \times e7 5.Rf8-f5+Ke5 \times f5 6.Ra8-f8+e \times f8=Q =$ (C + Alybadix)

#### HC140 (György Bakcsi):

 $1.Sa1-b3+c \times b3 2.Rh4-c4+b \times c4 3.Rb1-b5+c \times b5$ 4.Rh6-c6+ b×c6 5.Sd8-b7+ c×b7 6.Qh3-c8+ b×c8=Q = (C + Alybadix)

#### HC141 (György Bakcsi, János Csák):

1.Rc3-c7+ Kb7×c7 2.Rd6-d7+ Kc7×d7 3.Rh6-d6+ Kd7×d6 4.Rf5-d5+ Kd6×d5 5.Ra8-a5+ Bd2×a5 6.Rh8-d8+ Ba5×d8 7.Rg1-g5+ Bd8×g5 8.Rf4-d4+  $Kd5 \times d4$  9.Re3-d3+ Kd4×d3 10.Re2-d2+ Bg5×d2 = (C + Alybadix)



# Circe Assassin Series Retractors

### by Cornel Pacurar

"You cannot threaten a duck with a river" - Da'i Rashid Ad-Din Sinan ///

*Retreating and Ducking for Cover* (Cornel Pacurar - *Isometric, Pixlr* and *Matter* for iPhone, 2016)



Circe (and Ulysses) [*Die Schedelsche Weltchronik*, Hartmann Schedel, 1493, p. (041) XLI]

In Greek mythology, Circe is a goddess of magic (or sometimes a nymph, witch, enchantress or sorceress). By most accounts, Circe was the daughter of Helios, the god of the sun, and Perse, an Oceanid. [Wikipedia] A less-accustomed category of the *Retractors* genre, the *Series Retractor* is, undoubtedly, enjoying a resurgence of popularity right now. With a total output of only about 100 compositions and with so many untapped areas and much potential, this is certainly a welcome and encouraging development. Even though this short article focuses on an even smaller segment (series retractors employing the *Circe Assassin* fairy condition), one of its chief aims is, nevertheless, to further promote the popularization of the *Series Retractor* subgenre.

Like all Retractors, a Series Retractor is a chess composition which consists of two parts: the *retro* phase (or retroplay) and the *forward* phase. In the retro phase, either White or Black retracts a series of moves.

It may come as a surprise to many (at least to those who believe that the *Series Mover* is a relatively recent happening in chess composition), but the Series Retractor is not a twentieth-century invention. From the nineteenth-century we have **SR1** – published by Alexander H. Robbins on October 15, 1882, in the *St. Louis Globe-Democrat* newspaper (the good old days!) – with the following stipulation: *Black has made three successive moves, retrace the last two, then White to play and mate in two moves.* 

#### SR1

Alexander H. Robbins

St. Louis Globe-Democrat 1882 dedicated to W. E. Arnold



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

Black retracts the series -1.g4×Qf3 -2.f5×Sg4. As the first move in the three-move series played by black must have been Pf7-f5, white can now play *en passant* 1.g×f6+!, followed by 1...e7×f6+ 2.Qf3×f6# or 1...Kg7×g6 2.Qf3-f5#

Until 1970 only a few other series retractors were published (e.g. Karl Fabel, *The Fairy Chess Review* 10/1957, series-self-retrostalemate in 29 moves (no uncaptures); Carl Becker, *Frankfurter Notizen* 1965, -3b & h#1; Hans Kluver, *Aachener Nachrichten* 1969, -2w & ser-#2), but a number of series retractors published in 1970 in *Stella Polaris* (Theodor Steudel – who remains one of the most prolific composers of series retractors to date) and *feenschach* (Hansjörg Schiegl) sparked an interest in this subgenre.

1970 also brought the first Circe Series Retractors. **SR2** shows a very simple idea, demonstrating at the same time that bringing fairy conditions into the Series Retractors mix has certain potential. **SR2** solution:  $-1.Kg2 \times Rh1 - 2.Kf3 - Kg2 - 3.Kg3 \times Rf3(+wRh1) & 1.Kg3-h4 Rf3-h3#.$ 

More complex Circe Series Retractors ideas were successfully realized during the 1980's (Manfred Rittirsch) and 1990's (Gerard Ettl and, especially, Peter Wong – see *ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 3*), a few of those being included in the corresponding FIDE Albums.

At the beginning of the new millennium, Klaus Wenda was the first to experiment with another Circe flavour: Anticirce (*Die Schwalbe* 198, 12/2002). Finally, in 2013 the author of this article had introduced Circe Assassin into the small but beautiful world of Series Retractors.

As noted by Paul Răican in *Quartz* 36, June 2011, Circe Assassin was conceived and baptized by Romeo Bedoni in 1978, but the first Circe Assassin problem was only published in September 1993 in *Rex Multiplex*. Circe Assassin was first associated with a Retro genre (Proca Retractor) by Paul Răican in 2007, and the application of Assassin rebirths to Retro genres (Proca, Help, and Series Retractors) has been up to this point an almost exclusively Romanian affair - with compositions by either Romanian (Paul Răican, Vlaicu Crișan, Eric Huber) or Romanian-born (Adrian Storisteanu, Cornel Pacurar) Canadian composers.

SR2 Hansjörg Schiegl



-3b & h#1 Circe

**Retractor**: In a Retractor problem there are two phases: the retro phase (or retroplay) and the forward phase. In the retro phase, the two sides alternatively take back (retract) their moves. In the forward phase, there is a stipulation to satisfy. A typical full Retractor stipulation is "White retracts his last move and then checkmates in one move". One way to look at retractors is to consider they are fairy problems where the moves happen to be retractions. These problems have a retro-flavor because only legal last moves can be retracted, but they also have the usual, forward, combinatorial flavor because you have to pick the right retraction, the one that will allow e.g. to mate in one.

Series Retractor: In the retro phase, White or Black retracts a series of moves.

Circe Assassin: The Circe rebirth of a captured unit occurs even when the rebirth square is occupied - in which case the occupying unit is removed (it is "assassinated"). Hence a unit located on its rebirth square cannot be removed: its return eliminates the captor (who, in effect, commits "suicide"). A king is in check if it stands on the rebirth square of a piece that is threatened.

#### ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

First, let's take a look at a very simple non-series scheme:

#### SR3 Scheme



2 Solutions

#### The first solution is purely orthodox: I) -1.Kd8-e8 & 1.Kd8-c8 Ra1-a8#

second solution The incorporates in the retro play a Circe Assassin motif of significant importance for series retractors: active suicide. Black captured the white rook on its home-square a1, which was then reborn on the same square, eliminating in the process the capturing unit! II)  $-1.Ra8 \times Ra1(+wRa1)$ -bRa1) & 1.0-0-0 Ra1-a8#

SR4 and SR5 are the very first Circe Assassin Series Retractors, composed during two days of intense efforts for the Messigny 2013 fairy tourney. The forward stipulation for SR4 is CapZug in 1 move, and for **SR5** is CheckZug in 5 moves.

(see http://parryserieshub.chessproblems.ca/ for details regarding the *CapZug Family* of aims, an invention of the late Dan Meinking).

#### SR4

**Cornel Pacurar** Vlaicu Crișan Messigny 2013



 $-11 \le x \le z1$ Circe Assassin

SR5 **Cornel Pacurar** Paul Răican Messigny 2013 2<sup>nd</sup> Prize



SR6

**Cornel Pacurar** 

N. de

(2+1)

Phénix 2014

-8w & +z5Circe Assassin

**SR4**: -1.Bg6×f7(+bPf7,-wBf7) -2.Bb1-g6 -3.Bg6×f7(+bPf7, -wBf7) -4.Bc2-g6 -5.Bg6×f7(+bPf7,-wBf7) -6.Bd3-g6  $-7.Bg6 \times f7(+bPf7,-wBf7) - 8.Be4-g6 - 9.Bg6 \times f7(+bPf7,-wBf7) - 8.Be4-g6 - 9.Be4-g6 -$ -wBf7) -10.Bf5-g6 -11.Bg6×f7(+bPf7,-wBf7) & 1.Rd2×h2(+bPh7,-bBh7) xz

**SR5**: -1.Qb7×d7(+bPd7,-wQd7) -2.Qh1-b7 -3.Qb7×d7(+bPd7, -wQd7) -4.Qg2-b7 -5.Qb7×d7(+bPd7,-wQd7) -6.Qf3-b7 -7.Qb7×d7(+bPd7,-wQd7) -8.Qd5-b7 & 1.Ka8-b7! Rg3-g5! 2.Qg2-g4 Rg5-g7 3.Qg4-g6 Rg7-e7 4.Qg6-f7 Re7-e8 5.Qf7×e8(+bRa8) +z

The next five compositions (SR6–SR10) have similar stipulations: black retracts a series of moves, then white gives mate in one move. SR6 is my favourite. In the first solution, all black moves are played by the queen which committed suicide at f2, the mate being given by promoting to queen the white pawn strategically placed by the black queen during the retro phase at f7. The passive suicide of the black bishop at f2 is necessary so that the white queen is protected by its king. In the second solution, two black rooks do the groundwork, the double-check (wRf8 checks

#### SR7 **Cornel Pacurar** Adrian Storisteanu TT-121, SuperProblem 2014 Special Honourable Mention



http://Bulletin.ChessProblems.ca

÷



Hashashin [wikia] bKa8 both directly and via the threat to capture bRf5) mate is given, fittingly, via a promotion to rook, a white bishop being now required at f2 (wQf2 doesn't work as the black king would be in a check position – Qf2×Rf5(+bRa8,-bKa8)). **SR7** participated in a thematic tourney asking for mate by double check given by a single unit, something for which Circe Assassin is well suited. The judges Vlaicu Crişan and Eric Huber noted: "bB-bB Loshinski magnet four times – probably shown for the first time in a series Retractor Circe Assassin – a specialty of Canadian composers. The contents might seem not very deep at first glance, but it is fully satisfactory, with specific suicides and mates".

#### SR6:

**a)** -1.Qf7×Pf2(+wPf2,-bQf2) -2.Qf5×Pf7(+wPf2,-wPf2) -3.Qd7×Pf5(+wPf2,-bBf2) & 1.f7-f8=Q#

**b)** -1.Rf7×Pf2(+wPf2,-bRf2) -2.Rf5×Pf2(+wPf2,-bRf2)

-3.Rb7×Pf7(+wPf2,-wBf2) & 1.f7-f8=R#

#### SR7:

**a) l)** 1.Bb8×Ph2(+wPh2,-bBh2) 2.Bc7×Ph2(+wPh2,-bBh2) & 1.Sb4-a6#

**II)** 1.Be5×Ph2(+wPh2,-bBh2) 2.Bf4×Ph2(+wPh2,-bBh2) & 1.Sb4-d3#

**b) l)** 1.Bc7×Ph2(+wPh2,-bBh2) 2.Bd6×Ph2(+wPh2,-bBh2) & 1.Sa3-b5#

II) 1.Bd6×Ph2(+wPh2,-bBh2) 2.Be5×Ph2(+wPh2,-bBh2) & 1.Sa3-c4#

#### SR8 Cornel Pacurar

Adrian Storisteanu Variantim 2015



-4b & #1 2 Sol. Circe Assassin b)  $\textcircled{e} e5 \rightarrow f6$ 

#### SR9 Cornel Pacurar

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin 2015



(3+5)

-5b & #1 Circe Assassin **SR8** is similar to **SR7** but this time around the key actors are the black rooks. The first bR resurrected clears the path for the second, which follows along the same retro lines. Four pairs of suicidal rooks are uncaptured in this manner, for four double-check royal assassin mates. **SR9** shows the Seeberger theme and a very specific Circe Assassin checkmate.

#### SR8:

a) I) -1.Rc2×Pf2(+wPf2,-bRf2) -2.Rc6-c2 -3.Rc2×Pf2 (+wPf2,-bRf2) -4.Rc4-c2 & 1.Ke5-d5#
II) -1.Rg2×Pf2(+wPf2,-bRf2) -2.Rg6-g2 -3.Rg2×Pf2 (+wPf2,-bRf2) -4.Rg4-g2 & 1.Ke5-f5#
b) I) -1.Rd2×Pf2(+wPf2,-bRf2) -2.Rd7-d2 -3.Rd2×Pf2 (+wPf2,-bRf2) -4.Rd5-d2 & 1.Kf6-e6#
II) -1.Rh2×Pf2(+wPf2,-bRf2) -2.Rh7-h2 -3.Rh2×Pf2 (+wPf2,-bRf2) -4.Rh5-h2 & 1.Kf6-g6#

#### SR9:

-1.Sf4×Pg2(+wPg2,-bSg2) -2.Sh5-f4 -3.Rh2×Pg2(+wPg2, -bRg2) -4.Rh4-h2 -5.Ph3×Pg2(+wPg2,-bPg2) & 1.Kh6-g5#

#### **SR10 Cornel Pacurar** *Quartz 2015*



-3b & #1 Circe Assassin b) ≌d8→b8 c) ≌d8→h8

#### SR11 Cornel Pacurar Adrian Storisteanu Tehtäväniekka 2015



Circe Assassin

SR10 was the first Circe Assassin Series Retractor with three twins. SR11, asking for stalemate in one move in the forward

(4+8)

Assassins (from Arabic: Asasiyun) is the name used to refer to the medieval Nizari Ismailis.

Often characterized as a secret order led by a mysterious "Old Man of the Mountain", the Nizari Ismailis were an Islamic sect that formed in the late 11th century from a split within Ismailism, itself a branch of Shia Islam.

While "Assassins" typically refers to the entire medieval Nizari sect, in fact only a class of acolytes known as the *fida'i* actually engaged in assassination work. Lacking their own army, the Nizari relied on these trained warriors to carry out espionage and assassinations of key enemy figures, and over the course of 300 years successfully killed two caliphs, and many viziers, sultans and Crusader leaders. [Wikipedia]

Checkmate and Magazine are also words of Arabic origin. Bulletin has Latin roots.

phase, shows mutual bK-bQs clearances, BK switchbacks and retro Phoenix (the bQ in the diagram vanishes through unpromotion to a bP, only to be substituted with a suicidal bQ resurrected from the wP) whose motivation rests with black's at-the-time queen being, or not, in the right place relative to the bK. The construction of the stalemate position, though poor in specific uncaptures, is well delineated by the circe assassin condition. BQ's unpromotion must be done without uncapture (moves 1-3); black has the capability to resurrect a piece of its own (via unsuicide, move 6) - which does the necessary selfblocking (moves 4-5 and 7-8).

#### SR10:

- **a)** -1.Qd3×Pd2(+wPd2,-bQd2) -2.Qe2×Pd3(+wPd2,-wBd2) -3.Qe8-e2 & 1.Bd2-g5#
- **b)** -1.Rd3×Pd2(+wPd2,-bRd2) -2.Re3×Pd3(+wPd2,-wQd2) -3.Re4-e3 & 1.Qd2-b4#

**c)** -1.Bd5-f3 -2.Sf3×Pd2(+wPd2,-bSd2) -3.Be6×Pd5(+wPd2, -wQd2) & 1.Qd2-h6#

#### SR11:

-1.Kh1-g1 -2.Qg1-f1 -3.g2-g1=Q -4.Kg1-h1 -5.Kf1-g1 -6.Qg1×Pf2(+wPf2,-bQf2) -7.Qh1-g1 -8.Kg1-f1 & 1.Kf3-e2=

#### **SR12**

Adrian Storisteanu ChessProblems.ca Bulletin

2014



SR12 Solution:

Add 🖄 a1, a8, b3, b7, g8, then

 $-1.Qg1 \times Sg8(+bSg8,-wQg8)$  $-2.Qg5 \times Sg8(+bSg8,-wQg8)$ & 1.Qg1-c5 =

SR12a the retractor:





SR12b

SR12 was the first original series retractor published in the Bulletin (Issue 1). (In fact, the first *anyproblem* published here.) SR13 features RQ / QR assassin resurrections and echo stalemates - fairy, though only circesque.

**SR13** Adrian Storisteanu Problemskak 2015



-2w & =1(1+2)Circe Assassin 2 Solutions

#### SR13:

I)  $-1.Ra5 \times Pa7(+bPa7,-wRa7) -2.Rh5 \times Pa5(+bPa7,-wQa7)$ & 1.Qa7-e7= II)  $1.Qa5 \times Pa7(+bPa7,-wQa7)$   $2.Qe5 \times Pa5(+bPa7,-wRa7)$ & 1.Ra7-h7=

Problems **SR14** - **SR17** are original for the *Bulletin*.



SR15 Cornel Pacurar Original



-2b & h#1 Circe Assassin 2 Solutions SR16 Cornel Pacurar Original



 $\begin{array}{ccc} (2+1) & -2b \& h\#1 & (2+1) \\ & Circe Assassin \\ & 4 \text{ Solutions} \end{array}$ 

SR17 Cornel Pacurar Original



-2b & h#1 (3 Circe Assassin b)  $\stackrel{\bullet}{\textcircled{2}} c3 \rightarrow c5$ c)  $\stackrel{\bullet}{\textcircled{2}} c3 \rightarrow c8$ 

QRS resurrections in **SR14**, with a pretty, model (Bohemian retractor!?), though non-fairy stalemate. It proved impossible to add a B resurrection (which must take place prior to the Q one, the reborn B un-slip-sliding away through d8 on its way to some final destination)...

#### SR14:

-1.Qd8×Pd2(+wPd2,-bQd2) 2.Rd6×Pd2(+wPd2,-bRd2) 3.Rb6×Pd6(+wPd2,-bSd2) & 1.d6-d7 !=

The last three compositions included in this article, **SR15** - **SR17**, have the exact same stipulation: black retracts a series of two moves then helpmate in one move.

#### SR15:

 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{I} \ -1.Qa5 \times Pa2(+wPa2,-bQa2) \ -2.Qb4 \times Pa5(+wPa2,-wQa2) \\ \& \ 1.Kc4-c5 \ Qa2-d5 \# \\ \textbf{II} \ -1.Qa7 \times Pa2(+wPa2,-bQa2) \ -2.Qd4 \times Pa7(+wPa2,-wQa2) \\ \& \ 1.Kc4-c3 \ Qa2-b3 \# \end{array}$ 

SR16 combines four solutions without twining and SR17

has three twins (make sure you don't overlook the last one!).

#### SR16:

 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{I} \ -1.Kg4-f4 \ -2.Kf3 \times Sg4(+wSb1,-wQb1) \ \& \ 1.Kf3-e2 \ Qb1-d1 \# \\ \textbf{II} \ -1.Ke4-f4 \ -2.Kf3 \times Se4(+wSb1,-wQb1) \ \& \ 1.Kf3-g2 \ Qb1-h1 \# \\ \textbf{III} \ -1.Bg6 \times Sb1(+wSb1,-bBb1) \ -2.Bd3 \times Sg6(+wSb1,-wQb1) \ \& \ 1.Kf4-e4 \ Qb1 \times d3(Bc8) \# \\ \end{array}$ 

IV) - 1.Bf5×Sb1(+wSb1,-bBb1) -2.Bh3×Sf5(+wSb1,-wQb1) & 1.Kf4-g4 Qb1-e4#

#### SR17:

a) -1.Qf5×Pf2(+wPf2,-bQf2) -2.Qc2×Pf5(+wPf2,-wQf2) & 1.Kc3-d3 Qf2-d4# b) -1.Rg3×Pg2(+wPg2,-bRg2) -2.Rc3×Pg3(+wPg2,-wQg2) & 1.Kc5-d4 Qg2-d5# c) -1.Sf4×Pg2(+wPg2,-bSg2) -2.Sh3×Pf4(+wPf2,-wQf2) & 1.Sh3xf2(Qd1) Qd1-d7#

> Cornel Pacurar, Toronto, April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016

# Graffiti in Black

by Sébastien Luce & Adrian Storisteanu



Black In(k) Graffiti

(Cornel Pacurar - Isometric and Pixlr for iPhone, 2016)



Sébastien Luce & Adrian Storisteanu



Wall on the Rook on the Wall [Adrian Storisteanu, 1985]

#### ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

If it takes more than 5 minutes, it's not graffiti. — Mint&Serf (MIRF)

This article emerged in an ad-hoc burst of inspiration shared virtually (long-distance and late-at-night). It started with Sébastien looking *too* closely at a recent problem of Tadashi Wakashima:

**GR1 Tadashi Wakashima** F3276 *The Problemist* Jan. 2016



ser- $\neq$ 27 enemy sentinels  $\mathbb{Q}_{\approx}^{\ast} = \text{locust } (L)$ 

 $\begin{aligned} 1.L \times d2-c3 & 2.L \times d4-e5(+c3) & 3.L \times f4-g3(+e5) & 4.L \times e5-d6(+g3) \\ 5.L \times d3-d2(+d6) & 6.L \times e3-f4(+d2) & 7.L \times d2-c1(+f4) & 8.L \times f4-g5 \\ 9.L \times g3-g2(+g5) & 10.L \times g5-g6(+g2) & 11.L \times e4-d3(+g6) \\ 12.L \times c3-b3(+d3) & 13.L \times d3-e3(+b3) & 14.L \times b3-a3(+e3) \\ 15.L \times d6-e7(+a3) & 16.L \times e3-e2(+e7) & 17.L \times f3-g4(+e2) \\ 18.L \times e2-d1(+g4) & 19.L \times g4-h5 & 20.L \times g6-f7(+h5) & 21.L \times f2-f1(+f7) & 22.L \times g2-h3 & 23.L \times h5-h6(+h3) & 24.L \times h3-h2(+h6) \\ 25.L \times h6-h7(+h2) & 26.L \times h2-h1(+h7) & 27.L \times h7-h8 \neq. (Actually this one also works in a symmetrical setting – the bK on e8, with a slightly different solution.) \end{aligned}$ 

Sébastien noticed right away the possibilities available in

this setup: 'moving' the bPs around through the combined characteristics of locust and enemy-pawn sentinels, for a basic mate pattern (by necessity on the eighth line) requiring just two 'self'-blockings. His first attempts were directed, quite naturally for a records fan, towards a longer sequence: **GR2** below, with a pair of black Ls (one fully orthodox, the other turned on its head) – bookends holding the white L.

Ars longa. (Indeed — is it possible to go even farther?)

#### GR2 Sébastien Luce



ser- $\neq$ 39 enemy sentinels

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.Lxd2\text{-}c2(+e2)\ 2.Lxd3\text{-}e4(+c2)\ 3.Lxf4\text{-}g4(+e4)\ 4.Lxg2\text{-}\\g1(+g4)\ 5.Lxf2\text{-}e3\ 6.Lxe4\text{-}e5(+e3)\ 7.Lxd4\text{-}c3(+e5)\ 8.Lxc4\text{-}\\c5(+c3)\ 9.Lxe3\text{-}f2(+c5)\ 10.Lxe2\text{-}d2(+f2)\ 11.Lxc2\text{-}b2(+d2)\\12.Lxc3\text{-}d4(+b2)\ 13.Lxe5\text{-}f6(+d4)\ 14.Lxd4\text{-}c3(+f6)\\15.Lxc5\text{-}c6(+c3)\ 16.Lxc3\text{-}c2(+c6)\ 17.Lxd2\text{-}e2(+c2)\\18.Lxf2\text{-}g2(+e2)\ 19.Lxf3\text{-}e4(+g2)\ 20.Lxc6\text{-}b7(+e4)\\21.Lxb2\text{-}b1(+b7)\ 22.Lxc2\text{-}d3\ 23.Lxe2\text{-}f1(+d3)\ 24.Lxg2\text{-}h3\\25.Lxg4\text{-}f5(+h3)\ 26.Lxf6\text{-}f7(+f5)\ 27.Lxf5\text{-}f4(+f7)\ 28.Lxe4\text{-}\\d4(+f4)\ 29.Lxd3\text{-}d2(+d4)\ 30.Lxd4\text{-}d5(+d2)\ 31.Lxd2\text{-}\\d1(+d5)\ 32.Lxd5\text{-}d6\ 33.Lxf4\text{-}g3(+d6)\ 34.Lxd6\text{-}c7(+g3)\\35.Lxg3\text{-}h2(+c7)\ 36.Lxh3\text{-}h4(+h2)\ 37.Lxh2\text{-}h1(+h4)\\38.Lxh4\text{-}h5\ 39.Lxf7\text{-}e8(+h5)\neq.\end{array}$ 



Wall on the Rook on the Wall II [Adrian Storisteanu, 1999]

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

Now (surely) length (in itself) does not matter. Art (on its own) just might. Here's more (readily made) objets trouvés. The choices, quite unlike Duchamp's. Like, how about a pair of sunglasses? A pair of black diamonds?!

**GR3** Sébastien Luce **GR4** Sébastien Luce





GR3: 1.L×f3-g2(+e4) 2.L×e4-d5(+g2) 3.L×c4-b3(+d5) 4.L×d5-e6(+b3) 5.L×g4-h3(+e6) 6.L×g3-f3(+h3) 7.L×g2h1(+f3) 8.L×f3-e4 9.L×e6-e7(+e4) 10.L×e4-e3(+e7) 11.L×d4-c5(+e3) 12.L×e3-f2(+c5) 13.L×f4-f5(+f2) 14.L×d3c2(+f5) 15.L×f5-g6(+c2) 16.L×c2-b1(+g6) 17.L×b3-b4 18.L×c5-d6(+b4) 19.L×b4-a3(+d6) 20.L×c3-d3(+a3) 21.L×d6-d7(+d3) 22.L×d3-d2(+d7) 23.L×f2-g2(+d2) 24.L×g6-g7(+g2) 25.L×g2-g1(+g7) 26.L×g7-g8≠.

GR4: 1.L×f5-g6(+e4) 2.L×f6-e6(+g6) 3.L×e4-e3(+e6) 4.Lxe6-e7(+e3) 5.Lxe3-e2(+e7) 6.Lxc2-b2(+e2) 7.Lxe2f2(+b2) 8.L×d4-c5(+f2) 9.L×g5-h5(+c5) 10.L×g6-f7(+h5)  $11.L \times e7-d7(+f7)$  12.L  $\times d3-d2(+d7)$  13.L  $\times c3-b4(+d2)$ 14.L×c5-d6(+b4) 15.L×b4-a3(+d6) 16.L×d6-e7(+a3)  $17.L \times f7-g7(+e7)$  18.L×g4-g3(+g7) 19.L×g7-g8(+g3) $\neq$ .

(How about an intermediate diversion? Two echo mates realized with twins – **GR5:** a)  $1.L \times c3-d4(+b2) 2.L \times b2$ a1(+d4) 3.L×d4-e5 4.L×e3-e2(+e5) 5.L×c4-b5(+e2) 6.L×b3b2(+b5) (a first wL rundlauf) 7.L×c2-d2(+b2) 8.L×e2f2(+d2) 9.L×d2-c2(+f2) 10.L×f2-g2(+c2) 11.L×g3-g4(+g2) 12.Lxf4-e4(+g4) 13.Lxc2-b1(+e4) 14.Lxb2-b3 15.Lxb5b6(+b3) 16.L×b3-b2(+b6) (a second one) 17.L×b6-b7(+b2)

18.L×b2-b1(+b7) 19.L×e4-f5 20.L×f3-f2(+f5) 21.L×f5f6(+f2) 22.L×f2-f1(+f6) 23.L×g2-h3 24.L×g4-f5(+h3) 25.L×f6-f7(+f5) 26.L×f5-f4(+f7) 27.L×e5-d6(+f4) 28.L×f4g3(+d6) 29.L×d6-c7(+g3) 30.L×g3-h2(+c7) 31.L×h3h4(+h2) 32.L×h2-h1(+h4) 33.L×h4-h5 34.L×f7-e8(+h5) $\neq$ ; **b**) 1.L×f4-e4(+g4) 2.L×g4-h4(+e4) 3.L×e4-d4(+h4) 4.L×c4b4(+d4) 5.L×b3-b2(+b4) 6.L×b4-b5(+b2) 7.L×b2-b1(+b5) 8.L×c2-d3 9.L×d4-d5(+d3) 10.L×d3-d2(+d5) 11.L×c3b4(+d2) 12.L×b5-b6(+b4) 13.L×b4-b3(+b6) 14.L×b6b7(+b3) 15.L×b3-b2(+b7) 16.L×d2-e2(+b2) 17.L×b2a2(+e2) 18.L×e2-f2(+a2) 19.L×e3-d4(+f2) 20.L×d5-d6(+d4) 21.L×d4-d3(+d6) 22.L×d6-d7(+d3) 23.L×d3-d2(+d7) 24.L×f2-g2(+d2) 25.L×f3-e4(+g2) 26.L×g2-h1(+e4) 27.L×e4-d5 28.L×d2-d1(+d5) 29.L×d5-d6 30.L×g3-h2(+d6) 31.L×d6-c7(+h2) 32.L×b7-a7(+c7) 33.L×a2-a1(+a7) 34.L×a7-a8≠.)

#### **GR5** Sébastien Luce



#### **GR6** Adrian Storisteanu



ser- $\neq$ 34 enemy sentinels b) @≨b2→g4

ser- $\neq$ 22 enemy sentinels

It is now clear that, at one point, Sébastien has made yet another pas of the faux kind. Namely, deciding to show a couple of his sketches to Adrian... An arrow is quickly shot back with the reply e-mail-**GR6:** 1.L×c3-b4 2.L×e4-f4(+b4) 3.Lxe5-d6(+f4) 4.Lxe6-f6(+d6) 5.Lxf4-f3(+f6) 6.Lxe3d3(+f3) 7.L×d6-d7(+d3) 8.L×d3-d2(+d7) – the first self*block (weirdly) done*. 9.L×e2-f2(+d2) 10.L×d2-c2(+f2). Seemingly starting to bring a hurdle to g7: 11.L×f2-g2(+c2) 12.L×g3-g4(+g2) 13.L×g2-g1(+g4) 14.L×g4-g5 - oops, now must bring another P onto 'g' (and lift it to g7). 15.L×f6-



[Adrian Storisteanu, 2016]

e7(+g5) 16.L×b4-a3(+e7) – turns out that it was all for the second self-block's sake... The real finale in 'g': 17.L×f3g3(+a3) 18.L×g5-g6(+g3) 19.L×g3-g2(+g6) 20.L×g6g7(+g2) 21.L×g2-g1(+g7) 22.Lg1×g7-g8≠.

As you'd expect, a graphical dedication follows swiftly:

**GR7** Sébastien Luce





ser-≠24 enemy sentinels

1.L×d3-e4 2.L×f3-g2(+e4) 3.L×f2-e2(+g2) 4.L×d2-c2(+e2) 5.L×e4-f5(+c2) 6.L×f4-f3(+f5) 7.L×f5-f6(+f3) 8.L×f3f2(+f6) 9.L×g2-h2(+f2) 10.L×e5-d6(+h2) 11.L×d4-d3(+d6) 12.L×d6-d7(+d3) 13.L×d3-d2(+d7) 14.L×e3-f4(+d2) 15.L×d2-c1(+f4) 16.L×f4-g5 17.L×f6-e7(+g5) 18.L×e2e1(+e7) 19.L×f2-g3 20.L×g5-g6(+g3) 21.L×g3-g2(+g6) 22.L×g6-g7(+g2) 23.L×g2-g1(+g7) 24.L×g7-g8≠.

Next, Adrian (evidently just as inspired) proposes a series of very visual art pieces with chess pieces. Their style belongs to what could be best described as The More-or-Less Symmetrical School of Applied Art.

GR8: 1.Lxe3-e4 2.Lxe5-e6(+e4) 3.Lxe4-e3(+e6) 4.Lxe6e7(+e3) 5.L×e3-e2(+e7). *Now breaking the symmetry:* 6.L×d2-c2(+e2) 7.L×c3-c4(+c2) 8.L×e2-f1(+c4) 9.L×f2-f3 10.L×f4-f5(+f3) 11.L×f3-f2(+f5) 12.L×c2-b2(+f2) 13.L×f2g2(+b2) 14.L×b2-a2(+g2) 15.L×g2-h2(+a2) 16.L×g3f4(+h2) 17.L×f5-f6(+f4) 18.L×f4-f3(+f6) 19.L×f6-f7(+f3) 20.L×f3-f2(+f7) 21.L×d4-c5(+f2) 22.L×c4-c3(+c5) 23.L×c5c6(+c3) 24.L×c3-c2(+c6) 25.L×c6-c7(+c2) 26.L×c2-c1(+c7) 27.L×c7-c8≠.

#### **GR8** Adrian Storisteanu

**GR9** Adrian Storisteanu





ser- $\neq$ 27 enemy sentinels

ser- $\neq$ 17 enemy sentinels

GR9: 1.L×c3-b4 2.L×d4-e4(+b4) 3.L×b4-a4(+e4) 4.L×e4f4(+a4) 5.L×f6-f7(+f4) 6.L×f4-f3(+f7) 7.L×g2-h1(+f3) 8.Lxf3-e4 9.Lxe5-e6(+e4) 10.Lxe4-e3(+e6) 11.Lxe6e7(+e3) 12.L×e3-e2(+e7) 13.L×b2-a2(+e2) 14.L×a4-a5(+a2) 15.L×a2-a1(+a5) 16.L×a5-a6 17.L×b7-c8(+a6)≠.

#### **GR10** Adrian Storisteanu



ser- $\neq$ 17 enemy sentinels

1.L×e5-e6 2.L×f6-g6(+e6) 3.L×g5-g4(+g6) 4.L×f3-e2(+g4) 5.L×g4-h5(+e2) 6.L×g6-f7(+h5) 7.L×e6-d5(+f7) 8.L×d4d3(+d5) 9.L×c3-b3(+d3) 10.L×d5-e6(+b3) 11.L×e2-e1(+e6) wL rundlauf 12.Lxe6-e7 13.Lxb4-a3(+e7) 14.Lxb3-c3(+a3) 15.L×c6-c7(+c3) 16.L×c3-c2(+c7) 17.L×c7-c8(+c2)≠.



Graffiti Alley, Toronto [Credit: Cornel Pacurar, 2014]

Problems  $\ensuremath{\text{GR2}}$  -  $\ensuremath{\text{GR13}}$  are original for the Bulletin.

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

Turning the corner, two problems in two-solution form: echoes (*bien sûr*) in one, mate on the same square at the end of different journeys in the other.

#### GR11 Sébastien Luce



ser-≠27 enemy sentinels 2 solutions



**GR12** Sébastien Luce

els ser-≠18 enemy sentinels 2 solutions

GR11: I. 1.L×e4-f5(+d3) 2.L×d3-c2(+f5) 3.L×d2-e2(+c2) 4.L×c4-b5(+e2) 5.L×b2-b1(+b5) 6.L×c2-d3 7.L×d4-d5(+d3) 8.L×d3-d2(+d5) 9.L×d5-d6(+d2) 10.L×d2-d1(+d6) 11.L×e2f3 12.Lxe3-d3(+f3) 13.Lxf3-g3(+d3) 14.Lxd6-c7(+g3) 15.L×c3-c2(+c7) 16.L×f2-g2(+c2) 17.L×g3-g4(+g2) 18.L×f5-e6(+g4) 19.L×g4-h3(+e6) 20.L×e6-d7(+h3) 21.L×d3-d2(+d7) 22.L×c2-b2(+d2) 23.L×b5-b6(+b2) 24.L×b2-b1(+b6) 25.L×b6-b7 26.L×g2-h1(+b7) 27.L×b7a8≠; **II.** 1.L×d4-d5(+d3) 2.L×e4-f3(+d5) 3.L×d5-c6(+f3) 4.L×f3-g2(+c6) 5.L×f2-e2(+g2) 6.L×d2-c2(+e2) 7.L×d3e4(+c2) 8.L×g2-h1(+e4) 9.L×e4-d5 10.L×c6-b7(+d5) 11.Lxb2-b1(+b7) 12.Lxc2-d3 13.Lxd5-d6(+d3) 14.Lxd3d2(+d6) 15.L×e3-f4(+d2) 16.L×d6-c7(+f4) 17.L×f4-g3(+c7) 18.L×c3-b3(+g3) 19.L×c4-d5(+b3) 20.L×d2-d1(+d5) 21.L×d5-d6 22.L×g3-h2(+d6) 23.L×e2-d2(+h2) 24.L×d6d7(+d2) 25.L×d2-d1(+d7) 26.L×b3-a4 27.L×d7-e8(+a4)≠.

GR12: **I.** 11.L×c2-b1(+e4) 2.L×e4-f5 3.L×f6-f7(+f5) 4.L×f5-f4(+f7) 5.L×g5-h6(+f4) 6.L×g6-f6(+h6) 7.L×f4f3(+f6) 8.L×e2-d1(+f3) 9.L×f3-g4 10.L×e6-d7(+g4) 11.L×d2-d1(+d7) 12.L×g4-h5 13.L×h6-h7(+h5) 14.L×h5h4(+h7) 15.L×f6-e7(+h4) 16.L×d7-c7(+e7) 17.L×c3-c2(+c7) 18.L×c7-c8(+c2) $\neq$ ; **II.** 1.L×e6-e7(+e4) 2.L×e4-e3(+e7)  $\begin{array}{l} 3.L\times c3\text{-}b3(\text{+}e3)\ 4.L\times c2\text{-}d1(\text{+}b3)\ 5.L\times e2\text{-}f3\ 6.L\times f6\text{-}f7(\text{+}f3)\\ 7.L\times g6\text{-}h5(\text{+}f7)\ 8.L\times g5\text{-}f5(\text{+}h5)\ 9.L\times f3\text{-}f2(\text{+}f5)\ 10.L\times e3\text{-}\\ d4(\text{+}f2)\ 11.L\times d2\text{-}d1(\text{+}d4)\ 12.L\times d4\text{-}d5\ 13.L\times b3\text{-}a2(\text{+}d5)\\ 14.L\times d5\text{-}e6(\text{+}a2)\ 15.L\times f5\text{-}g4(\text{+}e6)\ 16.L\times e6\text{-}d7(\text{+}g4)\\ 17.L\times g4\text{-}h3(\text{+}d7)\ 18.L\times d7\text{-}c8(\text{+}h3)\neq. \end{array}$ 

To end the article (we're running out of wall), here is a last-minute graffito inspired by a 'real' graffito, Adrian's own *Wall on the Rook on the Wall*. We now have no less than a Locust on (top of) the Wall on the Rook on the Wall (not to mention there is a bK somewhere in there too):

#### **GR13** Sébastien Luce



ser-≠24 enemy sentinels

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.L \times g3\text{-}h2(+e5) \ 2.L \times e5\text{-}d6(+h2) \ 3.L \times d3\text{-}d2(+d6) \ 4.L \times e3\text{-}\\ f4(+d2) \ 5.L \times e4\text{-}d4(+f4) \ 6.L \times c4\text{-}b4(+d4) \ 7.L \times d6\text{-}e7(+b4) \\ 8.L \times b4\text{-}a3(+e7) \ 9.L \times c3\text{-}d3(+a3) \ 10.L \times d4\text{-}d5(+d3) \ 11.L \times f3\text{-}\\ g2(+d5) \ 12.L \times d5\text{-}c6(+g2) \ 13.L \times g2\text{-}h1(+c6) \ 14.L \times h2\text{-}h3 \\ 15.L \times g4\text{-}f5(+h3) \ 16.L \times f4\text{-}f3(+f5) \ 17.L \times f5\text{-}f6(+f3) \ 18.L \times f3\text{-}\\ f2(+f6) \ 19.L \times f6\text{-}f7(+f2) \ 20.L \times f2\text{-}f1(+f7) \ 21.L \times d3\text{-}c4 \\ 22.L \times c6\text{-}c7(+c4) \ 23.L \times c4\text{-}c3(+c7) \ 24.L \times c7\text{-}c8(+c3) \neq. \end{array}$ 

While no groundbreaking developments were unearthed here, the material proved well suited for a bit of playful form(al) experimentation. Hat tip to Tadashi Wakashima. While the paint is drying, if you have any comments or ideas please take five minutes to contact the authors: luceechecs AT gmail DIT com, adrianstori AT gmail DOT com.

> Clichy & Toronto February 2nd, 2016

### The Elvis Effect Multiple Potential King Pairs in Chess Rebuses

by Jeff Coakley & Andrey Frolkin



Elvis (Nina Omelchuk, 2016)

#### **ABOUT THE CHESS REBUS**



The birth of the chess rebus took place on a dark Kiev night in 1982. The idea arose in a dream by co-author Andrey Frolkin. Most of the early work on these problems was done jointly with Andrei Kornilov. Sadly, this good friend departed our world in 2011.

Other rebus composers include Dmitry Baibikov, Mikhail Kozulya, Thierry Le Gleuher, Henri Nouguier, Vasile Tacu, and Anatoly Vasilenko. There is still much to be discovered in this largely uncharted territory. The total number of rebuses published so far is probably less than 60. This article raises the count by 13.

### THE ELVIS EFFECT MULTIPLE POTENTIAL KING PAIRS IN CHESS REBUSES

Jeff Coakley & Andrey Frolkin

In most chess rebuses, it is easy to determine which letters are the kings because there is only a single pair of letters with one upper case and one lower case. This article explores various ways in which the retro content of rebuses can be increased with the use of multiple potential king pairs.

Our collaboration on this project began a few months ago with a discussion of the following problem, which aimed to complicate the solver's task by including two pairs of letters for consideration as kings.

EE-1



Each letter represents a different type of piece. Upper case is one colour, lower case is the other. Determine the position.

The stipulation is the same for all the problems in this article. Where possible, also determine the last move. We hope you enjoy solving the puzzles before looking at the detailed solutions given at the end. That's half the fun, right?

At some point in our conversation, a suggestion was made that we further increase the level of difficulty by composing a rebus with three potential king pairs. And as they say, we were off to the races.

Rebuses with two potential king pairs are not new. There are several examples, some from 1982-83 in which the orientation of the board was unknown. But often it could be seen quickly that one of the pairs was not the kings. For example, if the two letters were adjacent.

As far as we know, the use of three potential king pairs breaks new ground in the land of rebuses.

Of course, instead of starting with three, we immediately jumped ahead to rebuses with five potential king pairs. It was already obvious where we were headed.

The first success was a position with 18 pieces, five "king pairs" plus eight pawns. Then the goal was to reduce the number of pawns. Eventually we got down to three. Problem 2.

Somewhere along the line, it was decided to name the theme of three or more king pairs after "the King". We call it the *Elvis effect.* 



Presley Antoine Duff 2016

EE-2 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Presley"



EE-3 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Hound Dog"



As you may have guessed, the real target was two pawns, which initially seemed impossible. But sometimes impossible things happen. Problem 3 has twelve pieces. Six potential king pairs!



*Elvis* Nina Omelchuk 2016

Next we turned our attention to pawnless positions. Problem 4 falls in this category, with four potential king pairs.

The lettering in this rebus, dedicated to "the King", demonstrates a flaw in the alphabet. A lower case L and an upper case i look identical in many standard fonts. That can be very confusing for solvers. The difficulty discerning the difference between these two letters is not restricted to rebuses. How about a password that contains the sequence "II"? Is that L/i, i/L, or number 11?

Diagram 4 uses a font in which the difference is more pronounced. Later in the article, another approach to solving the L/i problem is given.

EE-4 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Elvis"







Special thanks to Antoine Duff and Nina Omelchuk for their artistic contributions. Antoine's drawing and Nina's painting are the perfect images of the *Elvis effect* in action. Feel free to solve puzzles 2 and 4 directly from the pictures.

In problem 5 above, we have the ultimate in pawnlessness. Five letters, five king pairs. Surprisingly perhaps, it could only be achieved in an expanded open setting, unlike the usual crowded clusters in most rebuses.

#### **Brute Force or Logical Reason?**

We don't recommend using the brute force method to solve these puzzles. In a six letter rebus, there are 1440 different ways to assign the pieces (6! x 2). If the kings are known, there are still 240 ways to assign the other pieces.

### 1440

With the first slate of tasks completed, our investigation shifted to other multi-king pair settings. One amusing kind of puzzle is the *full board* rebus. These problems are not actually examples of the *Elvis effect*, which requires three king pairs. But they do have two pair.

In a position with 32 pieces, certain deductions are very easy. But maybe there is still a challenge in deciphering these messy messages.

#### EE-6 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley

"Bowels of Vowels"



Now it's time to get serious. The most productive part of our project has been incorporating additional retro concepts into multi-king pair rebuses. The final six problems have a lot of *stump potential*.

Number 8 on our playlist is a real rocker.

EE-7 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Double D"



EE-8 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Rock 'n' Roll"





Elvis Presley Chess Set by Wood Expressions, Inc. woodexpressions.com



The next trio of *presleys* (rebuses with five potential king pairs) share the common characteristic of "no letters on ranks 1, 2, 7, 8". This feature greatly reduces the use of pawns for establishing colours.

EE-9 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Tupelo"



Problem 11 is dedicated to Moscow composer Andrei Kornilov (1944-2011). It is based on a retro concept conceived by him twenty years ago. In our opinion, this is the most profound puzzle in the article. Thanks, Andrei.

The diagram also introduces a solution to "alphabetic L/i confusion". It contains seven letters, with five normal pairs plus one instance of upper case L and one instance of lower case i. The 'L' and 'i' are the same kind of piece, and together comprise a single pair.

EE-10 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Las Vegas"



EE-11 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Kornilov"



L and i are the same kind of piece.

#### **REBUS TYPES**

It should be noted that the problems in this article are just one type of rebus, in which six letters are used, upper case being one colour and lower case the other. There are also rebuses with only upper case letters that give no indication about colours, as well as rebuses with twelve letters. But we will save those for another day. Hollywood may spin you for a loop, but an "exclusive trip" to Memphis is sure to bring a smile.

EE-12 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Hollywood"



EE-13 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Memphis"



Chess rebuses, the sudoku-style puzzle for enthusiasts of the royal game.



#### SOLUTIONS

EE-1 Jeff Coakley "Crowns"



- C = bishop R = pawn O = rook
- White = upper case Black = lower case last move: *unknown*
- W = kingN = knight
- S = queen

### EE-1 "CROWNS"

There are two potential king pairs, W/w and S/s. These are the only letters with two instances, one upper case and one lower case.

If S is king, O cannot be a queen or bishop because both kings would be in check. O cannot be a pawn because there is an 'o' on the 8th rank. For the same reasons, C and N cannot be a queen, bishop, or pawn. It is impossible to assign those three pieces to the remaining two letters (R,W), so S is not the king.

Therefore W = king. As above, N and O cannot be a queen, bishop, or pawn. These two letters must be knight and rook. If N is rook, then both kings are in check, which means that N = knight and O = rook.

C cannot be a queen because both kings would be in check. It cannot be a pawn either (C on 8th rank), so C = bishop. That leaves R and S. If R is queen, then the lower case king on f3 is in an impossible double check. Thus, R = pawn and S = queen.

The colour of the pieces is determined by the pawn on b7 and bishop on a8. This is only possible if they are white pieces.

A rare rebus in which neither king is in check.

The authors are grateful to Grigory Popov and the website *superproblem.ru*. Our discussion of multiple potential king pairs came about after each of us had rebuses published in his Saturday column.





EE-2 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Presley"



P = pawn R = queen E = bishop S = knight L = kingY = rook White = lower case Black = upper case last move: ...>c4+

#### EE-2 "PRESLEY"

As usual, there are various ways to logically deduce the solution. We give the reasoning that we consider the most direct.

There are five potential king pairs. The P's are pawns because they are the only letter not on the 1st rank.

The E's are not kings because they are sandwiched along the 1st rank by the other four letters (rEs and LeY). It is impossible to assign pieces to those four letters without placing both kings in check or placing one king in an impossible double check.

The S's are not kings for a similar reason. S is attacked along the 3rd rank by two letters (I,y) and 's' is attacked along the 1st rank and e-file by the other two letters (E,R). Any assignment of queen and rook is an illegal position.



(6 + 7)

Proving that R is not a king is trickier. The 'r' on b1 is diagonally adjacent to a pawn of the opposite colour on c2. If 'r' is a king, then that pawn cannot be black because it would be checking the king on b1 without having a legal move on the previous turn (b3 c3 d3 are occupied). So, if 'r' is a king, then the P on c2 is white, and the p on f4 is a black pawn checking the white R on e3.

If R is in check from a pawn, it cannot be in check from a queen or rook on d3 or e1, and 'r' cannot be in check from a queen or rook on c1. Which means that it is impossible to assign queen and rook to the remaining letters without creating an illegal double check or placing both kings in check. Therefore R is not king.

A similar argument proves that Y is not king. The 'y' on d3 is diagonally adjacent to two pawns of the opposite colour on c2 and c4. If 'y' is a king, then it is in check from one of those pawns (from a black pawn on c4 since a white pawn cannot give check from the 2nd rank). So 'y' cannot also be in check from a queen or rook on c3 or e3. And 'Y' cannot be in check from a queen or rook on g1. That makes it impossible to assign queen and rook to the other letters. Therefore Y is not king.

By the process of elimination, L = king. The 'I' on b3 is diagonally adjacent to two pawns of the opposite colour on c2 and c4. It must be in check from one of them (from a black pawn on c4 since a white pawn cannot give check from the 2nd rank). So 'I' cannot also be in check from a queen or rook on c3. And L cannot be in check from a queen or rook on e1 or g1. This implies that S and E are bishop and knight. The E on c1 cannot be a knight because it would be checking the 'I' on b3. Therefore, E = bishop and S = knight.

R and Y must be queen and rook. The 'y' on d3 cannot be a queen because it would be checking the L on f1. Thus, Y = rook and R = queen.

The last move was by the black pawn on c4. It may or may not have been a capture. This is indicated by the symbol > (rather than - or x).

EE-3 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Hound Dog"



White = lower case

Black = upper case

last move: ...exf1=Q++

- H = king O = knight U = pawn N = rook D = bishop
- G = queen

#### EE-3 "HOUND DOG"

promotion.

There are six potential king pairs! But it is easy to see that U = pawn since it is the only letter not on the 1st or 8th rank.

As is frequently the case, determining which letters are king is the primary task.

If O is king, then 'o' on c8 is attacked on a rank or file by H, N and D, and the O on b4 is attacked on a file by 'g'. Any assignment of queen and rook will either place both kings in check or place one king in an impossible double check. O is not king.

A similar argument applies to the other four candidates: D, G, H, N. They are each attacked by four other letters on a rank or file. But in each case, a possible double check is "thinkable" by means of a pawn





If D is king and O is rook, the promotion ...cxb1=Q+ is impossible since 'd' on f8 would also be in check from G on f1. D is not king.

If G is king and D is rook, the promotion ...fxe1=Q+ is impossible since 'g' on b1 would also be in check from D on c1. G is not king.

If N is king and H is rook, the promotion exf8=Q+ is impossible since N on e8 would also be in check from pawn u on d7. N is not king.

So H = king. With N = rook, the double check exf1=Q++ is possible. So G = queen. The promotion establishes that upper case letters are black. Note that N cannot be the queen since both kings would be in check (H on a8 from h1).

If O is a bishop, then the white king is in triple check. Therefore, O = knight and D = bishop.

#### **EE-4** Andrey Frolkin "Elvis" Jeff Coakley



S = queen
White = upper case
Black = lower case
last move:exd1=Q++

EE-5Andrey Frolkin"Kings"Jeff Coakley



K = rook	S = knight
I = queen	White = lower case
N = king	Black = upper case
G = bishop	last move: dxc8=Q++

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

#### EE-4 "ELVIS"

There are four potential king pairs (all letters except L). There are no pawns because there is an instance of each letter on the 1st rank.

The I/i's are attacked on the 1st rank by the other four letters. Any assignment of queen and rook yields illegal checks. I/i is not king.

Similarly, the V's are attacked on a rank or file by the other four letters. V is not king.

Eliminating the royal aspirations of the S's is slightly more complicated. They are also attacked on a rank or file by the other four letters, but a double check by the promotion ...dxe1=Q++ is thinkable, with E and V as rook and queen. However, since either L or 'i' must be a knight, there will be a third check, either on d1 from b2 or on f3 from g1, making the position illegal. S is not king.



(6 + 5)

That means that E = king. The E's are also attacked on a rank or file by the other four letters, but the double check ...exd1=Q++ is legal with L = rook and S = queen. The 'e' on c3 would also be in check if L were the queen. The promotion shows that Black is the lower case letters. The I on b1 cannot be a checking knight. Therefore, I = bishop and V = knight.

#### EE-5 "KINGS"

Five potential king pairs. There are no pawns because all letters appear on the 1st or 8th rank.

The solution is very similar to the earlier problems. All five letters are attacked along a rank or file by the other four letters. The only way to create a legal position is to assign queen and rook to K and I with N = king. Both kings will be in check if K is a queen, so K = rook and I = queen. The last move dxc8=Q++ determines the colours.

S cannot be bishop or the black king is in triple check. Therefore S =knight and G =bishop.



(5 + 5)

EE-6 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Bowels of Vowels"



A = bishop E = queen I = knight O = pawn U = rook Y = king

White = upper case Black = lower case last move: Nc8-b6+

#### EE-6 "BOWELS OF VOWELS"

All 32 pieces are on the board, so no captures have been made. It is also obvious that O = pawn and that White is the upper case letters.

The two potential king pairs are E and Y. One is king and the other is queen.

First we analyze the position with E as king. Consider the three possibilities for A.

If A is a bishop, the E on e1 is in check from 'a' on c3, and I and U are rook and knight. But there is an illegal check whichever way we assign the letter U. It cannot be a rook and it cannot be knight without checking a king. So A cannot be bishop.



(16 + 16)

If A is a rook, then 'e' on f8 is in a check from the rook on d8. There is no legal last move by the rook and the discovered check Ne8-f6+ (with U as knight) would mean that the king on e1 is also in check from a knight on c2. So A cannot be rook.

If A is a knight, the 'e' on f8 is in check, and I and U are rook and bishop. If I is rook, both kings are in check. If U is rook, then 'e' is in an impossible double check. So A cannot be a knight. Therefore E is not king.

Y = king and E = queen. Now consider which letters are the knights.

If U is a knight, both kings are in check.

If A is a knight, then Y on d5 is in check by 'a' on c3, and I and U must be rook and bishop. If U is bishop, both kings are in check. If I is bishop, then Y is in an impossible double check.

Therefore I = knight, which places 'y' on d7 in check. The only possible last move is Nc8-b6+.

A and U are rook and bishop. The black king would be in triple check if A is a rook. So A = bishop and U = rook.

EE-7 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Double D"



D = pawn	White = upper case
O = queen	Black = lower case
U = knight	last moves:
B = king	1Rb7-f7+ 2.Nd4-c6+
L = rook	
E = bishop	

#### EE-7 "DOUBLE D"

A full board. No captures. D = pawn. White = upper case. The two potential king and queen pairs are O and B.

If O is king and B is queen, then O on e3 is in an impossible double check from a queen on c5 and pawn on f4. In fact, the pawn check alone is illegal since it would have to be a capture. Therefore O is not king.

B = king, O = queen, and the black king on c5 is in check from the queen on e3. But there is no legal move by the white queen on the last turn. There had to be a discovered check. The only possibility is U = knight with the last move Nd4-c6+.

That leaves L and E as rook and bishop. But these two letters appear to have no "connection" to the kings. Until we consider Black's move on their previous turn! When the U (knight) at c6 was on d4, the white king was in check from the queen on a8. That second discovered check can only be explained by L = rook and the move ...Rb7-f7+. And E = bishop.



(16 + 16)

EE-8 "ROCK 'N' ROLL" (See diagrams on next page.)

This is a very solver-unfriendly problem. But a few things are easy enough. R = pawn, upper case = White, and the three potential king pairs are C, K, L. All pawns are on the board so one of these three letters is also a queen. White is missing one piece and Black is missing two pieces.

One key to solving this rebus is to show that the two missing black pieces were captured on e3 and d3. There are upper case instances of each letter in front of the white pawns (R), which means that at least one of the white rooks has escaped from behind the pawns. This could only happen by means of the cross-captures dxe3 and exd3, temporarily opening a file.

The next useful step is to prove that O is not a rook.

If O is rook and C is king, then f4 is in check from h4. One of the letters L or N will be a bishop or queen, which places the C on f4 in an impossible double check.

If O is rook and K is king, then N must be a bishop or knight. In either case, both kings will be in check from an N.

If O is rook and L is king, consider the options for N. If N is a bishop, then the 'l' on e5 is in an illegal check since the capture Bc3xd4+ is impossible. If N is a knight, then "l' on g3 is in check from h5. C will be a queen or bishop, placing both kings in check.

Therefore O is not a rook. It must be a bishop or knight.

EE-8 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Rock 'n' Roll"



R = pawnO = knightC = kingK = bishopN = rook

White = upper case Black = lower case last move: ...Qd5-e5+

L = queen

#### **EE-8** "**ROCK** '**N**' **ROLL**" (continued from previous page)

The major job now is demonstrating that the N's are rooks.

Part 1, show that N is not a knight.

1a) If K is king and N is knight, then both kings are in check (from b3 and g5). N is not knight if K is king.

1b) If L is king and N is knight, then O is a bishop and the L on g3 is in an impossible double check.

1c) If C is king and N is knight, then O is a bishop, and both kings are in check (d7 from a4, and f4 from h5). Therefore N is not a knight.

Part 2, show that N is not a bishop.

2a) If K is king and N is bishop, then both kings are in check.
2b) If L is king and N is bishop, then 'l' on e5 is in an illegal check from d4. The last move would have to be the capture Bc3xd4+.
2c) If C is king and N is bishop, then C on f4 is in an illegal check from g5. The last move would have to be the capture ...Bh6xg5+. This is illegal because the only missing white piece was captured behind the white pawns, as the following argument shows.



With both white bishops (N's) in front of the pawns, it is impossible for both white rooks to also have escaped. Say that White first plays dxe3. This allows Ra1 and Bc1 to escape, but Rh1 cannot get out with Bf1 in the way. And Bf1 can only move after exd3, which closes the door for Rh1. (The "rock 'n' roll jam".) Since O on d2 is not a rook, we can deduce that the missing white piece is a rook that was captured somewhere on the 1st or 2nd rank.

All of which proves that N = rook.

With both white rooks in front of the pawns, it is impossible for both white bishops to also have escaped, as explained above. For both rooks to get out, one of the bishops had to be captured on c1 or f1. This means that there is only one white bishop remaining on the board.

There are two white knights on the board, so O = knight since it is the only upper case letter with two instances besides N. The letters C, K, L are king, queen, and bishop.

If L is king, then both kings are in check. L on g3 from a rook on g5, and 'l' on e5 from a queen or bishop on f4.

If K is king, then both kings are in check from knights on a4 and h4.

Thus and hence, C = king. All that remains is determining queen and bishop for letters K and L. If L is bishop, then C on f4 is in an impossible check from e5. So L = queen, K = bishop, and the last move was ...Qd5-e5+.

EE-9 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Tupelo"



White = upper case

Black = lower case

last move: ...>b6+

T = queen U = king P = rook E = knight L = bishop O = pawn

#### EE-9 "TUPELO"

There are five candidates for king. Each side has 8 O's and one instance of each other letter. If the O's are pawns, then each side is missing a rook, bishop, and knight.

However, it is conceivable that 12 of the 16 O's are promoted pieces, 6 for each side. There are 26 pieces on the board (13 + 13). With two pawns still on the board (on different files), a total of 6 missing pieces (including two pawns) is exactly enough to account for the captures necessary to promote 12 pawns.

So let's begin by eliminating the possibility that O's are pieces rather than pawns.

If O is a queen, bishop, or knight, then the position is illegal (both kings in check or an impossible double check) no matter which letter is king.



(13 + 13)

If O is a rook, then there are impossible checks if U or P are king. Things are trickier for the other three letters. If E or L are king, then there is a single check by a rook. But that check could only happen if the last move was a capture (on c3 or h5). That would reduce the number of missing pieces available for capture earlier, making it impossible to have 12 promoted pieces. So O cannot be a rook if E or L are king. That leaves the possibility of T being king, with T on b5 in check from b6. But then consider the letters L E P. One of them must be a queen or bishop, which would create a second illegal check. So O cannot be a rook regardless of which letter is king.

O = pawn, as expected. And White is upper case as it would take too many captures for all the pawns to pass each other.

Neither E nor P can be king because they would be in check from two pawns. L is not king because both kings would be in check by a pawn. The two remaining candidates for king are T and U. If T is king, then b5 is in check from c6. But one of the letters L E P will be a queen or bishop, placing both kings in check. So T is not king.

U = king and c5 is in check from b6. Which means the letters T P E L cannot be pieces which give check. The only assignment of pieces resulting in a legal position is E =knight, P =rook, L =bishop, T =queen.

EE-10 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Las Vegas"



White = lower case

Black = upper case last move: Nc5-a4+

V = pawn
E = knight
G = queen
A = rook
S = king
L = bishop

#### EE-10 "LAS VEGAS"

The same basic scenario as the previous rebus. There are five potential king pairs plus 16 A's. This time the A's are not pawns!

If the A's were pawns, then E, G, L, and V are not kings because they would be attacked by two pawns. If S is king, c4 is in check from d5. Any assignment of queen and rook to the other letters (E G L V) results in a second illegal check.

So 12 promotions took place, which required 6 captures (because there are still two pawns on the board that are not on the same file). These captures account for all the missing pieces.

The A's cannot be queens because additional captures would be needed.

If A is a bishop, then E, G, L, V are not king because they would be in check by two bishops. If S is king, c4 is in an illegal check from d5 because the last move could only be the capture Bxd5+, which would preclude 12 promotions.



Therefore A = rook. If L is king, then both kings are in check. If E, G, or V are king, then the last move, checking one of the kings, had to be a capture.

Which means that S = king, with c4 in check from c6. This check could only happen by the discovery Nc5-a4+ or Nc5-e4+. If L is knight, then both kings are in check. So E = knight and the last move was Nc5-a4+. V cannot be a queen or bishop, so V = pawn. This establishes that the lower case letters are White since it must be a white pawn to avoid checking c4.

L cannot be queen since it would check the king on c4. L = bishop, G = queen.

#### **Counting Kings**

Speaking of multiple kings, have you been to Las Vegas? The city has the greatest density of Elvis impersonators in the world.



(13 + 13)

EE-11 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Kornilov"



White = lower case

Black = upper case last move: Bb6+

K = pawn O = king R = queen N = knight i = bishop L = rookV = pawn

#### EE-11 "KORNILOV"

Another similar setting to the previous two problems. Five potential king pairs plus 16 K's which are not pawns.

If the K's were pawns, then L/i, N, R, and V are not kings because they would be attacked by two pawns. If O is king, a5 is in check from b6. Any assignment of queen and bishop to the other letters (L/i N R V) results in a second illegal check.

As before, 12 promotions took place, requiring 6 captures, which account for all the missing pieces. The K's cannot be queens because additional captures would be needed.

If K is a rook, then L/i, N, and O are not king because they would be in check by two rooks. If R or V is king, then the last move, checking one of the kings, had to be a capture, making 12 promotions impossible.



(13 + 13)

If K is a knight, then there is an illegal check by two knights whichever letter is king.

Therefore K = bishop. L/i, N, R, and V are not kings because they would be attacked by at least two bishops. So we quickly and easily reach the conclusion that O = king.

The O on a5 is in check from b6, therefore N, L/i, and V cannot be a queen, which leads to the deduction that R = queen.

Here we reach a roadblock of sorts. The three letters L/i, N, V must still be assigned pieces. The choices are rook, knight, and pawn. Any of the three letters can be a pawn. N cannot be rook and L/i cannot be a knight because they would give check.

Consider the consequences of each letter being a pawn.

- a) If L/i is a pawn, then the 'i' on b4 cannot be white because it would check a5.
   L/i = pawn, White = upper case, N = knight, V = rook
- b) If N is a pawn, then the N on g4 cannot be white because it would check f5.
   N = pawn, White = lower case, L/i = rook, V = knight
- c) If V is a pawn, then the V on e4 cannot be white because it would check f5.
   V = pawn, White = lower case, N = knight, L/i = rook

One of these possibilities is the solution. Congratulations if you figured out how to decide which.

At this point, we encounter the genius of Andrei Kornilov. His concept "bishop ratio" is the key to moving forward. A simple count shows that there are 5 lower case bishops on light squares and 3 on dark squares, while there are 4 upper case bishops on each colour. Astoundingly, this difference determines which letter is a pawn. In this position, a legal bishop ratio can only arise from option c, V = pawn.

If L/i or N is a pawn, then it is impossible for one side to have a 5/3 light square ratio and the other side 4/4. The critical element involves which colour squares the two remaining pawns would promote on. These are the colours of the promotion squares for the three options.

- a) L/i = pawn: white pawn d4 > d8 **dark** square black pawn b1 > b1 **light** square
- b) N = pawn: white pawn a4 > a8 **light** square black pawn g4 > g1 **dark** square
- c) V = pawn: white pawn h4 > h8 **dark** square black pawn e4 > e1 **dark** square

We will present a more detailed account of *bishop ratio* and the associated "pro-passer theory" in the summer issue of *Problemas*.

#### EE-12 "HOLLYWOOD"

Five potential king pairs, 16 O's. This time it's a speedy conclusion that O = pawn. The other five letters all appear on the 1st or 8th rank. Upper case = White, as it would take too many captures for the pawns to "switch sides".

The solution hinges on whether or not the pieces on c1 and f8 are bishops. To show that they are not, let's assume they are. *It's all about finding contradictions.* 

If Y is bishop, then an examination of the pawn structure reveals that the rooks which started on a1 and h8 never escaped and were captured inside their corner box. That would leave four pieces, a knight and a light square bishop of each colour, available for capture elsewhere.



(13 + 13)

If Y is bishop, the rooks which started on a8 and h1 have escaped from behind the pawns. With bishops on c1 and f8, this could only occur with the cross-captures gxh3 and hxg3 by White, and with ...axb6 and ...bxa6 by Black. Those four captures are apparently possible with 4 missing pieces available for capture. But appearances are not always what they seem.

What we are experiencing here is a metaphysical contradiction known as the "time loop". The phenomenon involves the missing light square bishops. They were necessarily the pieces captured on the light squares a6 and h3. But the white bishop could only escape after the black bishop was captured on h3, and the black bishop could only escape after the white bishop was captured on a6. Neither event could precede or follow the other. It's an amazing universe.

#### EE-12 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Hollywood"



H = bishop O = pawn L = king Y = knight W = queen D = rook

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

White = upper case

Black = lower case last move: Kb7>b8+

#### (continued from previous page)



In other words, Y is not a bishop. Once we return to reality, the rest of the analysis is straightforward.

Since the two dark square bishops were captured on c1 and f8, the remaining bishops are both on light squares. The only letter with both instances on light squares is H. So H = bishop.

Next we determine what the Ys are not, and thereby what they are.

If Y is king, then any assignment of queen and rook results in a position where both kings are in check or one king is in an impossible double check. The Y's are attacked on a rank or file by D, L, and W.

If Y is a rook, the remaining letters D, L, W are king, queen, and knight.

If Y is rook and D is king, then both kings are in check, g1 from c1, and g6 from f7.

If Y is rook and L is king, then both kings are in check, b8 from f8, and e4 from a8.

If Y is rook and W is king, then the king on g8 is an impossible doube check.

Therefore Y is not a rook. The same argument shows that Y is not a queen. Which enlightens us to Y = knight. That leaves king, queen, and rook for D, L, W.

If D is king, g6 is in an impossible double check.

If W is king, g8 is in check from f7. D is either queen or rook, attacking d6 and placing both kings in check.

So L = king, with e4 in check from a8. D =rook because a queen on g6 would be an impossible double check. W = queen. The last move was the discovered check Kb7>b8+.

The King lives. (Antoine Duff 1999).



#### EE-13 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Memphis"



White = upper case

Black = lower case

last move: R>c1+

M = pawnE = kingP = queenH = rookI = knightS = bishop

#### EE-13 "MEMPHIS"

Lucky number 13. Another "presley", five potential king pairs. The M and m's are pawns since the other letters appear on the first and last ranks.

Time loop revisited. H cannot be a bishop because the captures necessary to free the light square bishops do not exist. See problem 12 for more explanation. S = bishop because it is the only letter with both instances on light squares. The dark square bishops were captured on c1 and f8.

Three of the assigned upper case letters (P, E, I) are on the 8th rank inside the "black box". A penetrating glance at the pawn structure shows that a white rook could only enter the box by means of the cross-captures ...axb6 and ...bxa6. And also that the white king could



only enter the box by means of the advance ...b6 followed later by ...a6. We refer to this logical impasse as the "Memphis exclusion". A white rook can be on the 8th rank or a white king can be on the 8th rank, but not both. This also implies that H on c1 is either a king or a rook (not queen or knight).

If H is king, then E would have to be knight since a queen or rook on a1 would be illegally checking c1. But that would mean that P and I are queen and rook, placing the 'h' on f8 in an impossible double check. So H is not king. H = rook.

P is not king because both kings would be in check (from c3 and f8).

I is not king because e4 would be in an illegal check from h1.

Therefore E = king, and a1 is in check from the rook on c1. I is not a queen because it would be checking a8. I = knight, P = queen.

Thank you very much.

Jeff CoakleyNova Scotia, CanadaAndrey FrolkinKiev, Ukraine

April 14, 2016

# Record Breakers I

### by Arno Tüngler

"When facing a difficult task, act as though it is impossible to fail. If you are going after Moby Dick, take along the tartar sauce." – H. Jackson Brown, Jr.





*Vertical Length Records* (Cornel Pacurar - *Isometric, Pixlr* and *Matter* for iPhone, 2016)

As four articles containing 296 records in 7 categories have already been published in the last four issues of the *Bulletin*, it is now high time to show the first record-breakers which have been found in the meantime in the ChessProblems.ca forums.

**RB-1** is actually 6 moves shorter than **AS-29** in *Bulletin* 4, page 107, in which Paul had found the following dual: 1.Ka7-a6 11.Kf2×f3(Pf7) 23.Kd7×d8(Bf8) 26.Kb6b5 (dual!) 34.Ke1×f1(Bc8) 47.Ke8×f8 49.Kg8×h7(Ra8) and now 56.Kb6×a5(Pa7) 67.Kxh5(Sg8) etc. As the corrected version also cannot be fully tested by computer, we ask you to check again. The new matrix helped, however, add one move to the 16 units in the same category, as shown in **RB-2**.

No improvements so far for the series direct mates in *Bulletin* 5, but several new series selfmate tasks are replacing records in *Bulletin* 6. **RB-3** is the only 'orthodox' new record, while the following three problems add length to Circe tasks with 12 - 14 units. RB-1 Branko Koludrović Original



ser-!= 111 (3 Circe

#### RB-4

Branko Koludrović Original



Circe

#### RB-2 Branko Koludrović Original



Ser-!= 119 Circe

#### RB-5 Branko Koludrović Original



ser-s# 83 C+ (4+9) Circe

#### RB-3 Arno Tüngler Original



RB-6 Branko Koludrović Original



ser-s# 95 C+ (4+10) Circe

Another series of new records is RB-7 to RB-10, replacing 5 problems first published in Bulletin 7. **RB-8** with 13 units adds, amazingly, 21 moves to the former record HZ-23!

Certainly this is just a beginning and so I am looking forward to receiving from you dozens of record-breakers following the articles that have been published so far and will still follow. Anything you send before the end of July will be shown in the next issue of the Bulletin (CPB9, August 2016).

> Arno Tüngler Bishkek, March 27<sup>th</sup>, 2016

#### **RB-7** Arno Tüngler Original <u>N</u>È Ĭ $\mathcal{O}$





C+ (11+1) ser-hZa8 93 Circe

#### Solutions:



÷

Circe

ser-hZa8 114 C+ (12+1)

#### RB-9 Arno Tüngler Original



ser-hZa8 124 C+ (13+2) Circe

**RB-10** Arno Tüngler Original



ser-hZa5 126 C+ (14+2) Circe

**RB-1**: 1.Ka8-b7 11.Kf2×f3(Pf7) 23.Kc8×d8(Bf8) 24.Kd8×d7 33.Ke1×f1(Bc8) 45.Ke8×f8 47.Kg8×h7(Ra8) 64.Kg4×h5(Sg8) 80.Kf8×g8 97.Kg5×f6(Rh8) 110.Kc6-c7 111.c5-c6 !=

**RB-2**: 1.Ka8-b7 12.Kf2×f3(Pf7) 25.Kc8×d8(Bf8) 26.Kd8×d7 36.Ke1×f1(Bc8) 49.Ke8×f8 51.Kg8×h7(Ra8) 69.Kg4×h5(Sg8) 86.Kf8×g8 104.Kg5×f6(Rh8) 118.Kc6-c7 119.c5-c6 !=

**RB-3**: 1.Kf1-e1 14.Kf5×g4 29.Kf1×g1 45.Kg4×h3 62.Kg1×h1 78.Kf5×e4 79.Ke4×f3 80.Kf3-e4 82.f4×e5 84.e6×f7 85.f7-f8=R 87.Rd8×d3 88.Rd3-b3 93.d7-d8=Q 94.Qd8-d5 + Qh1×d5 #

**RB-4**: 1.Kd6-c7 11.Kg3×f2(Pf7) 17.Ka3×a4(Bc8) 33.Kd8×c8 50.Ka4×b5(Ra8) 60.Kh5-h6 61.Sg7-f5+ Ra8×h8(Bc1) #

**RB-5**: 1.Kd6-c7 15.Kc2×b2(Bf8) 26.Kg8×f8 39.Ka3×a4(Bc8) 55.Kd8×c8 72.Ka4×b5(Ra8) 82.Kh5-h6 83.Sg7-f5+ Ra8×h8(Bc1)#

**RB-6**: 1.Kc2-d1 13.Kd8×c7 27.Kc2×b2(Bf8) 38.Kg8×f8 51.Ka3×a4(Bc8) 67.Kd8×c8 84.Ka4×b5(Ra8) 94.Kh5-h6 95.Sg7-f5+  $Ra8 \times h8(Bc1) #$ 

**RB-7**: 1.Kb1-c2 12.Kd8×c8(Bf1) 21.Kg1×f1 30.Kc8×b8(Sg1) 44.Kb1×a2(Rh1) 60.Ka6×a5(Pa2) 76.Kb1×a2 93.Ka5×b4(Ra1) Ra1-a8 7

**RB-8**: 1.Kb1-c2 15.Kd8×c8(Bf1) 27.Kg1×f1 39.Kc8×b8(Sg1) 56.Kb1×a2(Rh1) 75.Ka6×a5(Pa2) 94.Kb1×a2 114.Ka5×b4(Ra1) Ra1-a8 Z

**RB-9**: 1.Ke8-f8 11.Ke1-d1 12.f7×e6 25.Kd8×c8(Bf1) 37.Kg1×f1 49.Kc8×b8(Sg1) 66.Kb1×a2(Rh1) 85.Ka6×a5(Pa2) 104.Kb1×a2 124.Ka5×b4(Ra1) Ra1-a8 Z

**RB-10**: 1.Kd8-e8 13.Ke1-d1 14.e6×d5 27.Kd8×c8(Bf1) 39.Kg1×f1 51.Kc8×b8(Sg1) 68.Kb1×a2(Rh1) 87.Ka6×a5(Pa2) 106.Kb1×a2 126.Ka5×b4(Ra1) Ra1-a5 Z

# Series Capture and Win-a-piece Tasks

### by Arno Tüngler



Series Capture (Cornel Pacurar - tChess Pro, Matter and Union for iPhone, 2016)

The fifth article dedicated to series length records is again covering three sections - all connected with capture stipulations, and even includes a challenge! Please look for this on page 290 and participate. Series Direct, Self, and Help Capture tasks were started in the 1980s in the 'orthodox' field and have led to interesting achievements as you will soon see. Capturing would not make a big difference for direct Circe stipulations as only captures are specific moves anyway, and would immediately end the series. Thus, it was a great idea to invent a special stipulation for Circe - win-a-piece (German: Steingewinn). Its goal is not mere capturing resulting in rebirth of the captured unit, but to actually reduce the number of units of the opposite side (i.e., preventing rebirth)! Records for all three sections, direct, self, and help win-a-piece, were included in the *feenschach* 2002 article of Branko Koludrović and Hans Gruber, and we will also only concentrate on these stipulations for Circe rules.

The records with few units in both series-direct categories have been untouched for decades, and it's difficult to find anything better here. Interesting that the capture task with 5 units is only one move longer than the one with 4! In 2000 Jörg Varnholt found an interesting matrix for Circe that has been widely used for records of up to 10 units. Any new ideas here?



'Orthodox' 7-10 units

#### Circe 7–10 units

In 2009 Cornel had a great idea... When analyzing the amazing series length record of Ivan Skoba and Pavel Vyoral from 1978 (see PDB/P1237128) he transposed the idea from ser-h# to ser- $\times$  and even found a first new record in that category. When showing it to Vladimír Janál, the latter introduced the black queen, and then Ivan got involved and had the bishop shielded by pawn and helping the queen with a parallel diagonal line at the same time. Then I joined the effort assigning to the black bishop the key role of being the piece captured, and while new ideas and improvements bounced back and forth we eventually achieved five new length records with 8 to 15 units! Four of those are still valid: DX-10 - DX-12 and DX-17.

There was no Circe record with 8 units, so I just added a pawn to **DX-13** and achieved a problem that has no check in the initial position with the same number of moves. That is still viewed as being a record but I hope that someone finds something with more moves!

DX-9 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 1987



ser- $\times$  24 C+ (1+6)

**DX-9**: 1.Kc4-d3 24.Kg7×g6 × **DX-10**: 1.Ke8-d8 14.Kf4-f5 17.Bh7-g8 32.Ke8×f8 ×

#### DX-11

Arno Tüngler Cornel Pacurar Ivan Skoba Vladimír Janál Blog zlínského problemisty 2009



 $ser-\times 34$  C+ (3+6)

**DX-11**: 1.Ke8-d8 15.Kf4-f5 18.Bh7-g8 34.Ke8×f8 × **DX-12**: 1.Ke8-d7 16.Kg4-f5 19.Bh7-g8 36.Ke8×f8 ×

DX-10 Cornel Pacurar Arno Tüngler Ivan Skoba Vladimír Janál Blog zlínského



**DX-12** 

Vladimír Janál

**Cornel Pacurar** 

Arno Tüngler

Blog zlínského

problemisty 2009

¢.

W

ġ

C+(3+7)

Ivan Skoba



DX-14 Jörg Varnholt Version A. Tüngler Problemkiste 2001



**DX-13**: 1.Kf8-e7 9.Kd1×e1[Bf8] 19.Kf7×g8[Ra8] 35.Kh4×h5 [Sg8] 51.Kf7×g8 %

**DX-14**: 1.Kf7-e6 9.Kd1×e1[Bf8] 19.Kf7×g8[Ra8] 35.Kh4×h5 [Sg8] 51.Kf7×g8 %

#### DX-15 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



DX-16 Branko Koludrović After J. Varnholt Problemkiste 2002



**DX-15**: 1.Kd1-c1 7.Kd6×e5[Pe7] 14.Kd1×e1[Bf8] 24.Kf7×g8 [Ra8] 40.Kh4×h5[Sg8] 56.Kf7×g8 %

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

 $ser - \times 36$ 

No direct capture records with 11 and 14 units! This is quite surprising especially with the relatively huge gap in move numbers between the records with 13 and 15 moves. And every time I look at **DX-17** I am tempted to check again for other possibilities to use that fruitful matrix with one or two fewer or more units... **DX-18** is the only task created in the 1980's with more than 7 units that survived our quest for new records. Here again Miloš had an idea how to avoid a check in the initial position and left his version under my name in his brochure "398 Zuglängen Rekorde Im Serienzüger."

Another unexpected gap in the Circe records with 'win-a-piece'! Somehow it should be possible to have at least one move more by adding a unit to **DX-19** or reducing one unit in **DX-20**, but no success so far! Oliva's very economic task eliminated immediately several of my records in that category, so that there are even three empty spots after that problem in the table of records! Certainly there is still something well hidden that needs to be dug out...

#### 'Orthodox' 11-14 units

**DX-17** Vladimír Janál **Cornel Pacurar** Ivan Skoba Arno Tüngler Blog zlínského problemisty 2009



ser- $\times$  38

#### **DX-17**: 1.Ke1-f1 16 Kc4-d3 19 Bb1-a2 38 Kc1×b2 ×



ser- $\times$  42 C+(5+8)

**DX-18**: 1.Bb8-c7 5.Bf8-g7 7.Kg8-f8 14.Bd8-e7 16.Ke8-d8 23.Bb6-c7 25.Kc8-b7 26.Bc7-b6 28.Ka6-b5 29.Bb6-c5 31.Kc4-d3 35.Bf4-e5 37.Ke4-f3 39.Bf4-g5 42.Kh4 $\times$ h5  $\times$ 

#### Circe 11–14 units

**DX-19** Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2002



ser-% 68 C+(1+10)Circe

DX-19: 1.Kc1×d1[Qd8] 10.Ke5×e6[Pe7] 20.Kd1×e1[Bf8] 32.Kf7×g8[Ra8] 50.Kh4×h5[Sg8] 68.Kf7×g8 %

DX-20 Zdenek Oliva Problemkiste 1997



DX-20: 1.Ba3-b2 5.Bh6-g7 7.Kg8-f8 14.Bd8-e7 16.Ke8-d8 23.Bb6-c7 25.Kc8-b7 26.Bc7-b6 28.Ka6-b5 29.Bb6-c5 31.Kc4d4 38.Bf4-e5 40.Ke4-f3 42.Bf4-g5 45.Kh4×h5[Ph7] 48.Kg3-f3 50.Bf4-e5 52.Ke4-d4 59.Bb6-c5 61.Kc4-b5 62.Bc5-b6 64.Ka6-b7 65.Bb6-c7 67.Kc8-d8 74.Bf8-e7 76.Ke8-f8 83.Bh6-g7 85.Kg8×h7 %

After posting a new idea in this category with many units, I was amazed when I saw the next day Ján Golha's crashing riposte with **DX-21** on the forum! The judge of that fairy tournament in *MatPlus*, while "not interested in records", acknowledged the "repeated interference of black pieces, where the white Bishop must choose the route not obstructed by his own King." Try to solve it and you'll see how the play is not boring at all!

There are only three win-a-piece tasks with normal force and more than 13 units, all based on the matrix that was used initially for the 'orthodox' direct capture length records. DX-25 and DX-30 had originally the wK on f7 and one move more, but I agree now with Branko that these are not real records as there is no last move with Circe rules in these two problems. Even with orthodox rules there would not be a last move in the overall record. So, I would like to keep those records in the current form.

#### 'Orthodox' 15-18 units

DX-21 Ján Golha Arno Tüngler Mat Plus 2009 5<sup>th</sup> Commendation



DX-21: 1.Be4-d5 5.Bc8-d7 7.Ka7-a6 16.Bb3-d5 18.Ka5-a4 19.Bd5-b3 21.Kb4-c3 22.Bb3-c2 24.Kd2-d1 27.Bd5-e4 29.Ke1f1 39.Bh3-g2 43.Kh3-h4 47.Bh5-g6 50.Kh6 $\times$ g7  $\times$ 

**DX-22** 

 $ser- \times 51$ 

Ján Golha

Arno Tüngler

Mat Plus 2009

DX-22: 1.d5-d6 2.Be4-d5 6.Bc8-d7 8.Ka7-a6 17.Bb3-d5 19.Ka5-a4 20.Bd5-b3 22.Kb4-c3 23.Bb3-c2 25.Kd2-d1 28.Bd5e4 30.Ke1-f1 40.Bh3-g2 44.Kh3-h4 48.Bh5-g6 51.Kh6×g7 ×

#### **DX-23** Arno Tüngler Strate Gems 2012



C+(8+9)ser- $\times$  53



Å Ŷ ģ

C+(8+8)



DX-23: 1.Kg3-h4 12.Be8-g6 13.Kh4-g4 15.h4-h5 16.h2-h4 17.Kg4-h3 24.Ba4-c2 26.Kh2-h1 33.Be8-g6 35.Kg1-f1 43.Bd1-e2 46.Kd1-c1 48.Bd1-c2 53.Kc5×d6 ×

DX-24: 1.Kg3-h4 12.Be8-g6 13.Kh4-g4 15.h4-h5 16.h2-h4 17.Kg4-h3 24.Ba4-c2 26.Kh2-h1 33.Be8-g6 35.Kg1-f1 43.Bd1-e2 46.Kd1-c1 48.Bd1-c2 52.Kd4-c5 54.d4-d5 55.Bc2-b3 57.Kb4×a3  $\times$ 



Circe 15–18 units



ser-% 92 C+ (6+11) Circe

DX-25: 1.Bh8-g7 12.Bd8-e7 14.Kd8-e8 25.Ba5-c7 27.Kc8b7 28.Bc7-b6 30.Ka6-b5 32.Ba5-b4 43.Kh4×h5[Sg8] 54.Ka4b5 56.Ba5-b6 58.Ka6-b7 59.Bb6-c7 61.Kc8-d8 72.Bf8-e7 76.Kg7×h7[Bc8] 80.Ke8-d8 91.Ba5-c7 92.Kd8×c8 %

**DX-26** Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2007 After A. Tüngler



ser-% 106 C+ (5+13) Circe

DX-26: 1.Bh8-g7 12.Bd8-e7 14.Ke8-d8 25.Ba5-c7 27.Kc8b7 28.Bc7-b6 30.Ka6-a5 40.Bc1-b2 50.Kh4×h5[Sg8] 60.Kb4a5 70.Bd8-b6 72.Ka6-b7 73.Bb6-c7 75.Kc8-d8 86.Bf8-e7 90.Kg7×h7[Bc8] 94.Ke8-d8 105.Ba5-c7 106.Kd8×c8 %

As Cornel rightly remarked in a message to me, the series direct capture category is "one of [my] most favourite stipulations!" It was nice to find the hidden possibilities of the matrix with the free white bishop and finally go over 60 moves. Time after time I return to these results as there is still the strong feeling that more should be possible... For example, the manoeuvre to bring the wK from a3 to h4 in **DX-29** seems rather short. All tries to extend this proved incorrect, but maybe a reader will come up with the right idea!?

The overall records for win-a-piece have been unchanged for ten years. Interestingly, the length is due to very long capture-free series by both the white king and bishop. There are only 3, respectively 4 captures in the solutions, while the much shorter **DX-16** and **DX-19** feature 6 such moves. I would be interested to find out the maximum number of captures that could be introduced in a Circe series-mover with the win-a-piece goal. Please send your results of this challenge until the end of November to Cornel or me so that I can include it in an article for the last 2016 Bulletin.

#### ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

'Orthodox' 20 units and Overall Records

**DX-28** ChessProblems.ca

c2 24.Kh2-h1 31.Be8-g6 33.Kg1-f1 41.Bd1-e2 44.Kd1-c1 46.Bd1-c2

DX-28: 1.Kb4-a5 12.Bd8-b6 13.Ka5-b4 14.a4-a5 15.a3-a4 16.Kb4-

a3 26.Bc1-b2 30.Kc1-d1 34.Be1-f2 36.Ke2-f3 37.Bf2-g3 39.Kg4-h4

48.Kd2-c3 49.Bc2-b3 51.Kb4-a3 53.Ba4-b5 60.Kc8×d8 ×

50.Bh6-g5 52.Kh5-h6 60.Bb6-c7 61.Kh6×h7 ×

2013 5<sup>th</sup> Hon. Mention



Problemkiste 2005

**Circe Overall Records** 



ser-% 110 C+ (5+14)

DX-30: 1.Bh8-g7 12.Bd8-e7 14.Ke8-d8 25.Ba5-c7 27.Kc8b7 28.Bc7-b6 30.Ka6-a5 40.Bc1-b2 43.Kb3-c2 44.Bb2-c1 52.Kh4×h5[Sg8] 60.Kd1-c2 61.Bc1-b2 64.Kb4-a5 74.Bd8-b6 76.Ka6-b7 77.Bb6-c7 79.Kc8-d8 90.Bf8-e7 94.Kg7×h7[Bc8] 98.Ke8-d8 109.Ba5-c7 110.Kd8×c8 %

#### DX-31 Arno Tüngler feenschach 2006



ser-% 145 C+ (4+15) Circe

DX-31: 1.e5-e6 7.Bd1×e2[Be7] 13.Be8-d7 15.Kd8-e8 26.Bh5-f7 28.Kf8-g7 29.Bf7-g6 31.Kh6-h5 42.Bh3-g4 44.Kh4-g3 47.Bf1-e2 51.Kd1-c1 53.Bd1-c2 55.Kd2-c3 56.Bc2-b3 58.Kb4-a3 60.Ba4b5 62.Ka4×a5[Sb8] 64.Ka4-a3 66.Ba4-b3 68.Kb4-c3 69.Bb3-c2 71.Kd2-c1 73.Bd1-e2 77.Kf2-g3 80.Bh3-g4 82.Kh4-h5 93.Be8g6 95.Kh6-g7 96.Bg6-f7 98.Kf8-e8 109.Bc8-d7 111.Kd8-c8 119.Bd1-b3 121.Kb7×a7[Bf8] 123.Kb7-c8 131.Be8-d7 133.Kd8e8 144.Bh5-f7 145. Ke8×f8 %

### Arno Tüngler





ser- $\times$  61 C+ (10+11) DX-27: 1.Kh3-h4 12.Be8-g6 13.Kh4-g4 14.h2-h4 15.Kg4-h3 22.Ba4-

**DX-29** Branko Koludrović Arno Tüngler ChessProblems.ca 2013 4<sup>th</sup> Hon. Mention

DX-27

 $ser - \times 60$ 

Arno Tüngler

feenschach 2009

dedicated to Sonja

C+(9+11)



ser- $\times$  83 C+ (10+12)

**DX-29**: 1.Ka4-a5 14.Bc5-b4 16.Ka4-b3 18.a4-a5 19.a2-a4 20.Kb3-a3 32.Bc1-b2 36.Kc1-d1 40.Be1-f2 42.Ke2-f3 43.Bf2-g3 45.Kg4-h4 56.Bh6-g5 60.Kg7-f8 69.Bd8-e7 71.Ke8-d8 82.Bb6-c7  $83.Kd8 \times d7 \times$ 

When exploring different new aims in the 1980's the German Problemkiste, published by Erich Bartel, was also promoting all the stipulations connected with capture goals. It is important to understand that in self- or helpplay with the goal to force or help the passive side to specific moves, there is no 'ban' on these moves for the active side. In a self-capture White is well allowed to capture. in a self-Zxy he can enter square xy and in self-pin he may pin a black unit without ending the play.

The 3-unit length record for this stipulation is unique - the only problem with a quiet last move bringing Black into zugzwang! Therefore, it would also be a correct ser-xz (capture Zugzwang), the famous invention of the late Dan Meinking. Are there more ways to make use of this possibility instead of the usual last-move check?

Starting with 5 units the Circe self win-a-piece records are shorter than the corresponding 'orthodox' self-capture tasks. Most likely this has to do with the need to have the white rebirth square of the checking unit occupied. This is well demonstrated by the only original in this section, SX-8 by Paul Răican. The bSd1 is here smartly used as not only occupying the needed square (and thus being "uncapturable") but also observing squares that would allow duals in the march of the white king.

#### ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

April 2016

#### ser-s $\times \rightarrow$ 'Orthodox' 3–6 units

SX-1 Hilmar Ebert Miloš Tomašević Problemkiste 1984



**SX-1**: 1.Ka4-b3 6.a7-a8=B 8.Be4-b1 Ka1×b1 ×

**SX-2**: 1.Ka3-a4 8.Kf5×f4 9.Kf4-e4 14.f7-f8=Q 15.Qf8-b4+  $Kc4 \times b4 \times$ 

#### SX-3 Erich Bartel Jugendschach 1984



**SX-3**: 1.Kh1-g1 15.Kf5×f4 16.Kf4×f3 17.Kf3-e4 22.f7-f8=Q 23.Qf8-b4+ Kc4 $\times$ b4  $\times$ 

**SX-4**: 1.Ka4-b4 14.Ke1×d2 27.Kc5×c4 28.Kc4-d4 33.c7-c8=Q  $34.Qc8-g4+Kf4\times g4 \times$ 



#### ser-s% $\rightarrow$ Circe 3–6 units



SX-6 **Henry Tanner** after U. Heinonen Problemkiste 2000



**SX-5**: 1.Kc4-d4 6.c7-c8=Q 8.Qg4-d1+ Kd2×d1 % **SX-6**: 1.Kh3-h4 5.Ke7-d8 6.c7-c8=Q 7.Qc8-d7 11.Kg5×h5[Bc8] 15.Ke2-d1 16.Qd7-g7 + Kg8×g7 %

#### SX-4 Miloš Tomašević Radovan Tomašević Problemkiste 1992



C+(2+4)



C+(2+3)Circe

SX-8 Paul Răican Original



SX-7: 1.Kd1-e1 8.Ke7-d8 9.b3×c4 13.c7-c8=Q 14.Qc8-d7 18.Kg5×g4[Bc8] 21.Ke2-d1 22.Qd7-g7+ Kg8×g7 % **SX-8**: 1.Kh5-h4 13.Ke7×f7[Bc8] 20.Kb8×c8 22.Kd7×e6[Sg8] 23.Ke6-f5 26.e7-e8=Q 28.Qe1-f2+ Kf3×f2 %



Circe



ser-s% 22

Again I need to turn your attention to the fact that there is no record for 10 units in the 'orthodox' section! We record hunters like to fill such gaps, but obviously it is not easy. Sometimes the only way to do it is to come up with an absolutely new idea not connected with the schemes used for the neighbouring more or fewer units...

Unto Heinonen and Jörg Varnholt made full use of a very specific Circe matrix that was mostly used for the low numbers in this category. When solving this kind of problems it is always interesting to analyze why the captures have to be made in the particular sequence.

### 'Orthodox' 7–10 units



SX-9 Miloš Tomašević Problemkiste 1992

**SX-9**: 1.Ka3-a2 13.Kb7×a8 27.Ka3×a4 40.Kc6×b6 41.Kb6-c5 44.b7-b8=Q 45.Qb8-b2 + Kc3×b2 ×

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{SX-10:} \ 1.Kg4-f4 \ 16.Kg7 \times h6 \ 33.Kg4 \times h4 \ 49.Kg7 \times g6 \ 51.Kf5 \times e4 \\ 54.Ke6 \times d6 \ 55.Kd6-c5 \ 58.d7-d8 = Q \ 60.Qd1-c2 \ + \ Kc3 \times c2 \ \times \end{array}$ 





 $ser-s \times 72$  C+ (2+7)

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8



 $ser-s \times 60$ 

C+(2+6)

SX-12 Unto Heinonen Problemkiste 2000



Circe

SX-13 Unto Heinonen Problemkiste 2000



SX-12: 1.Kd1-c1 14.Kf2×f1[Sg8] 20.Kc7×d8[Rh8] 26.Kc6-d5 27.d7-d8=Q 28.Qd8-f6 35.Kc1-d1 36.Qf6-d4+ Kd3×d4, Qg7×d4 %

SX-13: 1.Ka8-a7 7.Kf5×f4[Bf8] 23.Kc7×d8[Rh8] 36.Ke6-d5 37.d7-d8=Q 38.Qd8-f6 44.Ke1-d1 45.Qf6-d4+ Kd3×d4, Qg7×d4 %





ser-s% 59 C+ (2+7) Circe SX-15 Jörg Varnholt Problemkiste 2001



 $\begin{array}{c} \text{ser-s\% } 67 \quad \text{C+} \quad (2+8) \\ \text{Circe} \\ \end{array}$ 

Starting with SX-17 the Kemp mechanism takes over as the main matrix for the 'orthodox' records. All these were produced in 1992 by the Tomašević duo and some of them are quite similar to the corresponding series self target-square tasks.

Branko intervenes now, to dominate the self win-a-piece section starting with 11 units. For the first two records he still uses the Heinonen matrix but then he moves to the already wellknown scheme from other Circe stipulations with the formation of two rooks and two knights. The 14-units record has a full 26 moves more than the one with 13 units and is again longer than the 'orthodox' capture problem with the same number of units.

'Orthodox' 11-14 units

SX-16 Miloš Tomašević Radovan Tomašević Problemkiste 1992



**SX-16**: 1.Kf5-g5 14.Kb5×a6 31.Kd7×c8 50.Ka7×a8 69.Kd7×c7 71.Kd6×e5 72.Ke5-f5 76.e7-e8=Q 78.Qe1-f2 Kf3×f2 ×

**SX-17**: 1.Kf1-e1 14.Kf5×g4 29.Kf1×g1 45.Kg4×h3 62.Kg1×h1 79.Kg4×f3 81.Ke3×d3 82.Kd3-e3 84.d3×e4 88.e7-e8=Q 89.Qe8-b5 + Kc5 $\times$ b5  $\times$ 

SX-18 Miloš Tomašević





 $ser-s \times 94$  C+ (3+10)

**SX-18**: 1.Kf1-e1 15.Kf5×g4 31.Kf1×g1 51.Kg4×h3 70.Kg1×h1 89.Kg4×f3 87.Ke3×d3 88.Kd3-e3 89.d3×e4 95.f7-f8=Q 96.Qe8b5+ Kc5 $\times$ b5  $\times$ 

**SX-19**: 1.Kf1-e1 16.Kf5×g4 33.Kf1×g1 51.Kg4×h3 66.Kg1×h1 85.Kg4×f3 90.Kf3-e2 92.f4×e5 93.e5×f6 93.e7-e8=Q 94.Qf8b4+ Kc4 $\times$ b4  $\times$ 

#### Circe 11–14 units

SX-20 Miloš Tomašević Radovan Tomašević Problemkiste 1992

C+(3+9)

菖

\$\$

SX-17

 $ser-s \times 89$ 

SX-19

Miloš Tomašević

Radovan Tomašević

Problemkiste 1992



Circe

## Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2008



SX-21 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2008



Circe

**SX-20**: 1.Ka5-a4 4.Ka2×b1[Ra8] 7.Kd1×e1[Bf8] 16.Kb6×c6[Bc7] 17.Kc6×c7 31.Kh3×h4[Sb8] 46.Kc7×d8[Rh8] 62.Ke6-d5 63.d7-d8=Q 64.Qd8-f6 70.Ke1-d1 71.Qf6-d4+ Kd3×d4,Qg7×d4 %

**SX-21**: 1.Ka5-a4 4.Ka2×b1[Ra8] 7.Kd1×e1[Bf8] 16.Kb6×c6[Bc7] 18.Kd5×e6[Sg8] 21.Kc6×c7 35.Kh3×h4[Sb8] 50.Kc7×d8[Rh8] 66.Ke6-d5 67.d7-d8=Q 68.Qd8-f6 74.Ke1-d1 75.Qf6-d4+ Kd3×d4,Qg7×d4 %

SX-22 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2008



ser-s% 76 C+(5+8)Circe

Problemkiste 2008 ¢

Branko Koludrović

SX-23



ser-s% 102 C+ (5+9) Circe

**SX-22**: 1.Ka8-b8 19.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 37.Kc8×b8 56.Kb5×c6[Ra8] 57.Kc6×c5[Sb8] 72.Kb7×a8 73.Ka8×b8 75.Kc8-d7 76.f4×e5[Bf8]+ Kf6×e5 %

**SX-23**: 1.Kc8-d8 12.Kd2×c2[Pc7] 26.Kb8×a7 45.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 63.Kc8×b8 82.Kb5×c6[Ra8] 83.Kc6×c5[Sb8] 98.Kb7×a8 99.Ka8×b8 101.Kc8-d7 102.f4×e5[Bf8]+ Kf6×e5 %

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

293

SX-26 and SX-27 were the only new 'orthodox' length records in this category that we were able to compose. Miloš and Radovan were really good in making use of the known matrices. I was happy to find the possibility for the SX-27 with an unprotected pawn on d7 that cannot be captured by the white king as it is needed for capture by the wP in the 119<sup>th</sup> move! By the way, the same position would also be a correct ser-Ze6 in 121 moves. equalizing the **DZ-32** on page 218 of Bulletin 7.

Starting with SX-28 Branko forces Black to capture on g6 - demonstrating his ability to take advantage of the above-mentioned matrix.

'Orthodox' 15-18 units

#### SX-24 Miloš Tomašević Radovan Tomašević Problemkiste 1992



109

**SX-24**: 1.Kf1-e1 18.Kh6×g5 37.Kf1×g1 58.Kg5×f6 59.Kh3×h4 80.Kg1×h1 102.Kg4×f3 103.Kf3-e2 106.f5×g6 108.g7-g8=Q 109.Qg8-b3 + Kc3 $\times$ b3  $\times$ 

**SX-25**: 1.Ke7-d8 19.Kh4×h5 38.Kd8×e8 58.Kg4×h3 79.Ke8×f8 102.Ke6×d6 103.Kd6×c5 104.Kc5×c4 105.Kc4×c3 106.Kc3-d2 110.c6×b7 111.b7-b8=Q 112.Qb8-g3+ Kf3×g3 ×

#### SX-26

Paul Răican Arno Tüngler StrateGems 2013





SX-27 Arno Tüngler StrateGems 2011





C+(3+15)

**SX-26**: 1.Kf1-e1 20.Kh5×g4 41.Kf1×g1 63.Kg4×h3 86.Kg1×h1 109.Kg4×f3 110.Kf3-e2 113.f5×e6 115.e7-e8=Q 116.Qe8-a4+  $Kc4 \times c5 \times$ 

**SX-27**: 1.Kd6-e7 17.Ka3×a4 35.Kd6×c5 54.Ka4×a5 73.Kd6×c7 93.Ka5×a6 114.Kc5×c4 115.Kc4-d3 119.c6×d7 120.d7-d8=Q 121.Qd8-f6+ Kf5 $\times$ f6  $\times$ 

#### SX-25

 $ser-s \times$ 

112

Miloš Tomašević Radovan Tomašević Problemkiste 1993



C+(3+13)

SX-28 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



C+ (4+11) ser-s% 110 Circe

#### SX-29 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



SX-28: 1.Kb5-b4 22.Kb8×a7 44.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 65.Kc8×b8 87.Kb5×c6[Ra8] 88.K×c5[Sb8] 106.Kb7×a8 107.Ka8×b8 109.Kc8-d7 110.Sg7-e6+ Kf6×g6 %

SX-29: 1.Ke2-d1 7.Kb4×b5[Bc8] 27.Kd8×c8 29.Kb8×a7 51.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 72.Kc8×b8 94.Kb5×c6[Ra8] 95.Kc6×c5[Sb8] 113.Kb7×a8 114.Ka8×b8 116.Kc8-d7 117.Sg7-e6+ Kf6×g6 %

#### SX-30 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



Circe 121

#### SX-31 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



C+(4+14)124 Circe

**SX-30**: 1.Kc8-d8 15.Kd2×c2[Pc7] 32.Kb8×a7 54.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 75.Kc8×b8 97.Kb5×c6[Ra8] 98.Kc6×c5[Sb8] 117.Kb7×a8 118.Ka8×b8 120.Kc8-d7 121.Sg7-e6+ Kf6×g6 %

SX-31: 1.Kc8-d8 4.h5×g6 18.Kd2×c2[Pc7] 35.Kb8×a7 57.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 78.Kc8×b8 100.Kb5×c6[Ra8] 101.Kc6×c5[Sb8] 120.Kb7×a8 121.Ka8×b8 123.Kc8-d7 124.Sg7-e6+ Kf6×g6 %

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

121

Circe 15–18 units

Probably you remember the matrix of **SX-32**. I really like it as it differs from the others in having the release of the imprisoned white bishop as the first goal of the long king journey. After that the 'endgame' is also interesting here as bishop and king five times take turns in continuing the series!

139 moves for the normal force length record for self win-a-piece does not seem much, especially if you take into account that with promoted force you pass 200! Obviously there is room for improvement and you are invited to look for new ideas of how to lose a piece...

SX-38 (contd): 125.Ra5-a7 127.Ka5-a6 129.Ra5b5 143.Kf5×g6 157.Ka5-a6 159.Ra5-a3 161.Ka5a4 163.Ra5-b5 172.Kf8×g8 181.Ka5-a4 183.Ra5a7 185.Ka5-a6 187.Ra5-b5 192.Kb2×a1[Rh8] 203.Kf5×f6[Pf7] 209.Kg1-f1 210.Sb7-d6+ Rh8×a8 %

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

#### 'Orthodox' 19 units and Overall Records

SX-32 Miloš Tomašević Problemkiste 1984



**SX-32**: 1.Kh5-h6 11.Ka6×b5 29.Ke1×d1 48.Kb5×a4 70.Kb1×a2 92.Kb5×b4 113.Kc2×b2 114.Kb2-c3 117.Bc1×e3 120.Ke1-f2 121.Be3×f4 124.Kh4-h5 125.Bf4-e5 + Kf6/Qe6×e5 ×

126

SX-33: 1.Ke7-d8 10.Ka2-a1 11.Bb1-a2 15.Kd1×e2 19.Kb1-a1 20.Ba2b1 30.Kd8×e8 40.Ka2-a1 41.Bb1-a2 50.Kh4×h5 59.Kb1-a1 60.Ba2b1 71.Ke7×f6 82.Ka2-a1 83.Bb1-a2 93.Kh5×h6 103.Kb1-a1 104.Ba2b1 116.Kf6×f5 117.Kf5×f4 118.Kf4-e5 123.f7×g8=Q 124.Qg8×f8 126.Qb8-b5 + Kc6 $\times$ b5  $\times$ 

SX-34:

g4

b3

e8

 $66.Kh5 \times h4$ 

122.Kd4-c3 123.Bb3-c2

171.Kf8-g7

126.Ke3×f2 129.Kd2-c3 130.Bc2-

b3 132.Kb4-a4 143.Ba6-b5

147.Kb7-c8 156.Be8-d7 158.Kd8-

172.Bf7-g6 174.Kh6-h5 185.Bh3-

g4 186.Kh5×g5 188.Kh4-h3

199.Bf1-g2+ Rg1/Rb2×g2 ×

169.Bh5-f7

SX-34 Arno Tüngler ChessProblems.ca 2013



SX-33 Radovan Tomašević Problemkiste 1992 \$ \$ W



#### Circe 19–21 units. Overall Records SX-35

Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001 C+ (6+13)

ser-s% 135Circe

SX-36 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



136Circe

SX-35: 1.Kc3-d2 14.Ke8-d8 15.f3×e4 29.Kd2×c2[Pc7] 46.Kb8×a7 68.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 89.Kc8×b8 111.Kb5×c6[Ra8] 112.Kc6×c5[Sb8] 131.Kb7×a8 132.Ka8×b8 134.Kc8-d7 135.g4-g5 + Kf6×g5,g6 %

SX-36: 1.Kc3-d2 14.Ke8-d8 15.f3×e4 29.Kd2×c2[Pc7] 46.Kb8×a7 68.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 89.Kc8×b8 111.Kb5×c6[Ra8] 112.Kc6×c5[Sb8] 132.Kb7×a8 133.Ka8×b8 135.Kc8-d7 136.g4-g5 + Kf6×g5,g6 %

#### SX-37 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



SX-38 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2006

Ê ģ 9 藚 ģ ٤ ser-s% 210 (13+16)Circe

**SX-37**: 1.Kc2-d1 15.Kd8×c7 31.Kc7×b2[Bf8] 44.Kg8×f8 60.Kb4×a5[Sb8] 80.Kc7×b8 101.Ka5×a6 121.Kd8×c8[Sg8] 125.Kf8×g8 135.Ke2×d3[Ra8] 136.Kd3×e3[Pe7] 137.Ke3×d2 138.Kd2-c1 139.Sg7-e6 + Ra8×h8 % SX-38: 1.d3×c4[Sg8] 2.Ra5-b5 3.Ra4-a7 6.Ka5-a6 8.Ra5-a3 10.Ka5-a4 12.Ra5-b5 18.Kc8-d8 19.b2×c3 25.Ka5-a4 27.Ra5-a7 29.Ka5-a6 31.Ra5b5 42.Kh2×h3[Bc8] 53.Ka5-a6 55.Ra5-a3 57.Ka5-a4 59.Ra5-b5 64.Kb8×c8 69.Ka5-a4 71.Ra5-a7 73.Ka5-a6 75.Ra5-b5 89.Kf5×e6 103.Ka5-a6 105.Ra5a3 107.Ka5-a4 109.Ra5-b5 116.Kd8×e8[Bc8] 118.Kd8×c8 123.Ka5-a4

 $ser-s \times 199$  C+ (9+15)

### http://Bulletin.ChessProblems.ca

30 years ago the first Help Capture Series-mover length records appeared in Problemkiste and up to now the main use of that stipulation stayed with this kind of problems. **HX-4** was a surprising find 8 years later, in a race for records that had started with 20 moves and in 1985 was raised to just 21 moves by Hans Gruber. The new idea was to dispense with the promotion finish and pay more attention to the length of the king path.

1992 was also the start for Help Win-a-Piece Series-movers. These are all longer than the corresponding 'orthodox' series help captures. The helpful particularity here is that the goal can only be achieved if the black rebirth square is occupied for the white capture, a fact that again especially Branko has used well for achieving long series. While the 3unit record of the 4 authors is published here as it was in the *feenschach* article of 2002 there was another position, also 9 moves long, that appeared at the same time in the same Problemkiste issue, PDB/P1244998.

#### ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8



C+(2+2)

ඪ

C+(4+2)

296

Cornel's and my attempts to find new records were connected with the matrix that Miloš and Radovan Tomašević had used with this stipulation, as it turned out that they had overlooked some opportunities...

We see a nice Circe-specific idea in HX-15 and **HX-16**: you first need to capture wBg3 to "win the piece" without rebirth on c1, and only after that you may capture the unit on that square. Branko is a master for detecting such possibilities!

#### 'Orthodox' 7-10 units

HX-9 Miloš Tomašević Radovan Tomašević Mat 1992



 $ser-h \times 37$  C+ (5+2)

HX-9: 1.Kf4-e4 16.Kh4×h3 32.Ke4×f5 33.Kf5-e4 37.f3-f2 Qg1 $\times$ f2  $\times$ 

HX-10: 1.Kh6-h7 13.Ke4×f4 29.Kh4×h3 45.Ke4×f5 46.Kf5-e4 50.f3-f2 Qg1 $\times$ f2  $\times$ 

HX-11 **Cornel Pacurar** ChessProblems.ca 2010



ser-h $\times$  54 C+ (7+2)

HX-12 **Cornel Pacurar** Itamar Favbish Blog zlinskeho

HX-10

Mat 1992

 $ser-h \times 50$ 

Miloš Tomašević

Radovan Tomašević



 $ser-h \times 59$ 

HX-11: 1.Kd8-e8 7.Kh5×h4 20.Kc4×d3 34.Kh4×h3 50.Ke4×f4 51.Kf4-e4 54.f3-f2 Qg1×f2 × **HX-12**: 1.Kf4-e4 13.Kh6×h5 26.Ke2×e1 40.Kh5×h4 54.Ke4×f5

55.Kf5-e4 59.f3-f2 Qg1 $\times$ f2  $\times$ 

#### HX-13 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001

Circe 7–10 units



C+(6+2)

ser-h% 46 C+(5+2)Circe

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

HX-14 **Henry Tanner** Problemkiste 2000



HX-13: 1.Kg1-h2 12.Ka6×a5[Sg1] 23.Kh2×g1 39.Kd3×e2[Rh1] 44.Kf5×e6[Sb1] 46.Kd7-c7 Bg2×c6 %

HX-14: 1.Kf1-g1 17.Kb2×c1[Sg1] 33.Kh2×g1 51.Kd3×e2[Rh1] 56.Kf5×e6[Sb1] 58.Kd7-c7 Kc5×c4 %

#### HX-15 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



HX-16 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



Circe

**HX-15**: 1.Kd2-c3 14.Kg4×g3 27.Kb2×c1[Sg1] 42.Kh2×g1 59.Kd1×e2[Rh1] 64.Kf5×e6[Sb1] 66.Kd7-c7 Bg2×c6 % **HX-16**: 1.Ka8-a7 6.Ka3×b2[Ra1] 7.Kb2×a1 20.Kg4×g3

33.Kb2×c1[Sg1] 48.Kh2×g1 65.Kd1×e2[Rh1] 70.Kf5×e6[Sb1] 72.Kd7-c7 Bg2×c6 %

I attacked the 11 and 13 units records of the Tomašević duo and was successful with **HX-17** and **HX-19** because of the number of moves shown in the record table. For example, I had doubts that only two extra moves can be achieved with a whole unit more than in **HX-18**, as you can see in PDB/P1224349. Certainly this does not work every time, but it is worthwhile analyzing the record tables and then concentrate on the promising spots.

You need to have good imagination to see the nice possibility of having a white rook on b2 in **HX-21**! At first glance you may notice the dangerous capture of that rook by the black c-pawn, but then you realize that this would be an illegal self-check due to its rebirth on a1! And this very rook prevents the black king from leaving the fatal a-file, unless he captures the rook and thus destroys all opportunities for the pawn...

All four Circe tasks with 11 to 14 units use the fact that Black needs access for his king to the potential rebirth square of the unit that must be captured. I like especially the fine use of the black bishop in **HX-22**, that first is needed so that one white rook can be captured without rebirth and then itself becomes the sacrifice for the other white rook.

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

'Orthodox' 11-14 units

HX-17 Arno Tüngler StrateGems 2011



**HX-17**: 1.Ke2-d2 15.Kh5×h4 31.Ke2×f3 47.Kh4×h3 65.Kf4×f5 66.Kf5-e6 70.f3-f2 Qg1×f2 ×

**HX-18**: 1.Kh8-g8 2.f7-f6 11.Kc2×d2 24.Kh5×h4 39.Ke2×f3 55.Kh4×h3 73.Kf4×f5 74.Kf5-e6 78.f3-f2 Qg1×f2 ×

HX-20





ser-h $\times$  84 C+ (11+2)

**HX-19**: 1.Ke2-d2 18.Kh5×h4 37.Ke2×f3 57.Kh4×h3 79.Kf4×f5 80.Kf5-e6 84.f3-f2 Qg1×f2 ×

**HX-20**: 1.Kg4-h5 16.Kd1×e1 32.Kh5×h4 49.Ke2×f3 67.Kh4×h3 87.Kf4×f5 88.Kf5-e6 92.f3-f2 Qg1×f2 ×

#### HX-18 Miloš Tomašević





#### HX-21 Henry Tanner Problemkiste 2000

Circe 11–14 units



 $\begin{vmatrix} \text{ser-h}\% & 76 & \text{C+} & (9+2) \\ \text{Circe} \end{vmatrix}$ 

HX-22 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



#### HX-23 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



Circe

HX-24 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



ser-h% 99 C+ (12+2) Circe

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{HX-23:} 1.Kh2-h3 11.Kc7 \times c6[Pc2] 29.Kb1 \times a2 49.Kb5 \times a4[Sb1] \\ 68.Kc1 \times b1 88.Kb4 \times c3[Ra1] 89.Kc3 \times c4[Sb1] 90.Kc4 \times d4[Pd2] \\ 92.Ke5 \times f6[Pf2] 93.Kf6-g7 94.g5-g4 + Kf3 \times g4 \% \\ \textbf{HX-24:} 1.Kc1-d1 16.Kc7 \times c6[Pc2] 34.Kb1 \times a2 54.Kb5 \times a4[Sb1] \\ 73.Kc1 \times b1 93.Kb4 \times c3[Ra1] 94.Kc3 \times c4[Sb1] 95.Kc4 \times d4[Pd2] \\ 97.Ke5 \times f6[Pf2] 98.Kf6-g7 99.g5-g4 + Kf3 \times g4 \% \end{array}$ 





If you ask me which of this series of capture records has the most potential for being increased, I would point to **HX-26**. It is the only Kemp mechanism in this category (besides my overall record!) and is only 5 moves longer than the task with 15 units. Quite a few ideas here, but no success yet...

A Kemp matrix amended for Circe is again used for the high numbers with the win-a-piece goal. Branko managed to extend his former 2006 record with 18 units by moving the white queen to a4!

#### 'Orthodox' 15-18 units

HX-25 Miloš Tomašević Radovan Tomašević Mat 1992



 $ser-h \times 93$  C+ (13+2)

HX-26

Mat 1992

\$?`**\$** 

Ï

Å

 $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ 

<u>2 </u>

Å

 $ser-h \times 98$  C+ (14+2)

Miloš Tomašević

Radovan Tomašević

#### HX-27 Miloš Toma



 Image: Ser-h×
 C+ (15+2)

 107
 C+ (15+2)

### HX-28 Miloš Tomašević Radovan Tomašević Mat 1992



 $\begin{array}{rrr} \text{ser-h} \times & \text{C+} & (16+2) \\ 114 \end{array}$ 

HX-29 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001

Circe 15–18 units



#### HX-30 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2001



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{HX-30:} \ 1.Kh1-h2 \ 9.Kf8 \times e8[Sb1] \ 25.Kb4 \times c5 \ 43.Kd8 \times c8 \ 62.Kc5 \times b6 \\ 82.Kc8 \times b8 \ 102.Kc5 \times d6[Pd2] \ 110.Kh4 \times g5[Pg2] \ 111.Kg5 \times f6[Pf2] \\ 112.Kf6-e7 \ Qa1 \times e5 \ \% \end{array}$ 

#### HX-31

Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2006



Why is it so hard to achieve higher numbers in the help-capture realm with normal and with promoted force? Actually you have almost no possibilities to use any unit other than the black king for moving freely, as other black force would quickly be able to offer itself for capture. Thus pendulum manoeuvres have not yet been utilized here.

Both overall records of Branko with Circe rules are amazing. Have a close look at how he managed to keep the strong black force with promoted force under control, so that no earlier piece loss is possible!

> Arno Tüngler Bishkek, April 8<sup>th</sup>, 2016

#### 'Orthodox' Overall Records

HX-32 Arno Tüngler StrateGems 2013



ser-h× C+ (16 116

**HX-32**: 1.Kc8-d8 19.Ka4×b5 39.Kc8×b8 60.Kb5×a6 82.Kb8×a8 104.Kb5×c6 105.Kc6×d5 115.Kg6-f6 116.Sg7×e6 Qe3×e6 ×

HX-33 Cornel Pacurar Arno Tüngler HC69 ChessProblems.ca 17.02.2013



126

#### **Circe Overall Records**

HX-34 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2006



ser-h% C+ (16+3)129 Circe

HX-35 Branko Koludrović Problemkiste 2000



# A Puzzling Side Aside

### by Adrian Storisteanu



*A Puzzling Sight Inside* (Cornel Pacurar - *tChess Pro* and *Matter* for iPhone, 2016)

ARTICLES

#### An aside aside

*The Puzzling Side of Chess* website: http://coakleychess.com/puzzlingside

a) black is in checkmate,

b) black is in stalemate,

c) white has a mate in one.

See also "Triple is the charm", *feenschach* 202, August 2013, p.250

## a puzzling side aside

It's good to see positions which aren't afraid to call themselves puzzles. It makes a refreshing break from the oh-so-serious concerns of the modern problemist. — Neal Turner, *MatPlus.net* forum, Feb. 2016

In this issue Jeff Coakley, from *The Puzzling Side of Chess* just down the road, is dropping by. (The occasion appears to be serious business, which in itself is a surprise.)

Jeff has just moved his collection of old and new puzzles to a new joint, after *Chess Café* went under (like many dot coms do). At the new establishment (one of the few places where I can still light up) you will find the same usually unusual and whimsical problems. The language overheard has its own charm – it is a world of maximizers, double whammies and multi-whams, additives and inverted loyds, and all sorts of goofs. You'll run into mazes, construction tasks, short PGs, shorter helpmates (in one), long retrograde analyses (in many), serials (anywhere in between), along with illustrative trivia bits and illustrations by Antoine Duff. It might get a bit noisy, but it is always fun.

Here is a smörgåsbord, light and with the occasional fairy spice, and prepared in the style of the house specialties.

#### **Adrian Storisteanu**

"I Walk the Line"



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

*I keep the ends out for the tie that binds*. The puzzle was inspired, at one point or another, by Johnny Cash's song.

a) Kb3 ≠; b) Kd3 =; c) Kf3: 1.Rf4≠.

*I find myself alone when each day is through.* The keys for the three parts of the problem consist of the lone bK striding along the 3rd rank, each time closer to the wK (The Man in Black, walking the line).

*Hmmmmmm.* "Once while performing the song on his TV show, Cash told the audience, with a smile, 'People ask me why I always hum whenever I sing this song. It's to get my pitch.' The humming was necessary since the song required Cash to change keys several times while singing it." [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/I\_Walk\_The\_Line] Being a triple loyd, this composition similarly changes keys between its three parts.

#### Adrian Storisteanu



add  $\square \underline{\bigtriangleup}$  for  $\neq 1$ vertical-mirror circe b)  $2 d5 \rightarrow a2$ 

a) add wRa1, wBa2 for 1.Ba2xd5(Sb8)≠; b) add wBh1, wRg2 for 1.Rg2xa2(Sb8)≠. Reductivist remote reciprocal R-B batteries in asymmetrical solutions.

switcheroo #1 – swap any two pieces, regardless of type and colour, for a legal position where white can checkmate in one.

See also "(-:", *ChessProblems.ca Bulletin* 5, April 2015, p.138.

**cyclotron** – put the bK in checkmate by a cyclical swap of *any* three pieces: piece on *a* goes to square *b*, piece on *b* goes to square *c*, and piece on *c* goes to square *a*. The post-swaps position must be legal.

The puzzles are original for the Bulletin.

#### **Adrian Storisteanu**



add 8 🕼s for a position with the lowest possible number of available moves

*HINT: it is possible to place nine*  $\Im$  *s on the board such that there are* fewer than 40 *moves available* 

### b) now relocate one 🔄, such that the same number of available moves is maintained

HINT: half the solution is given away by the stipulation

a) 38 moves – Ne1 + Na1, Na6, Nc2, Nc5, Nc7, Ne3, Ne6, Ng2; b)  $\forall e1 \rightarrow g7$ . As vaguely suggested by the unhelpful hint, we must of course move that N already placed in the problem's diagram (Ne1) – if we could relocate any other N, that would mean that there are *two* possible solutions to the first part. On e1, this N takes away 3 available moves from the other Ns and adds 4 of its own, whereas on g7 it takes away 2 moves and adds 3 – for an equal net gain of one move in both cases.

These two are the only base positions (not counting the usual rotations and reflections, that is) for the fewest available moves with nine Ns.



#### ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

9 🖓 s – 38 moves

#### Adrian Storisteanu



a)  $h\neq 3$  b) cyclotron

a) 1.Kc2! (Kc1?) Ke2 2.Kc1 Kd3 3.Kd1 *rundlauf* Rf1≠;
b) cycle Kd1→f1, Kf1→f3, Rf3→d1. Echoes.

In March, Jeff posted his 100th Puzzling column. Cheers!

#### Adrian Storisteanu, Jeff Coakley



switcheroo  $\neq 1^*$ b) after the key

a) Set mate: **1.0-0** $\neq$ , set swap: Ke1 $\leftrightarrow$ Rh1 $\neq$ ,

solution: Rh1 $\leftrightarrow$ Ka1 1.0-0-0 $\neq$ ;

b) Set mate: **1.0-0**- $0\neq$ , set swap: Ra1 $\leftrightarrow$ Ke1 $\neq$ ,

solution: Ra1 $\leftrightarrow$ Kh1 1.0-0 $\neq$ .

The wR is interchanged with the *white* K in the set-play swap (a simple-switcheroo solution), and with the *black* K in the actual solution.

Perpetuum mobile. An extended variation on the ol' set play – a "set swap" is available on top of the traditional "set mate". One of the first compositions with the expanded switcheroo  $\neq 1$  concept (Switcheroo 2.0) from the Wells Street Session of Spring 2015.

Adrian Storisteanu Toronto, April 2016

### LAST PAGE

#### Miervaldis (Walter) Jurševskis



Miervaldis (Walter) Jurševskis – born November 6, 1921 in Riga, Latvia, died March 15, 2014 in Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada.

He fled Riga in 1945, just prior to the Soviet forces arriving. In 1948 Jurševskis emigrated to Canada where he eventually settled in Vancouver, where he became a display artist for the T Eaton Company. He won the British Columbia Championships six times (1949, 1950, 1954-57), was a Chess Master, and an avid player of 5 minute blitz games.

ISSN 2292-8324

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 8

DEPARTMENT OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

Information Division

Nearly two million immigrants who have arrived in Canada since World War II have brought new customs and traditions, new talents and skills, to enrich the Canadian pattern of life. Almost every phase of Canadian activity has felt their influence.

Photo: Vancouver Sun (Villy Svarre, Photographer) (Jan.13/59)

The interest in chess which is shared by many newcomers such as Walter Jursevskis, who came to Canada from Latvia in 1948, has given this ancient game a new importance in this country.

Walter Jursevskis playing chess - verso. Photo from the Vancouver Sun (January 13, 1959) and classified under Latvian. Credit: Canada. Dept. of Manpower and Immigration / Library and Archives Canada (MIKAN no. 4369734)

1497