CHESSPROBLEMS.CA BULLETIN

Contents

1	Originals	440
	2017 Informal Tourney	440
	Hors Concours	444
2	Articles	446
	Vlaicu Crișan & Paul Răican:	
	Schnoebelen Theme in	
	Shortest Proof Games	446
	Jeff Coakley & Andrey Frolkin:	
	Minimalism in Chess Rebuses	451
	Cornel Pacurar: Proof Games	
	Visualizations	464
	Sébastien Luce: Twofold Excelsior	
	Promotion – Part 2	496
	Arno Tüngler: Series-mover Artists:	
	Unto Heinonen	502
	Arno Tüngler: Record Breakers IV	505
	Itamar Faybish: ifaybish com TT	000
	Retrospective & TT8	507
3	Last Page	539
	Paz Einat in Toronto	539

Editor:	Cornel Pacurar	
Collaborators:	Elke Rehder,	
Adriar	n Storisteanu, Arno Tüngler	
Originals:	originals@chessproblems.ca	
Articles:	articles@chessproblems.ca	
Correspondence:	bulletin@chessproblems.ca	

ISSN 2292-8324 ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11 *ISSUE 11 (APRIL 2017)*



Daring Attack [Chess painting in mixed media, ©Elke Rehder, http://www.elke-rehder.de. Reproduced with permission.]

2017 Informal Tourney

ChessProblems.ca's annual Informal Tourney is open for series-movers of any type and with any fairy conditions and pieces. *Hors concours* compositions (any genre) are also welcome!

Send to: originals@chessproblems.ca.

2017 Judge:

Paz Einat

(ISR)

2017 Tourney Participants:

1. Alberto Armeni	(ITA
2. György Bakcsi	(HUN
3. Ivan Bryukhanov	(UKR
4. János Csák	(HUN
5. Jean-Christian Galli	(FRA
6. Emil Klemanič	(SVK
7. Branko Koludrović	(HRV
8. Sébastien Luce	(FRA
9. Karol Mlynka	(SVK
10. Paul Răican	(ROU
11. Manfred Rittirsch	(DEU
12. Adrian Storisteanu	(CAN
13. Jaroslav Štúň	(SVK
14. Pierre Tritten	(FRA
15. Arno Tüngler	(DEU



T319 (Emil Klemanič):

i) 1.Ba8-e4 2.Rf6-c6 3.Kb4×c5 4.Kc5-d5 5.Sd3-c5 Bd7×c6 #

ii) 1.Rf6-a6 2.Ba8-c6 3.Kb4×b5 4.Kb5-b6 5.Sa3-b5 Rc8×c6 #

T320 (Branko Koludrović):

1.Ke5-f5 2.e7-e6 3.e6-e5 4.e5-e4 5.e4-e3 6.e3-e2 7.e2-e1=R 8.Re1-e2 9.Re2-e3 10.Re3-e4 11.Re4-e5 12.Kf5-f4 13.Kf4-e4 14.Re5-d5 15.Ke4-d4 16.Kd4-c4 17.Rd5-c5 18.Kc4-b4 19.Kb4-b5 20.Rc5-c6 21.Kb5-b6 22.Kb6-b7 23.Rc6-b6 24.Rb6-a6 25.Ra6-a7 26.Ra7-a8 27.Ra8-b8 28.Rb8-c8 29.Rc8-d8 30.Rd8-e8 31.Re8-f8 32.Rf8×g8 [+wSb1] 33.Rg8-f8 34.Rf8-e8 35.Re8-d8 36.Rd8-c8 37.Rc8-b8 38.Rb8-a8 39.Ra8-a7 40.Ra7-a6 41.Ra6-b6 42.Rb6-c6 43.Kb7-b6 44.Kb6-b5 45.Rc6-c5 46.Kb5-b4 47.Kb4-c4 48.Rc5-b5 49.Rb5-b4 Qd6-d5 #

T321 (Sébastien Luce):

1.Ke5-d6 2.Kd6-c7 3.Kc7-d8 4.Kd8-e8 5.d8=R 6.Re8-d7 7.Rd7×e7[+Dd7] 8.Re7-h7 9.Rh×d7[+Dh7] 10.e6-e7 Kg8-g7 #

T322 (Sébastien Luce)

1...Sg6×h8=B #

 $1.h8-h7\ 2.h7\times g6=S\ 3.Sg6-f8=P\ 4.f8-f5\ 5.f5\times e4=S\ 6.Se4-d6=P\ 7.d6-d5\ 8.d5-d4\ 9.d4-d3\ 10.d3\times c2=S\ 11.Sc2-b4=P\ 12.b4\times a3=S\ 13.Sa3\times b5=B\ 14.Bb5-c4=S\ 15.Sc4-a3=P\ 16.a3-a2\ Bb1-c2=S\ \#$

T323: There are identical moves in solutions with two different conditions, whereas also the stalemates occur on the same square; namely in position A and in position B. The final patterns of the stalemates are of course different. The solutions with the same moves are more common with lower number of black pawns (using these two conditions). It is more difficult to find such position when five black pawns are used (applying the condition that the white Locust will always stand in the stalemate position on the same square in the corresponding pair of solutions). (Author)

Т323	Т324	Т325	Т326
Jaroslav Štúň	Jaroslav Štúň	Alberto Armeni	Ivan Bryukhanov
ser-= 11 $C+(1+5)$	pser-h# 8 $C+ (3+3)$	ser-hs# 6 $C+(8+5)$	ser-s# 11 $C+(2+5)$
a) Enemy Sentinels b) PWC	Contra Parrain Circe	Mirror Circe	PWC
B) ≜ g5→g3	Einstein		
$\mathbf{K} = \text{Locust}$	b) $@c8 \rightarrow a8$ c) $\&c7 \rightarrow f7$		
	d)=c) $@c8 \rightarrow c2$		
T323 (Jaroslav Štúň):	e)=c) $(2 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^2)$		

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Aa} \ 1.Lc1 \times e3-f4 \ 2.Lf4 \times c7-b8 [+bPf4] \ 3.Lb8 \times f4-g3 \ 4.Lg3 \times g5-g6 [+bPg3] \ 5.Lg6 \times g3-g2 [+bPg6] \ 6.Lg2 \times g6-g7 [+bPg2] \ 7.Lg7 \times g2-g1 [+bPg7] \ 8.Lg1 \times g7-g8 \ 9.Lg8 \times f7-e6 \ 10.Le6 \times d7-c8 [+bPe6] \ 11.Lc8 \times e6-f5 = \end{array} \right.$

Ab) $1.Lc1 \times e3-f4[+bPc1=L] 2.Lf4 \times c7-b8[+bPf4] 3.Lb8 \times f4-g3[+bPb8] 4.Lg3 \times g5-g6[+bPg3] 5.Lg6 \times g3-g2[+bPg6] 6.Lg2 \times g6-g7[+bPg2] 7.Lg7 \times g2-g1[+bPg7] 8.Lg1 \times g7-g8[+bPg1=L] 9.Lg8 \times f7-e6[+bPg8] 10.Le6 \times d7-c8[+bPe6] 11.Lc8 \times e6-f5[+bPc8] =$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Bb} \ 1.\text{Lc1}\times\text{c7-c8}[+\text{bPc1}=\text{L}] \ 2.\text{Lc8}\times\text{d7-e6}[+\text{bPc8}] \ 3.\text{Le6}\times\text{e3-e2}[+\text{bPe6}] \ 4.\text{Le2}\times\text{e6-e7}[+\text{bPe2}] \ 5.\text{Le7}\times\text{e2-e1}[+\text{bPe7}] \ 6.\text{Le1}\times\text{e7-e8} \\ [+\text{bPe1}=\text{L}] \ 7.\text{Le8}\times\text{f7-g6}[+\text{bPe8}] \ 8.\text{Lg6}\times\text{g3-g2}[+\text{bPg6}] \ 9.\text{Lg2}\times\text{g6-g7}[+\text{bPg2}] \ 10.\text{Lg7}\times\text{g2-g1}[+\text{bPg7}] \ 11.\text{Lg1}\times\text{g7-g8}[+\text{bPg1}=\text{L}] = \\ \end{array}$

T324 (Jaroslav Štúň):

a) 1.d7-d6 2.d6×e5=S 3.Kc8-d7[+wPd6] 4.Kd7-d8 5.c7×d6=S+ Ke4×e5[+wPd5] 6.Sd6-c8=P[+bSf3]+ Ke5-d6 7.Sf3×d4=B 8.c8-c5[+wPd7] d5×c6 e.p.=S #

b) 1.d7-d5+ e5×d6 e.p.=S 2.Ka8-b8[+bPc5] 3.c7×d6=S+ Ke4-d5[+wSe5] 4.c5×d4=S 5.Kb8-c8[+wPc4] 6.Sd4-c6=P+ Kd5×c6 7.Sd6×c4=B[+bPd8] 8.d8-d5[+wPc7] Se5-d7=P # b)

c) 1.Kc8-d8 2.d7-d5+ e5×d6 e.p.=S 3.f7-f5[+bPd7]+ Sd6×f5=B 4.Kd8-e8[+bPe5] 5.e5×d4=S 6.d7-d5[+wPd6]+ Ke4×d5 7.Sd4-e6=P[+bPc3]+ Bf5×e6=R+ 8.c3-c2[+bPe7] Re6×e7=Q #

d) 1.f7-f5+ e5×f6 e.p.=S 2.Kc2-d2[+bPe5] 3.e5×d4=S 4.d7-d5[+wPd6]+ Sf6×d5=B 5.Sd4-e2=P[+bPc7] 6.c7×d6=S+ Ke4-f3[+wPc7] 7.Sd6-e4=P+ Bd5×e4=R 8.Kd2-e1[+bPd5] Re4×e2=Q #

e) 1.Kh8-g7 2.f7-f5+ e5×f6 e.p.=S 3.d7-d5[+bPf7]+ Sf6×d5=B 4.f7-f5[+bPd7]+ Ke4×f5 5.Kg7-h6[+bPe6]+ Bd5×e6=R+ 6.d7×e6=S[+bPd7] 7.Se6×d4=B[+wRf8] 8.Bd4-h8=S Rf8×h8=Q #

T327: The wG selfpins itself by the bR. Alluncapture retro plays. In the final stalemate pictures the bK protects, on average, one wK flight... (Author)

T328: C+ WinChloé. Ideal stalemates, 90° echo. (Author)

T329: Overall length record for this stipulation. (Author)

T330: Phoenix-Pronkin QR.(Author)

Adrian Storisteanu to Cornel Pacurar	T328 Pierre Tritten	T329 Paul Răican	T330 Paul Răican
-5w & !=1 (2+2)	1) ser-= 11 $C+ (4+7)$	C) pser-h# 109 C+ $(15+3)$) phser-dia 18 (14+12)
b) $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_{f5\to g4}$	b) $\square d6 \rightarrow h5$		Annan Chess
$\overline{\mathbb{A}} = \text{Grasshopper}$	\square = Friend		

T325 (Alberto Armeni):

T327

 $1.Sh2 \times f1[+wRa8] \ 2.Sf1 \times g3[+wSb8] \ 3.Sg3-h5 \ 4.Sh5 \times f4[+wQd8] \ 5.Sf4-d3 \ 6.Sd3 \times b2[+wBf8] \ a2-a4+ \ 7.Sb2 \times a4[+wPa7] \ \# a4+ \ 7.Sb2 \times a4$

T326 (Ivan Bryukhanov):

 $1.c2-c3 \ 2.c3 \times d4[+bRc3] \ 3.d4-d5 \ 4.d5-d6 \ 5.d6-d7 \ 6.d7-d8=S \ 7.Sd8-c6 \ 8.Sc6-b4 \ 9.Sb4-a2 \ 10.Sa2 \times c3[+bRa2] \ 11.Sc3-d5 \ Ra2-a1 \ \# Sa2-a1 \ Waard above a start above$

T327 (Adrian Storisteanu):

a) -1.Ka6×Gb7 -2.Ka5×Ga6 -3.Kb4×Ra5 -4.Kc4×Gb4 -5.Kc5×Bc4 & 1.Gf5-b5 !=

b) -1.Kb6×Gb7 -2.Kc5×Gb6 -3.Kc4×Gc5 -4.Kb3×Rc4 -5.Ka4×Sb3 & 1.Gg4-b4 !=

T328 (Tritten):

a) 1.Fe3-e4 2.d2-d3 3.Ff5×g6 4.Fe4-e5 5.d3-d4 6.Fd6×e7 7.Fe5×f6 8.d4-d5 9.d5×e6 10.e6×f7 11.f7×g8=S = $(1.5)^{-1}$

b) 1.d2-d4 2.d4-d5 3.d5×e6 4.e6×f7 5.f7-f8=S 6.Sf8×g6 7.Sg6×e7 8.Se7×g8 9.Sg8×f6 10.Fh5-f4 11.Sf6-d5 =

T329 (Paul Răican):

1.Kc8-d8 19.Ka4×b5 39.Kc8×b8 60.Kb5×a6 82.Kb8×a8 104.Kb5×c6 105.Kc6-b5 107.c5-c4+ Kd3-d2 108.c4-c3+ Bd4×c3 109.Kb5-c4 Sf5-d6 #

T330 (Paul Răican)

 $1.e2-e3 2.Qh5 3.Q \times f7 + Kxf7 4.h2-h5 5.g2-h4 6.Bh3 7.h4 \times e7 8.e8 = Q + Kf7-d5 9.Q \times d7 + Bd6 10.Se2 + (check from wPe3) Kd5 \times h1 11.Se2-f1 + (check from wPf2 Kg1 12.Qg4 + Bg3 13.Qd1 14.d2 \times d8 = R 15.Bd7 16.Rd8-h4 17.h5-g5 18.Rh1 + dia$



T332: Promotions, Excelsior, Tanagra. (Author)

T334: 7-unit length record for this stipulation and fairy condition. (Authors)



 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ = Bishop Hopper

T331 (Karol Mlynka):

- a) 1.nPa1=nS 2.nSb3+ nSc5 3.K×g2[bKg2 \rightarrow a8] rP×c5[wrPc5 \rightarrow c8=rQ] #
- b) $1.K \times h2[bKh2 \rightarrow h8] 2.nPg1=nQ+ nQg6 3.nPa1=nB+ rPd5 \#$
- c) 1.Kh2 2.nPa1=nQ+ rPd5 3.nPg1=nR nQ×g1[+nRh8] #

T332 (Karol Mlynka):

- a.i) 1.nPf5 2.nPf4 3.nPf3 4.nPf2 5.nPf1=nB 6.nBc4 7.nBb3 8.nBHc3 nBc2 #
- a.ii) 1.nPf6 2.nBHg7 3.nPf5 4.nPf4 5.nPf3 6.nPf2 7.nPf1=nB 8.nBd3 nBg6 #
- b) 1.nPc4 2.nPc3 3.nPc2 4.nPc1=nS 5.nSe2 6.nSd4 7.nBHe5 8.nBHc3 nSc2 #
- c) 1...nPh8=nB #; 1.nPh5 2.nPh4 3.nPh3 4.nPh2 5.nPh1=nS 6.nSg3 7.nSe2 8.nBHc2 nSc1 #

T333 (György Bakcsi, János Csák):

 $5.g \times h8 = Q \ 6.Qh8 \text{-a1} \ 7.h7 \text{-}h8 = Q \ 8.Qh8 \times h3 \ 9.Qh3 \times f1 \ 14.h7 \text{-}h8 = Q \ 15.Qh8 \text{-}h6 =$

T334 (Paul Răican, Arno Tüngler)

1.Ke3-e4 12.Kg4×h3[Sb8] 28.Ke3×f2[Ra8] 43.Kh5×h4[Sg8] 55.Kf8×g8 %

Hors Concours



except file a

 $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{K} = \text{Locust}$

HC177 (Jaroslav Štúň):

a) 1.Kc6-b5 2.Kb5-a4 3.Ka4-a3 4.Ka3×a2[+wSa3] 5.Ka2-b3 6.Kb3-a4 7.Ka4×a3[+wSa4] 8.Ka3-b4 9.Kb4-a5 10.Ka5×a4[+wSa5] 11.Ka4-b5 12.Kb5-a6 13.Ka6×a5[+wSa6] 14.Ka5-b5 15.Kb5-c6 16.Kc6-b7 17.Kb7-a7 18.Ka7×a6[+wSa7] 19.Ka6-b7 20.Kb7-a8 21.Ka8×a7[+wSa8] 22.Ka7-b7 23.Kb7×a8[+wSb7] 24.Ka8-b8 25.Kb8-c7 26.Lb6×b7-b8[+wSb6] 27.Lb8×b6-b5[+wSb8] 28.Kc7×b8[+wSc7] 29.Kb8-b7 30.Kb7-c6 31.Kc6×c7[+wSc6] 32.Kc7-b6 33.Kb6-c5 34.Kc5×c6[+wSc5] 35.Lb5×c5-d5[+wSb5] 36.Ld5×b5-a5[+wSd5] 37.Kc6×d5[+wSc6] 38.Kd5-c5 39.Kc5-b6 40.Kb6×c6[+wSb6] 41.Kc6-b7 42.Kb7-a7 43.Ka7×b6[+wSa7] 44.La5×a7-a8[+wSa5] 45.Kb6-b546.Kb5-a447.Ka4×a5[+wSa4] 48.Ka5-b449.La8×a4-a3[+wSa8] 50.Kb4-a5×La1×a3-a4[+bLa1]# b) 1.Ke6-d5 2.Kd5-c4 3.Kc4-b3 4.Kb3-a3 5.Ka3×a2[+wSa3] 6.Ka2-b3 7.Kb3-a4 8.Ka4×a3[+wSa4] 9.Ka3-b4 10.Kb4-a5 11.Ka5×a4[+wSa5] 12.Ka4-b5 13.Kb5-a6 14.Ka6×a5[+wSa6] 15.Ka5-b6 16.Kb6-a7 17.Ka7×a6[+wSa7] 18.Ka6-b7 19.Kb7-a8 20.Ka8×a7[+wSa8] 21.Ka7-b7 22.Kb7×a8[+wSb7] 23.Ka8-b8 24.Kb8-c7 25.Kc7×b7[+wSc7] 26.Kb7-c6 27.Ld6×c7-b8[+wSd6]

28.Kc6×d6[+wSc6] 29.Kd6-c5 30.Kc5-b5 31.Kb5×c6[+wSb5] 32.Kc6-b7 33.Kb7-a8 34.Lb8×b5-b4[+wSb8] 35.Ka8×b8[+wSa8] 36.Kb8-a7 37.Ka7×a8[+wSa7] 38.Ka8-b7 39.Kb7-a6 40.Ka6×a7[+wSa6] 41.Ka7-b6 42.Kb6-a5 43.Ka5×a6[+wSa5] 44.Ka6-b5 45.Kb5-a4 46.Ka4×a5[+wSa4] 47.Ka5-b5 48.Kb5-c4 49.Kc4-b3 50.Kb3-a3 Sa4-c5 #

HC178 (Sébastien Luce):

HC179 (György Bakcsi, János Csák):

a) 1.0-0 2.Rf8×f4 3.Rf4-f8 4.Rf8-a8 5.Kg8-f8 6.Kf8-e8 7.Ke8-d8 8.Kd8-c8 9.Kc8-b8 d6-d7 = b) 1.0-0 2.Rd8-f8 3.Rf8×f4 4.Rf4-c4 5.Rc4-c6 6.Rc6-b6 7.Rb6-b8 8.Rb8-a8 9.Kc8-b8 d6-d7 =

HC180 (György Bakcsi, János Csák):

a) 1.Kd3-d2 2.Kd2-e1 3.Ke1-f1 4.Kf1-g1 5.Kg1×h1 Kf3-f2 # b) 1.Kd3-c4 2.Kc4-c5 3.Kc5*c6 4.Kc6-b7 5.Kb7-a8 Kf3×f4 #

HC182: 5-unit length record for this stipulation and condition. (Authors)

HC183: New length record for 11 units and this condition. (Authors)

HC184: New length record for 12 units and this condition. (Authors)



HC181 (György Bakcsi):

 $1.Bf8-c5+Sb7\times c5 2.Sc1-d3+Sc5\times d3 3.Rb5-b2+Sd3\times b2 4.Sc3-d1+Sb2\times d1 5.e4-e3+Sd1\times e3 6.Ra5-f5+Se3\times f5 7.g4-g3+Sf5\times g3=$

HC182 (Paul Răican, Jean-Christian Galli, Arno Tüngler):

1.Kh6-h5 14.Ke8×f7[Rh8] 16.Ke7-d7 17.c7-c8=Q 18.Qc8-c2 F

HC183 (Paul Răican, Arno Tüngler):

 $1.Ka2-a3\ 14.Kh4\times h3[Pa2]\ 28.Ka3\times a2[Ph2]\ 45.Kf2\times e1[Rh1]\ 63.Ka2\times a1\ 80.Kg2\times h1[Ra1]\ 88.Kg8-f8\ Ra1-a8\ \#2.Kg8-f8\ Ra1-a8\ Ra1-a8$

HC184 (Paul Răican, Arno Tüngler)

Schnoebelen Theme in Shortest Proof Games

by Vlaicu Crișan & Paul Răican

"Here is a quite new, and I believe very difficult idea in retrograde analysis." – Luigi Ceriani, Fairy Chess Review 1948







Wisdom Paradox (Cornel Pacurar - *Matter, AfterLight* and *Union* for iPhone, 2017) (Woodwork, Michel Grandville, cca. 1870.)

Schnoebelen Theme in Shortest Proof Games

Vlaicu Crişan & Paul Răican

Paradox is the main point in Shortest Proof Games. Beside the classical themes – Ceriani-Frolkin, Phoenix-Pronkin, anti-Pronkin – new themes appeared in the past several years: Donati, Prentos, Hashimoto. One of the most spectacular is Schnoebelen: a promotee is captured on its promotion square without having moved after promotion.

The theme is named after Philippe Schnoebelen, who has introduced it in the area of shortest proof games. However, the idea was first presented in retrograde analysis in 1948 in the article "The captured promoted piece never moved" written by Luigi Ceriani and published in *Fairy Chess Review*. In the present article we will focus on shortest proof games realizing at least two such promotions.

The prototype problem appeared in 1996, showing two promotions (SB). The promotions are written in the order of appearance, with capitals for the white promotions and lowercase for the black:

ST1

Philippe Schnoebelen

3075 Probleemblad 09/1996



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

1.a4 g5 2.a5 g4 3.a6 g3 4.a×b7 a5 5.d4 Ra
6 6.d5 Rh6 7.d6 Rh3 8.d×e7 h5 9.e×f8=S Qh4 10.b×c8=B Qc4 11. Qd4 Ke7 12.Qh4+ Kd6 13.Bg5 Se7 14.e3 S×c8 15.Bd8 $\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{f8}$

At the time, the theme of the problem was described as "Hyper-Frolkin theme where the Frolkin promotees do not move at all between promotion and capture". In the same year, two other compositions have appeared in the French magazine *Phénix*, showing other pairs of promotions:

ST2 F. Laroussinie Philippe Schnoebelen 2341 Phénix 07-08/1996



 $\overline{\text{SPG in 18.5}}$ C+ (11+12)

ST3 Philippe Schnoebelen 2342 Phénix 07-08/1996



SPG in 18.0 C+ (12+12)

ST2: 1.a4 c5 2.a5 c4 3.a6 c3 4.a×b7 a5 5.f4 Ra6 6.f5 Rg6 7.f6 R×g2 8.f×e7 g6 $9.e \times d8=B$ Bc5 10.b×c8=S B×g1 11.d4 d6 12.Bh6 Kd7 13.e3 Kc6 14.Bb5+ K×b5 15.Sa3+ Ka4 16.Qb1 Se7 17.Kd1 R×d8 18.Bf8 S×c8 19.b3+

Schnoebelen BS. The only way to force the uniqueness of the promotion is to place the king next to the promoted piece before capturing it. Consequently a queen Schnoebelen can never be shown in an orthodox shortest proof game.

ST3: 1.a4 h5 2.a5 h4 3.a6 h3 4.a×b7 a5 5.f4 Ra6 6.f5 Rg6 7.f6 R×g2 8.f×e7 g5 $9.e \times d8 = B$ Bc5 10.b×c8=R B×g1 11.d4 g4 12.Bh6 d6 13.e3 Kd7 14.Be2 Kc6 15.Bf3+ Kb6 16.Qe2 Se7 17.Kd1 R×d8 18.Bf8 S×c8

Schnoebelen BR. Here the black king must travel a long way in order to reveal the **ST5** identity of the white promotions. Also the white promoted pieces are captured **Unto Heinonen** by black officers, not the black King.

The first triple Schnoebelen appeared in the same year.

ST4

Olli Heimo 9319 Die Schwalbe 08/1996



SPG in 18.5 C- (11+10)

1.h4 d5 2.h5 d4 3.h6 d3 4.h×g7 d×e2 5. $g \times h8 = R e \times f1 = S$ 6.Se2 f6 7.Sec3 Kf7 8.Ke2 Kg7 9. Q×f1 K×h8 10.Sd1 Bg7 11.c3 Qf8 12.Kd3 a5 13.Kc2 a4 14.Qc4 a3 15.Re1 a×b2 16.R×e7 b×a1=R 17.R×c7 Sd7 18.Kb2 Rb8 19. K×a1

of the theme can be shown in 9 moves. The composer has the merit of adding another black rook promotion, echoing the white rook promotion.

Eight years later, Unto Heinonen also successfully managed to triple this theme.

StrateGems 2004



ST6Unto Heinonen R238 Probleemblad 03-04/2004



SPG in 16.5 (12+12) SPG in 16.0 C+(11+12)

ST5: 1.h4 b5 2.h5 b4 3.h6 b3 4.h×g7 b×a2 5. $g \times h8 = R a \times b1 = R$ 6.Ra4 d5 7.Rah4 d4 8.f4 d3 9.Sf3 d×e2 10.d4 f6 11.Kd2 e1=R 12.Ba6 Kf7 13.c4 Kg7 14.Kc2 K×h8 15. K×b1 Bf5+ 16.Ka1 Sd7 17. R×e1

Schnoebelen Rrr. The theme is realized so naturally, that it seems almost effortlessly done! By passing on only two squares, d2 and c2, the identity of the black promotions on b1 and e1 is revealed. In order to eliminate the possibility of a black queen promotion, the white king must stay on d2 after the promotion on e1 occurs.

ST6: 1.h4 d5 2.h5 d4 3.h6 d3 4.h×g7 d×e2 5.g×h8=R e×f1=S 6.Se2 b5 7.Sg3 b4 8.Ke2 b3 9. $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{f1}$ b×a2 10.b3 **a**×**b1=B** 11.Ra4 Sc6 12.Rah4 Sd4+ 13.Kd3 f6 14.Kc3 Kf7 15.Kb2 Kg7 16.K×b1 K×h8

Schnoebelen Rsr. By showing the theme by both white and black, the doubling Schnoebelen Rsb. The patterns seen in the previous compositions by Olli Heimo and Unto Heinonen are convincingly mixed together.

> The next challenge was to show three Schnoebelen promotions by the same side. In only two years, Michel Caillaud was the first who succeeded to provide a brilliant solution. He was soon followed by Gerd Wilts.



ST7: 1.b4 h5 2.b5 h4 3.b6 Rh5 4.b×a7 Sh6 5.a×b8=R Raa5 6.d4 Rag5 7.d5 f5 8.d6 Sf7 9.d×e7 d5 10.c4 Kd7 11.e8=R Qf6 12.c5 B×c5 13.a4 Bb6 14.a5 c5 15.a6 Kc7 16.a7 Bd7 17.a8=B B×e8 18.Ra7 K×b8 19.R×b7+ K×a8 20.Rb8+

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.g4 \ \mathrm{Sc6} \ 2.g5 \ \mathrm{Sd4} \ 3.g6 \ \mathrm{S}{\times}\mathrm{e2} \ 4.\mathbf{g}{\times}\mathbf{h7} \ \mathrm{Sf4} \ 5.h{\times}\mathrm{g8}{=}\mathrm{S} \ \mathrm{Rh5} \ 6.d4 \ \mathrm{Rc5} \ 7.d5 \ \mathrm{g5} \ 8.d6 \\ \mathrm{Bg7} \ 9.d{\times}\mathrm{e7} \ \mathrm{d5} \ 10.h4 \ \mathrm{Bf5} \ 11.h5 \ \mathrm{Bg6} \ 12.h6 \ \mathrm{f5} \ 13.h7 \ \mathrm{Kf7} \ 14.\mathbf{e8}{=}\mathbf{S} \ \mathrm{Qf6} \ 15.h8{=}\mathbf{B} \\ \mathrm{Q}{\times}\mathrm{b2} \ 16.\mathrm{Qd4} \ \mathbf{K}{\times}\mathbf{g8} \ 17.\mathrm{Bd3} \ \mathbf{R}{\times}\mathbf{e8} \ 18.\mathrm{Se2} \ \mathrm{Re7} \ 19.0{\cdot}0 \ \mathbf{K}{\times}\mathbf{h8} \end{array}$

Schnoebelen RRB, with an additional Anti-Pronkin rook b8. The whole sequence is cleverly ordered, with the black bishop's arrival on b6 occurring before the black pawn c7's advance.

ST8: 1.b4 g6 2.b5 Bh6 3.b6 Bf4 4.b×a7 h6 5.a×b8=R Ra5 6.d4 Rh5 7.d5 b5 8.d6 Bb7 9.d×c7 Bc6 10.c8=S Qb6 11.a4 Bc7 12.f4 d6 13.f5 Kd7 14.f×g6 f5 15.a5 Sf6 16.a6 R×c8 17.a7 Bd8 18.a8=B Kc7 19.Ra7+ K×b8 20. Rb7+ K×a8

Schnoebelen RSB. Although it is one move longer than the previous example, this shortest proof game has one capture less. Again the white rook and white bishop are captured on b8 and a8 respectively, with the white knight c8 fitting well in the overall picture.

Schnoebelen SSB. The position is less appealing, as it isn't any closer to white homebase. The white castling releases the guard of the promoted white bishop thereby enabling its capture by the black king.

The theme Schnoebelen may be also implemented in fairy shortest proof games. In fairies, Schnoebelen queens and even Schnoebelen kings have been presented in several proof games mainly by Bernd Grafräth using conditions such as Circe, Patrol Chess, Immune Chess, and Losing Chess.

Our selection consists of fairy compositions showing at least three Schnoebelen promotions.

ST10 Nicolas Dupont Problemaz 4/2008



SPG in 18.0 Lortap

ST10: 1.c4 h5 2.c5 h4 3.c6 h3 4.c×b7 Sc6 5.b8=S Ba6 6.e4 Bb5 7.e5 a6 8.e6 Sa7 9.e×d7 e5 10.g4 Se7 11.g5 Sec8 12.g6 Qg5 13.d8=S R×b8 14.g×f7 g6 15.Qg4 Bh
6 16.f8=S Rb6 17.Qd7 K×d8 18.Qd3 R×f8

Schnoebelen SSS. In Lortap, a piece may capture only if it is not controlled by a piece of its own camp. This condition enables the identification of promoted pieces without requiring trips performed by the enemy king. Here, the presence of wPs d7 and f7 without checking can be explained by the presence of promoted wS on b8, respectively d8. Also, the wQ can travel through d7 only if guarded by the promoted knight f8. The wQ must go on d7, in order to cut the guard provided by the bB b5 on e8, hence allowing the black king to capture on d8. A very subtle composition, worth studying!

9.b5 Rh5 10.b6 Sh6 11.g8=S Bh7 12.f×e7 B×g8 13.e8=S Bd6 14.b×c7 Sb6 15.Rh3 Qd7 16.Ra3 **R**×e8 17.c8=S Bb8 18.R×a7 **Q**×c8

Another Schnoebelen SSS. In Multicaptures, a piece can be captured only if it is attacked directly in at least two ways, otherwise it is invulnerable. The white promotions to knight on g8, e8, and c8 are respectively used to enable the captures of bPs e7, c7, and a7.

We conclude the present selection with two tasks showing the four different promotions (AUW).

ST11 Kostas Prentos Andrev Frolkin france-echecs.com 21/01/2007 Eric Huber 1st Prize



ST12 Michel Caillaud Eric Pichouron R203 Problemesis 06/2005 1st Honourable Mention dedicated to Pascal Slechten



ST13 Nicolas Dupont diagrammes 170/2009



SPG in 10.5 Masand

Cage Circe

ST12: 1.a4 d5 2.Ra3 d4 3.Rg3 d3 4.Sc3 d×c2 5.d4 Q×d4 6.Bf4 c×d1=Q[+bPa4, +wQd4, +bPe2] 7.S×d1 e×f1=R[+bPf2, +bSg1]+ 8.K×f1 Sh3 9.Ke2 $f1=B[+bPg2]+10.R \times f1 g1=S[+wSh3]+11.Q \times g1$

Schnoebelen grbs - probably the first AUW-Schnoebelen. In Masand, a piece which moves and actively checks changes the color of all the units that it observes after the move. This condition is suitable for fast promotions, including a queen Schnoebelen. A nice realization, with the subtle presence of wSh3 being particularly remarkable.

ST13: 1.d3 h6 2.B×h6(c1=R) g5 (breaking the cage h7 for the rook) $3.Q\times c1$ ST11: 1.f4 d5 2.f5 d4 3.f6 Bf5 4.h4 Kd7 5.h5 Ke6 6.h6 Sd7 7.h×g7 h5 8.b4 h4 Bg7 4.Q×g5(d1=B) Bc3+ 5.K×d1 Sf6 6.Sf3 (not playable before, because it creates a cage in g1) Rg8 7.Se5 Rg6 $8.S \times d7(g1=Q)$ (at this stage the promotion can be a queen, rook, or bishop) e5 9.Sc5 Bh3 10.g4 (anticipatory destruction of cage h1 for queen) Qd5 $11.\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{g1}$ (demonstrates a posteriori that g1 was a queen, as there is a cage in h1 for the rook and one in h5 for a bishop) Qb3 $12.S \times b7(c1=S)$ Sd5 13.a4 (small technical move, not playable before because it opens the knight cage) f5 (breaks the cage h8 for the last annihilation) $14. K \times c1$ An unbelievable rbox passive Schnoebelen, with all the promotions and captures performed by white.

Vlaicu Crişan & Paul Răican, Cluj & Tulcea, March 5, 2017

Minimalism in Chess Rebuses

by Jeff Coakley & Andrey Frolkin



Piece by Piece (Cornel Pacurar - *Isometric*, *Union* and *Pixlr* for iPhone, 2017)

ABOUT THE CHESS REBUS



A rebus is a sudoku-style puzzle involving retrograde analysis. Letters on a diagram stand for specific pieces that form a legal position. The solver's task is to "decode the board".



If you haven't seen rebuses before, or aren't sure how to go about solving them, then the basic positions in this article will provide an instructive survey of the tactics used in this type of problem.

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

MINIMALISM IN CHESS REBUSES

Jeff Coakley & Andrey Frolkin

Most chess rebuses involve complex positions with a multitude of pieces. This article goes to the other extreme, investigating the limits of compositions with minimal material. Except for the first problem, all of the rebuses presented are records of one kind or another. We begin with a two part dedication to the grandmaster of retroanalysis, Michel Caillaud, who celebrated his 60th birthday earlier this month.

M-1 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Michel"



M-2 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Caillaud"



Each letter represents a different type of piece. Uppercase is one colour, lowercase is the other. Determine the position.

The stipulation is the same for all the problems in this article. In most cases, it is also possible to at least partially determine the last move, which may be ambiguous concerning captures or departure squares.



Minibus to Suburbs East Antoine Duff

For other articles about chess rebuses, see:

Bulletin issue 8	The Elvis Effect
Bulletin issue 9	Exploring Colours
Bulletin issue 10	Twelve Letters for the Holidays
Problemas number 15	New Directions
Puzzling Side of Chess 133	Year of the Rebus

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

There are two ways to judge economy in rebuses, by the number of pieces and by the number of letters. The minimal record for fewest pieces in a normal rebus is 6, using 2 letters. The four positions in the "OK Corral" show how it's done.

M-3

Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Oklahoma"



M-5 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Okapi"



M-4 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Okey Dokey"



M-6 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Okra"





Chicken Little and the Egg Nina Omelchuk

As you probably noticed, each of the "OK Corral" positions featured a different type of piece: rook, bishop, knight, and pawn. Composing a two letter rebus in which the second piece is a queen proved to be a formidable task.

In general, concepts like *material balance* and *bishop ratio* play no part in minimalist productions. But that is exactly what is needed in problem 7. The consequence is a dense cluster of 20 pieces. You might be surprised at what you find when you crack this egg.

After that brief excursion into the depths of the retro world, we return to the land of chess frugality with "minibuses" 8 to 10. These are record positions for fewest pieces (7) with 3, 4, and 5 letters. The "balloon" is also a record for most letters (5) with 7 pieces.

Special thanks, as always, to Nina Omelchuk and Antoine Duff for their artistic contributions. It wouldn't be the same without them.

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

M-7 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Egg"



M-9 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Peace"



M-8 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "UKRaine"



M-10 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Balloon"



The next step in the minimalist progression is 8 pieces. This is the smallest number necessary for 6 letters. In such positions, all six types of pieces are on the board, a situation which is very fruitful from a retro point of view. In addition to M-2 from page one, we include two more problems in this category. Why they are called "quantum" and "vantage" is a mystery to us as well.

Minimizing the piece count for a certain number of letters is not the only kind of efficiency to consider. For example, it may have gone unnoticed that M-3 is the record for fewest pieces (6) in a rebus where the last move is a double check. We leave it to you to figure out what kind of records are set in problems 13 to 15.

These puzzles conclude the *standard* portion of the article. But we're not quite finished. There are four more *conditional* rebuses on the next page, plus a chart to summarize the current state of the various records.

M-11 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Quantum"



M-12 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Vantage"



M-13 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Hong Kong"





We only see what we look for.

M-14 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Canada"



M-15 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Kooky"



In the quest to achieve new minimalist records, we eventually shifted our focus to *conditional* rebuses with the stipulation "White to move", comparable to *type B* last move problems.

Knowing whose turn it is greatly simplifies things for both solver and composer. Three records were quickly broken.

- M-16: 5 pieces with 2 letters.
- M-17: 6 pieces with 3 letters, and a unique method for determining colours.
- M-18: 7 pieces with 6 letters, a long-standing goal that is evidently impossible in a non-conditional position.

Our grand finale is the ultimate in minimalism. A one letter rebus! With only kings on the board, the game is over, so the stipulation for "zeds" might be better stated as "Black made the last move". Thanks to Andrew Buchanan for the underlying problem.

We hope you enjoyed the puzzles.

Jeff Coakley Prince Edward Island, Canada Andrey Frolkin Kiev, Ukraine



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

M-16 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "P's & Q's"



White to move

M-18 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "On Board"



White to move

M-17 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Pat"



White to move

M-19 Andrey Frolkin Jeff Coakley "Zeds" after Andrew Buchanan 2001



White to move

SOLUTIONS

Often there are various ways to logically deduce a solution. We give the reasoning that we consider the most direct.

Rebus notation continues to evolve. Some symbols have been discarded in favour of brief phrases. Hopefully what remains is self-explanatory.

M-1 "Michel"





- C = O Only letter with one uppercase, one lowercase.
- $E = \pm$ Only letter not on 1st or 8th rank.
- $H \neq \overset{{}_{ hege}}{\boxtimes}$ If $H = \overset{{}_{ hege}}{\boxtimes}$ (a1+), then either M or I is a rook or bishop, giving an impossible second check.
- $L \neq \overset{\text{W}}{\boxminus}$ If $L = \overset{\text{W}}{\boxminus}$ (f1+), then either M or I is a rook or bishop, giving an impossible second check.
- $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\otimes}}{\boxminus}$ = (M,I) One of the kings is in double check by 2 queens.
- (M,I) The non-queen letter M or I cannot be a rook or bishop, placing both kings in check.
- $L = \square$ If $L = \square$, then there is an impossible third check.
- $H = \square$ Uppercase = black. The bishop on a1 cannot be white with a white pawn on b2.
- $I = \overset{\text{M}}{\cong}$ Only white pieces can promote on the 8th rank. Last move: 1.g7xh8=Q++

M = 🖾

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

M-2 "Caillaud"

```
A = 😤
```

- If \triangle = (CDLU), one king is in check by a bishop, and \bigotimes = Ø? No letter can be queen because there would be an impossible second check.
- D = $\hat{\mathbb{T}}$ Only remaining letter not on 8th rank. Uppercase = black. The pawn on h6 cannot be white (giving check) or $\overset{\text{def}}{=} = \emptyset$?
- L = 2 $L \neq \dddot{2}$ If $L = \dddot{2}$ (e7+), then $\textcircled{1} = \emptyset$? $L \neq \textcircled{1}$ The last move was not double
check f7-f8=Q++ because
uppercase is Black. $U = \dddot{2}$ $U \neq \textcircled{1}$ (h8+)No last move.
- C = The queen (b8+) could have moved, captured, or promoted on b8.

record: fewest pieces (8) for 6 letters

About the Chess Rebus "Decode Deco"

C = 🗟	If E = ঔ, then D = Ø?
	$D \neq \hat{\Xi}$ (a8) On 8th rank.
	D ≠ ৺单 (a7+) Impossible check.
	$D \neq \square$ (b7+) Impossible check.
	$D \neq \bigtriangleup$ (a8+) Impossible check.
D ≠ 營邕 (a7+)	Impossible check.
D ≠ 🖄 (a8+)	Impossible check.
D = 🕰 (b7+)	<u>Check</u> .
E ≠ 岔 (b8)	On 8th rank.
E ≠ 營单 (b8+)	Both kings in check.
E ≠ Ä (b6+)	Impossible double check.
E = 🕗	
O ≠ 營邕 (d7+)	Both kings in check.
O ≠ Â (dô+) ́	Both kings in check.
O =	
uppercase = bla	ack

last move: 1.B>b7+ (The symbol > indicates that the move may or may not be a capture.)

This nine piece problem has two potential king pairs (CE). Most minimalist rebuses, aiming for maximum efficiency with eight or fewer pieces, do not.

M-2





(3 + 5)













M-5





ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

M-3 "Oklahoma" Land of the Sooners. K = 🛱 **O**≠ 兌 O on 8th rank. O ≠ 營 (a6+, b8+, c6) Triple check. O ≠ Â (a6+) Impossible check. O ≠ 🖄 (a7+) Impossible check. 0= 洋 last move: 1.cxb8=R++ uppercase = white record: fewest pieces (6), last move double check M-4 "Okey Dokey" Okay. K = 🛱 0 ≠ Â

O's on 8th rank. $O \neq \textcircled{B}$ (f8+, g5+) Both kings in check. O ≠ أ (h7+) Impossible check. last move: 1.gxh8=B+

M-5 "Okapi" Giraffe-like animal of the Congo. K = 🛱 0 ≠ ⊥ੈ O's on 1st rank. $O \neq \textcircled{B} \square$ (b1+, c2+) Impossible double check. O ≠ 🔔 (a2+) Impossible check. **0**=幻

last move: 1...bxa1=S+ uppercase = black

uppercase = white

M-6 "Okra" Vegetable sometimes called gumbo. K = 🛱 $O \neq \bigotimes \square$ (f3+, g2+) Both kings in check. O ≠ Â (h3+) Impossible check. 0 ≠ ⓓ (h2+) Impossible check.

O= 余

O = 🛱

uppercase = white White pawns on g2 h2 h3 are impossible. last move: unknown

records: fewest pieces (6) for 2 letters

fewest pieces (6) type A (neither king in check)





(5 + 1)

M-7 "Egg"





(10 + 10)



M-8 "UKRaine"

U≠₩Ä (a1+)

 $U \neq \hat{A}$ (a2+)

U = 🖄 (a3+)

R ≠ Ä (b2+)

R≠營巢 (c2+)

K = 🖏

U≠ Ŝ

R = 岔



"Very interesting."



(5 + 2)

M-9



(4 + 3)

 M-7 "Egg"

 E = O

 $G \neq \textcircled{D}$
 $G \neq \textcircled{O}$

 (e7+, f7+, g6+)

Three checks.

G ≠ 🖾 (g8+)

If $G = \Xi$, the last move was 1.hxg8=R+ by White. But Black would have no move on the previous turn. Their rooks and king would all be blocked. The last move was not ...Sf6-g8, followed by hxg8(S)= R+, because the knight would be checking the white king from f6.

G≠Â

If G = A, there are not enough missing pieces to explain all the bishops. White has promoted 8 <u>light-square</u> bishops. Black has promoted 6 <u>dark-square</u> bishops and 1 light-square bishop. For both sides to promote so many bishops on opposite colours requires more captures than promoting to other types of pieces. In this position, with 18 bishops, there are 12 missing pieces (11 officers and 1 pawn), but this is one short of the number of captures needed.

G = ₩

last move: 1.hxg8=Q+ uppercase = white

For more about *bishop ratio*, see "The Elvis Effect" (issue 8, EE-11) and "New Directions in Chess Rebuses" (*Problemas* 15).

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

uppercase = white, last move: 1.N>a3+ record: fewest pieces (7) for 3 letters

M-9 "Peace"	
E = 🗳	
C ≠ 岔	On 1st rank.
C ≠ 營 (c1+, c2+)	Impossible double check.
C ≠ Ä (c2+)	Impossible check.
C ≠ 🛱 (c1+)	Impossible check.
C = 🖄 (b1+)	Check.
last move: 1axb1	=S+, uppercase = white
A≠營巢岔 (c3+)	Impossible double check.
A = 🖾	
P ≠ 營巢 (a3+)	Both kings in check.
P = 兌	-
record: fewest piec	es (7) for 4 letters

On 1st rank.

Check.

Impossible check.

Impossible check.

Impossible check.

Both kings in check.

Pawn on c2 must be black.

If white, impossible check,





(3 + 4)

M-11

Α

QQNTm

u

U

Ĥ **~** ð A E, ٣ E.

(5 + 3)

M-10 "Balloon"		M-11 "Quantum"	
O = 🛱		U = 🖑	
BALN ≠ 岔 B ≠ 營 Q (a8+) L ≠ 營 (a7+, c8+) N ≠ 營	On 1st or 8th rank. Impossible check. Impossible double check.	A = 岔	Only other letter not on 1st rank. Pawn on d3 must be white. If black, <u>check</u> and $\frac{1}{2} = \emptyset$? No letter can be $\frac{1}{2}$ without an impossible
If N = 營 (d1+)	Check.		multiple check.
A ≠ Â (b8+)	Impossible double check.	uppercase = white	
L ≠ 🚊 (c8+)	Both kings in check.	Q ≠ 營 (b1+, c1+)	Impossible double check.
$\hat{\square} = \emptyset$?	No letter can be 🔔.	Q ≠ 🔔 (b1+)	If Q = Â (b1+), <u>check</u> and 營 = Ø?
A = 營 (b8+) last move: 1.cxb8=Q+	Check.		No letter can be 🖑 without an impossible multiple check.
uppercase = black		Q ≠ Ä (c1+)	Impossible check
L ≠ Â (c8+) Both	kings in check.	Q = 🖄	
L ≠ 🖾 (a7+) Both	kings in check.	N ≠ 營巢 (d1+)	Impossible check.
	M www.	N = 🗵	
B = 凸		T≠≌	If T = \bigotimes (e1+), <u>check</u> and \bigotimes = Ø?
N = 足			$M \neq \mathcal{A}$ (f1+) impossible double check.
records: fewest pieces (7	r) for 5 letters	т = 🕰	
most letters (5) v	with 7 pieces	M = ₩	
	-	last move: 1Q>f1-	+ or 1>f1=Q+

record: fewest pieces (8) for 6 letters





(4 + 4)

M-12 "Vantage"

A = 🛱 **G** = 余

Only letter not on 1st or 8th rank.

The other letters (ENTV) all attack one of the kings along a rank or file. No matter how $\overset{\text{\tiny{black}}}{=}$ and $\overset{\text{\tiny{clack}}}{=}$ are assigned, they will both give check. The only possibility for the necessary double check is a promotion on b1 (=Q++ or =R++).





M-13





(3 + 5)

M-13 "Hong Kong"	
K = 🗳	
G ≠ ∄ (g1)	On 1st rank
G ≠ 營 (g1+, h7+)	Impossible double check.
G ≠ Â (h7+)	Impossible check.
G≠Ä	If G = [□] / _□ (g1+), <u>check</u> . Either H (a2+) or N (g8+)
	will be 🗳 or 🔔. Both kings in check.
c - 為	

= ⁄ 🖢

H ≠ 岔 (b8)	On 8th rank
H ≠ 營 (a2+, b8+)	Impossible double check.
H ≠ Â (a2+)	Impossible check.
H = 邕 (b8+)	Check.
N ≠ 岔 (g8)	On 8th rank
N = (৺এ) (g8+)	Double check.

The only way this double check could occur is with an en passant capture. Last move: 1...b4xc3 e.p.++.

uppercase = black

On Black's previous turn, before 1.c2-c4 b4xc3++, a bishop check from g8 is impossible.

N = ₩

N ≠ Â (g8+)

record: fewest pieces (8) with last move en passant





(3 + 6)

M-14 "Canada"		M-15 "Kooky"	
N = 🗟		K = 🗳	
A ≠ 營 (f8+, h2+)	Impossible double check.	O ≠ 岔	On 1st rank.
A≠ 岔	On 8th rank.	O ≠ 營巢 (b2+, h2+) Both kings in check.
A = (邕邕句)	Check. The king on b8 is in check	O ≠ أَنَ (a2+, e2+)	Both kings in check.
	from A. (ຶ⊟f8+, ଛੈh2+, or ଛੈc6+)	O = 邕	<u>Check</u> .
D≠營邕 (g1+)	Both kings in check.	Check from $\begin{subarray}{c} \end{subarray}$ on c	11 could only happen b
D ≠ Â (f7+)	Both kings in check.	last move: 1.0-0-0+	, uppercase = white
D ≠ 岔	On 8th rank.	record: fewest lette	rs (2) with last move <i>c</i>
D =			
C≠營邕 (b2+)	Impossible double check.	WI-TO PS&QS I	white to move
C ≠ 🛱 (a7+)	Impossible double check.	Q = ₩	
C = <u></u>	Pawn on a7 must be black.	P≠1	On 8th rank.
	If white, impossible double check.	P ≠ 營 (a8+, h8+)	Impossible double che
uppercase = black		P ≠ 굁 (a8+)	Impossible check. Not
A ≠ 🚊 (h2+)	Impossible check.		because that would pl
A= 筥 (f8+)	Check.		In check, making it bid
Check from Z on	f8 could only happen by castling.	P≠ ≜ (110+) D = 為 (~2+)	Chook
last move: 10-0+		$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{y} \mathbf{o} +)$	<u>Uneck</u> .
record: fewest pieces (9) with last move castling		uppercase = white	head if it is White to r
			DIACK II IL IS WHITE TO I

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

M-15	
------	--





(6 + 3)





Ï Check. ck from \square on d1 could only happen by castling. move: 1.0-0-0+, uppercase = white rd: fewest letters (2) with last move castling

ත්

P ≠ 岔	On 8th rank.				
P ≠ 營 (a8+, h8+)	Impossible double check.				
P ≠ Â (a8+)	Impossible check. Not 1.a7-a8=B+				
	because that would put the black king				
	in check, making it Black to move.				
P ≠ Ä (h8+)	Impossible check.				
P = (g8+)	Check.				
uppercase = white	The checked king on e7 cannot be				
	black if it is White to move.				
last move: 1S>g8+					
record*: fewest pieces (5) for 2 letters (type B)					





B ≠ Â (a1)

R≠營邕 (f1+)

B = 🔊

R = 🛱 D≠₩

D = 筥 N = ₩

M-17 "Pat" White to move							
A = 🖑							
T≠ ∄	On 8th rank.						
T ≠ 營邕 (h8+)	Impossible check.						
T ≠ 🛱 (h7+)	Impossible check.						
T = 🖄							
P ≠	On 8th rank.						
P≠營筥 (f8+, g7+)	Impossible double check.						
P = 🔔							

Neither king is in check, but it is White to play, so Black made the last move. The king on g8 cannot be black because there would be no last move. Retrostalemate. uppercase = black last move: unknown record*: fewest pieces (6) for 3 letters (type B)

M-18 "On Board" White to move

0 = 🛱

A = $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ Only letter not on 1st or 8th rank. $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ b2 must be white. If black, <u>check</u> and $\stackrel{\text{\tiny black}}{=} \emptyset$? No letter can be 🖑 without impossible multiple check.

uppercase = white

B≠₩≅ (a1+) The black king (c1) cannot be in check with White to move.

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

M-18





(4 + 3)



M-19





(1 + 1)

A white bishop cannot be on a1 with a white pawn on b2. The black king (c1) cannot be in check. If D = ₩ (h1+), check. $N \neq \Xi$ (h8+) Impossible double check. Check. Last move: 1...Q>h8+ record*: fewest pieces (7) for 6 letters (type B)

M-19 "Zeds" White to move

Z = 🛱 Obviously. But black and white, who is who? Colours are determined by *dead reckoning*, FIDE rule 5.2b. See anselan.com (A. Buchanan).

The position before the last move cannot be dead.

If the king on a1 is black, the last move was 1...Ka2>a1 or 1...Kb1>a1. If the move was not a capture, or if a knight or bishop were captured, the position was already dead before Black moved. If a queen or rook were captured, the position was also already dead because there was no choice except to capture. Therefore the king on a1 must be white!

The last move had to be the capture 1...Kxc3(QRp) so that the previous position was still alive. Long live the king!

Proof Games Visualizations

by Cornel Pacurar



Mental Imagery (Cornel Pacurar - *Matter, Pixlr* and *Afterlight* for iPhone, 2017)

Proof Games Visualizations

Cornel Pacurar

"We see not with our eyes, but with our brains. In fact, we see even without our eyes; dreams, waking visions, visualizations and the like all occur in the brain, just the same as eyesight. Our vision can be limited or enhanced by our eyesight, and our eyesight can, in fact, limit or enhance our vision."

Tara Sullivan, ACAT News, Spring 2003.

Techniques for creating images to communicate a message are as old as we are: since the dawn of humanity, one of the most effective ways to communicate concrete and abstract ideas has been through visual imagery.

Living in a world flooded with data, it is only natural that, in order to better understand its significance, people place data in a visual context more than ever. Presenting data in a graphical or pictorial format, encoding it as visual objects, not only helps communicate information efficiently, but also reveals correlations and patterns that might otherwise go unnoticed in text-based data. Data visualization is nowadays one of the key steps in data analysis or data science.

With huge volumes of data coming at us at overwhelming speeds, in most cases we are not be able to comprehend it without a layer of abstraction, such as a visual one. Even when we do, there is no doubt that visual representations of data are much more appealing than text-based data. And they certainly look cool!

Consider for example Philipp Lenssen's simple visualizations through Google from 2006. He searched for terms like ["p c4" chess] and ["k e1" chess] and mapped the result on a chess board:



As noted by Momir Radovic, who is doing pioneering research (out of Atlanta, GA) into a new chess teaching method to replace the traditional approach with "moves first", "chess is a complex cognitive activity that rests on the recognition of chess objects, or pieces, whose form and function are firmly coupled through chess rules, with the functions then linked to actions – that is movements associated with pieces."¹As seeing is believing, when it comes to the movements of chess pieces, probably nothing comes close to the power of visualization experienced when playing chess against the 'transparent intelligence' called the *Thinking Machine* 6.²

Thinking Machine (first implemented in 2002) is an 'artificial intelligence' program, ready to play chess with the viewer. During the game, the computer's thought process is sketched on screen as it plays. A map is created from the traces of literally thousands of possible futures as the program tries to decide its best move. Those traces become a key to the invisible lines of force in the game, as well as a window into the spirit of a thinking machine. The result is almost abstract art!



Arguably the most influential artist of the 20th century, Marcel Duchamp said that "Chess has the visual possibilities of art. It is a mechanistic sculpture that presents

exciting plastic values. If you know the game you can feel that the bishop is like a lever. It incites a whole new pattern when moved. There is a mental end implied when you look at the formation of the pieces on the board. The transformation of the visual aspect to the grey matter is what always happens in chess and what should happen in art."

In this spirit, in a series of chess paintings, British artist Tom Hackney translates the chess games of Marcel Duchamp into vivid geometric abstractions. Patterns of movement are fundamental to Hackney's process, who maps the predetermined data set (the moves of a given chess game) on the 64 squares of the canvas.³



Tom Hackney Chess Painting No. 64 (Duchamp vs. Znosko-Borovsky, Paris, 1929), 2015, Gesso on linen, oak frame. (Francis M. Naumann Fine Art, New York)

Another British artist who has recently fell in love with chess is Nette Robinson.⁴ In 2011, she began painting portraits of chess Grandmasters and positions from famous games, like this one:



Nette Robinson Alekhine vs. Lasker, Zurich, 1934 Acrylic on canvas.

In line with Man Ray or Marcel Duchamp, Dominique Digeon (France) also paints and draws on the theme of Chess. "Chess is like a thread of Ariadne for me," he summarizes⁵, tilting the balance between chess and art back towards chess, with works showing chess games.



Dominique Digeon Kasparov-Karpov Casein on canvas.

Ugo Dossi (Germany) goes one step further and gives the game details at the top of his works.



Ugo Dossi Spassky vs. Petrosjan, Moscow 1969

Coincidentally, the same chess game has been the subject of another work, by the Greek artist and chess historian Nicholas Sphicas.



Nicholas Sphicas 24. Ng5!! 1-0. From the game Spassky – Petrossian, Moscow 1969

Finally, Ivan Llorens' (Spain) series of paintings *Chess Harmonies* "is a starting point towards a plastic investigation into the poetic of chess. A synergy from the aesthetic recreation of the game of chess. Chess Harmonies invites to open a dialogue with the receiver from a personal code of Data Visualization analysis of chess"⁶.



Ivan Llorens Combination of Chess moves Study Oil on canvas.

Chess is, no doubt, a cognitive and visual artefact!

During the last couple of years, a number of very interesting chess data visualization studies have been published on the internet, the list of authors including Seth Kadish (Portland, OR)⁷, Steve Tung (San Francisco, CA)⁸, Devin Camenares (Long Island, NY)⁹, Oliver Brennan (Rotherham, South Yorkshire, UK)¹⁰, Kiran Dale (Brighton, UK)¹¹, Joshua Kunst (Santiago, Chile)¹², and Buğra Firat (Vancouver, BC)¹³. They have all focused on actual chess games, their data sets ranging from a few tens to 2.2 million games.

A few examples follow below.



Kiran Dale White piece square utilization, Kasparov as White

White Piece Square Utilization, Fischer as White



Devin Camenares White piece square utilization, Fischer as White

For this article, I worked with three data sets containing correct (or not yet-provenincorrect) orthodox proof games from the WinChloe database¹⁴, as follows:

- 146 homebase proof games (visualizations 1-32)
- 37 miniature proof games (visualizations 33-64)
- All 4742 proof games, as above (visualizations 65-120)

As a side note, most of the 37 miniatures were "massacre" proof games.

A few definitions, for those readers not accustomed with this genre:

A *proof game* is a type of retrograde analysis chess problem. The solver must construct a game starting from the initial chess position, which ends with a given position (thus proving that that position is reachable) after a specified number of moves. A proof game is called a shortest proof game if no shorter solution exists.

Homebase proof game: in this sub-genre of Shortest Proof Games, all surviving units appear on their apparent starting squares.

 $Miniature:\ {\rm a\ chess\ composition\ with\ no\ more\ than\ seven\ pieces\ on\ the\ board\ in\ the\ initial\ position.}$

A game of chess is called a *massacre* if almost every move is a capture. When only the final diagram and the number of moves are given, deducing the game is a chess problem called a "massacre proof game".

The 120 visualizations can be further grouped as follows:

- Square occupancy: 1, 33-36, 65-86
- Square utilization: 2-13, 38-47
- Piece journeys: 14-30, 48-62, 88-118
- Distribution of the first movement: 31, 63, 119
- Piece captures 32, 64, 120
- Piece survival rates: 37, 87

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

Visualizations 1-13, 33-47, and 65-87 are what is called *heat maps*. Providing an immediate visual summary of information, a heat map (or heatmap) is a graphical representation of data where the individual values contained in a matrix are represented as colours. Heat maps 65 and 66 (square occupancy of all units for all 4742 proof games) represent the same data, but employ different colour schemes.

Visualizations 1-13, 33-36, 38-47 and 65-86 were created with a suite of simple, easy to use, and freely accessible *Javascript* tools written by Devin Camenares, who was inspired by the chess square utilization visualization study by Seth Kadish. These tools are based upon the LT-PGN JavaScript viewer, and calls upon that code for certain functions.

Visualizations 14-32, 37, 48-64 and 87-120 were created using R (an elegant and versatile programming language which has a highly expressive syntax designed around working with data and extremely powerful graphics capabilities), RStudio and the *rchess* package implemented by Joshua Kunst¹⁵.

As explained by Joshua, the rchess package is mainly a wrapper of the *chessjs* Javascript chess library written by Jeff Hlywa. The main parts in the rchess package are V8 package (an R interface to Google's open source JavaScript engine), *chessjs* Javascript library, R6 package (for the OO system), *htmlwidget* package and *chessboardjs* Javascript library.

These visualizations were plotted with *ggplot2*, an open source implementation of Leland Wilkinson's Grammar of Graphics for R (a *grammar of graphics* is a tool that enables us to concisely describe the components of a graphic), created by Hadley Wickham in 2005.

Aditionally, for 32, 64 and 120 *igraph* and *ForceAtlas2* R packages were also used.

As a picture is worth a thousand words, I will now simply invite the readers to analyze and explore these 120 proof games visualizations, to compare them and find patterns, differences and correlations or, why not, admire some of them as abstract art!

I just want to point out the following: the next image represents the survival rates of pieces for all 2.2 million master-level tournament games in *Million Base 2.2*¹⁶ as of January 2013. Compare it with 37 and, especially, 87!

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	
55.2%	28.2%	34.1%	48.6%	100.0%	35.4%	25.9%	54.8%	8
65.9%	56.0%	34.3%	31.7%	41.4%	58.3%	66.3%	72.3%	7
								6
								5
								4
								3
66.6%	59.3%	41.8%	24.5%	36.0%	59.9%	69.0%	73.9%	2
55.2%	27.0%	32.4%	49.2%	100.0%	36.3%	26.6%	55.6%	1

Oliver Brennan – Survival rates

Similarly, compare 98 with the following two visualizations for the same unit (white knight c1)!

The one on the left (created by Buğra Firat with *chess-dataviz*, a visualization library for chess, written for D3.js) shows the journey of wSc1 during the World Rapid Chess Championship 2015.

The one on the right also shows wSc1's footprint, but was plotted (by Steve Tung), using the Million Base 2.2 database.

- $^{1} http://iplayoochess.com/2011/09/14/heres-how-poor-chess-vision-sets-in-early-and-how-to-fix-it/$
- 2 http://www.bewitched.com/chess/
- $^{3} http://worldchesshof.org/exhibitions/exhibit/corresponding-squares/$
- ⁴http://netterobinson-art.co.uk/chess/4569622642
- $^{5} http://dominique.digeon.pagespro-orange.fr/pages/echecs/parties-peintes.htm$
- ⁶https://www.saatchiart.com/ivanllorens
- $^{7} \rm http://en.chessbase.com/post/seth-kadish-visualizing-chess$
- $^{8} http://imgur.com/a/pYHyk/layout/grid?forcedesktop=1$
- ⁹https://en.chessbase.com/post/study-of-square-utilization-and-occupancy
- $^{10} \rm https://www.quora.com/What-are-the-chances-of-survival-of-individual-chess-pieces-in-average-games$
- ¹¹http://kyrandale.com/
- ¹²http://jkunst.com/
- $^{13} https://blog.ebemunk.com/a-visual-look-at-2-million-chess-games/$
- ¹⁴http://winchloe.free.fr/wc.html
- ¹⁵https://github.com/jbkunst/rchess
- $^{16} \rm http://www.top-5000.nl/pgn.htm$

1

Square Occupancy: Homebase

115	99	120	98	146	108	108	111	8
105	115	97	106	94	114	103	104	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
109	101	105	102	104	119	106	97	2
128	107	111	112	146	120	115	113	1
А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	

2Square Utilization: Homebase



5

Square Utilization: Homebase First Move



Square Utilization: Homebase White

3



6 Square Utilization: Homebase First Move Pawns



4 Square Utilization: Homebase Black

13	26	15	21	27	18	25	16	8
4	5	5	12	19	8	10	3	7
15	8	42	16	14	45	5	25	6
21	11	19	38	27	22	18	21	5
11	12	12	36	17	19	14	22	4
14	17	18	14	18	15	11	19	3
29	33	36	15	24	13	30	30	2
10	33	38	26	9	27	22	21	1
A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	

7

Square Utilization: Homebase First Move Knights







11 Square Utilization: Homebase Rooks



Square Utilization: Homebase Knights

9



12Square Utilization: Homebase Queens



10 Square Utilization: Homebase Bishops



13 Square Utilization: Homebase Kings









14 Homebase: d2 Pawn



17 Homebase: Black Pawns



15 Homebase: **f7** Pawn



18 Homebase: b1 Knight





22 Homebase: f8 Bishop



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

20 Homebase: Knights



23 Miniatures: Bishops



21 Homebase: c1 Bishop



24 Homebase: a1 Rook


25 Homebase: h8 Rook



28 Homebase: Black Queen



26 Homebase: Rooks



29 Homebase: White King



27 Homebase: White Queen



30 Homebase: Black King



31

Homebase: Distribution of the first movement





33 Square Occupancy: Miniatures









36 Square Occupancy: Miniatures Pawns



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

37 Survival Rates: Miniatures

34

Square Occupancy: Miniatures White



Square Utilization: Miniatures



39 Square Utilization: Miniatures White





41 Square Utilization: Miniatures First Move



44 Square Utilization: Miniatures Bishops



42 Square Utilization: Miniatures Pawns



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

43 Square Utilization: Miniatures Knights



45 Square Utilization: Miniatures Rooks





48 Miniatures: All pieces



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

49 Miniatures: d2 and e2 Pawns

46



47 Square Utilization: Miniatures Kings



50 Miniatures: Pawns



51 Miniatures: Knights



54 Miniatures: Bishops



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

52 Miniatures: c1 Bishop



55 Miniatures: a1 Rook



53 Miniatures: c8 Bishop



56 Miniatures: h1 Rook



57 Miniatures: h8 Rook



60 Miniatures: Black Queen



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

58 Miniatures: Rooks



61 Miniatures: White King



59 Miniatures: White Queen



62 Miniatures: Black King



63

Miniatures: Distribution of the first movement



Red: white captures black | Blue: black captures white



65 Square Occupancy: All

68 Square Occupancy: Black

147 157 165 136 93 138 159 13	⁶⁴ 2 ⁵⁸ 1
	64 2
157 140 115 108 112 101 146 10	
314 219 213 160 138 218 181 32	24 3
280 309 195 293 287 215 339 34	81 4
543 468 630 681 669 643 551 63	31 5
726 919 1152 1039 1043 1198 871 70	⁶² 6
3061 3018 3221 2788 2844 3218 2925 29	931 7
2890 2908 2684 2406 2448 2665 2953 2	8

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

66



69 Square Occupancy: Pawns

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
2941	2902	3082	2288	2371	2975	2740	2786	7
341	703	745	769	812	741	615	306	6
393	353	410	497	514	446	354	462	5
395	305	374	448	546	335	296	402	4
305	629	644	740	748	640	585	299	3
2962	3080	3266	2455	2542	3170	2985	2886	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	

67 Square Occupancy: White

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	
3151	3213	2900	2672	2818	2953	3294	3122	1
3061	3181	3381	2849	2972	3323	3139	2988	2
590	744	936	930	940	1032	730	612	3
496	412	511	580	616	481	431	500	4
238	270	143	263	218	157	266	286	5
265	171	165	132	139	208	143	242	6
139	163	115	112	108	108	127	152	7
163	183	185	127	126	155	195	164	8

70 Square Occupancy: White Pawns

50	03	21	21	52	23	50	23	1
49	73	44	26	40	61	48	43	6
85	68	43	81	69	53	71	82	5
311	244	308	374	473	264	215	300	4
242	543	572	699	713	567	522	225	3
2932	3032	3242	2441	2521	3144	2939	2830	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	

71 Square Occupancy: Black Pawns

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
2905	2833	3055	2267	2319	2946	2690	2757	7
292	630	701	743	772	680	567	263	6
308	285	367	416	445	393	283	380	5
84	61	66	74	73	71	81	102	4
63	86	72	41	35	73	63	74	3
30	48	24	14	21	26	46	56	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1

72 Square Occupancy: Knights

42	3082	76	83	66	87	2962	53	1
								2
43	10	27	218	252	40	17	46	2
174	79	238	14	14	280	74	207	3
59	49	47	98	112	48	59	60	4
51	39	59	106	90	46	51	57	5
242	87	269	20	15	331	101	278	6
37	15	35	265	247	51	17	55	7
62	2683	104	109	98	95	2611	64	8

75 Square Occupancy: Bisbons

Squar	e Ottu	ipancy.	DISTIOP	5				
71	60	2366	77	85	2298	76	82	8
71	128	59	106	113	46	148	68	7
193	71	78	121	129	82	78	210	6
78	163	137	110	122	155	158	82	5
70	174	129	104	98	134	156	94	4
199	76	90	107	121	88	64	217	3
75	106	42	86	115	32	131	80	2
58	59	2679	97	57	2645	54	70	1
А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	

73 Square Occupancy: White Knights

17		68	20	27	15	27	63	18	8
8		6	8	21	14	11	7	15	7
12		10	14	11	8	25	12	9	6
19		21	10	46	43	5	27	14	5
30		14	38	33	35	37	9	34	4
15	8	57	223	7	9	266	58	195	3
30		3	22	200	233	33	13	34	2
27		3030	59	57	54	69	2902	27	1
	Ą	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	

76 Square Occupancy: White Bishops

27	55	84	53	37	89	51	32	4
21	55	ŏ4	53	31	89	51	32	4
73	38	42	66	77	42	34	89	3
24	74	47	00	<u></u>	47	04	20	
31	74	17	63	69	17	94	38	2
33	22	2592	58	41	2577	23	38	1
								'
A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	

74 Square Occupancy: Black Knights

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	
15	52	17	26	12	18	60	26	1
13	7	5	18	19	7	4	12	2
16	22	15	7	5	14	16	12	3
29	35	9	65	77	11	50	26	4
32	18	49	60	47	41	24	43	5
230	77	255	9	7	306	89	269	6
29	9	27	244	233	40	10	40	7
45	2615	84	82	83	68	2548	46	8

77 Square Occupancy: Black Bishops

43 25 2289 53 62 2219 41 55 8 37 94 27 82 91 26 115 34 7 87 51 50 81 93 34 55 101 6 34 58 110 65 75 124 66 32 5 43 119 45 51 61 45 105 62 4 126 38 48 41 44 46 30 128 3 44 32 25 23 46 15 37 42 2 25 37 87 39 16 68 31 32 1	A	в	С	D	Е	F	G	н	
43 25 2289 53 62 2219 41 55 8 37 94 27 82 91 26 115 34 7 87 51 50 81 93 34 55 101 6 34 58 110 65 75 124 66 32 5 43 119 45 51 61 45 105 62 4 126 38 48 41 44 46 30 128 3 44 32 25 23 46 15 37 42 2	25	37	87	39	16	68	31	32	1
43 25 2289 53 62 2219 41 55 8 37 94 27 82 91 26 115 34 7 87 51 50 81 93 34 55 101 6 34 58 110 65 75 124 66 32 5 43 19 45 51 61 45 105 62 4 126 38 48 41 44 46 30 128 3	44	32	25	23	46	15	37	42	2
43 25 2289 53 62 2219 41 55 8 37 94 27 82 91 26 115 34 7 87 51 50 81 93 34 55 101 6 34 58 110 65 75 124 66 32 5 43 119 45 51 61 45 105 62 4	126	38	48	41	44	46	30	128	3
43 25 2289 53 62 2219 41 55 8 37 94 27 82 91 26 115 34 7 87 51 50 81 93 34 55 101 6 34 58 110 65 75 124 66 32 5	43	119	45	51	61	45	105	62	4
43 25 2289 53 62 2219 41 55 8 37 94 27 82 91 26 115 34 7 87 51 50 81 93 34 55 101 6	34	58	110	65	75	124	66	32	5
43 25 2289 53 62 2219 41 55 8 37 94 27 82 91 26 115 34 7	87	51	50	81	93	34	55	101	6
43 25 2289 53 62 2219 41 55 8	37	94	27	82	91	26	115	34	7
	43	25	2289	53	62	2219	41	55	8

78 Square Occupancy: Rooks

778	167	124	195	124	223	196	2633	8
6	56	41	59	38	67	58	71	7
21	76	94	77	86	95	90	128	6
00	68	73	87	77	66	93	117	5
19	86	74	89	61	90	105	127	4
22	64	87	85	76	101	93	107	3
3	47	41	58	30	48	61	58	2
)74	118	93	170	87	183	164	3015	1
А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	

81

80 Square Occupancy: Black Rooks

2702	132	76	164	98	199	142	2559	8
45	32	21	31	27	40	44	35	7
63	42	52	53	53	50	57	80	6
71	35	45	59	49	38	63	84	5
64	52	35	50	40	43	57	70	4
59	34	53	41	38	54	54	64	3
25	23	23	27	19	27	34	17	2
61	33	30	17	18	22	34	67	1
A	в	С	D	Е	F	G	н	

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

79		
Square Occupancy:	White	Rooks

82 Square Occupancy: White Queens

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	
43	28	49	2247	117	43	26	30	1
22	24	55	47	44	5	16	23	2
25	49	13	36	11	50	22	29	3
62	24	21	56	25	15	61	24	4
33	26	16	50	19	22	10	67	5
34	31	36	28	17	23	20	31	6
25	24	20	17	8	13	17	33	7
27	41	32	38	25	19	33	27	8

Square Occupancy: Queens

58 68	83 48 53	33 81 72	63 69 2290	22 46 130	74 28 64	40 34 46	68 55 53	3 2 1
58	83 48	33 81	63 69	22 46	74 28	40 34	68 55	3 2
	83	33	63	22	74	40	68	3
66								
95	47	46	98	40	42	79	107	4
116	54	40	95	39	43	79	93	5
62	106	54	93	25	82	40	51	6
53	43	73	75	62	31	29	62	7
72	101	90	1953	154	80	66	58	8

83 Square Occupancy: Black Queens

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	
25	25	23	43	13	21	20	23	1
36	24	26	22	2	23	18	32	2
41	34	20	27	11	24	18	39	3
33	23	25	42	15	27	18	83	4
83	28	24	45	20	21	69	26	5
28	75	18	65	8	59	20	20	6
28	19	53	58	54	18	12	29	7
45	60	58	1915	129	61	33	31	8
			_					

84 Squai	re Occu	pancy:	Kings					
70	80	185	199	2113	124	199	104	8
22	37	46	107	121	156	60	41	7
32	47	77	91	115	75	90	31	6
43	61	54	49	45	44	82	106	5
38	60	36	36	46	47	75	91	4
38	32	57	81	97	67	55	38	3
22	30	39	71	99	106	57	27	2
56	58	145	168	2571	112	227	89	1
Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	



15	4	8	7	37	6	10	18	8
5	6	8	1	1	8	6	5	7
6	3	1	3	5	6	7	2	6
28	17	19	13	12	18	36	40	5
11	41	21	25	25	29	47	53	4
29	27	52	78	92	60	55	31	3
13	24	27	67	94	103	50	22	2
35	48	137	157	2537	103	213	79	1
A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	I

88 **b2 Pawn**



86 Square Occupancy: Black Kings

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	
21	10	8	11	34	9	14	10	1
9 (6	12	4	5	3	7	5	2
9 9	5	5	3	5	7	0	7	3
27	19	15	11	21	18	28	38	4
15 4	44	35	36	33	26	46	66	5
26	44	76	88	110	69	83	29	6
17 3	31	38	106	120	148	54	36	7
55 7	76	177	192	2076	118	189	86	8
55	76	177	192	2076	118	189	86	6

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

 87 Survival Rates

8	87.7%	85.6%	83.0%	80.9%	100.0%	81.0%	87.4%	86.9%
7	86.7%	82.9%	87.0%	85.0%	81.5%	89.2%	78.9%	84.8%
6								
5								
4								
3								
2	86.8%	85.6%	89.2%	86.7%	85.2%	88.3%	83.9%	84.2%
1	87.6%	87.0%	82.0%	81.3%	100.0%	81.8%	87.4%	86.1%

April 2017

89 **d2 Pawn**













91 **g2 Pawn**



⁹⁴ e7 Pawn



95 White Pawns



98 **b1 Knight**



96 Black Pawns







97 Pawns



100 b8 Knight



101 g8 Knight



104 f1 Bishop



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

102 Knights



105 **c8 Bishop**



103 c1 Bishop



106 **f8 Bishop**



107 **Bishops**



110 **a8 Rook**



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11









109 **h1 Rook**



112 Rooks



113 White Queen



116 White King



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11









115 Queens



118 Kings









Twofold Excelsior Promotion Into the Same Fairy Piece in ser-s#10 Without Special Conditions -- Part 2

by Sébastien Luce







Night Cauldron (Cornel Pacurar - *Isometric, Matter, Union* and *Pixlr* for iPhone, 2017)

Twofold Excelsior Promotion Into the Same Fairy Piece in ser-s#10 Without Special Conditions – Part 2

Sébastien Luce

I took great pleasure in reading, in the last issue of the *Bulletin*, Manfred Rittirsch's article about ser-s#10 with double promotion to the same fairy piece.

Then, as a game, I tried to do the same task with another piece, then another... In the end, here are 12 new problems!

Thank you, Manfred!



Original



= Antelope

TEP28

Original

Sébastien Luce

1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a×b7 5.g4 6.g5 7.g6 8.g×h7 9.h8=AN 10.b×c8=AN+ B×c8 #

Antelope: leaper (3,4).

The key point is the antelope on d6 to prevent cooks. If it is captured by the white knight to control e4 & f5, then square g2 would no longer be controled by black in the final mate.

TEP26 Sébastien Luce Original



 $\mathfrak{D} = \text{Leaper}(1,6) + (1,7)$

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a×b7 5.g4 6.g×f5 7.f×e6 8.e×d7 9.d×c8=L1617 10.b8=L1617+ Bxb8 #



ser-s# 10 C+ (4+8) \bigtriangledown = Zebra 1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a×b7 5.g4 6.g5 7.g6 8.g7 9.g8=Z 10.b8=Z+ Bxb8 #

TEP29 Sébastien Luce Original



TEP30 Sébastien Luce Original



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

TEP31 Sébastien Luce

Original

1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a×b7 5.g4 6.g5 7.g6

8.g7 9.g8 = SQ 10.b8 = SQ + Bxb8 #

Squirrel: knight + leaper (0,2)+(2,2).

1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a×b7 5.g4 6.g5 7.g6

 $8.g7 9.g8 = H 10.b8 = H + H \times b8 \#$

Hippogriff: knight + grasshopper.



TEP32 Sébastien Luce Original

ģ

Å

ser-s# 10

 $\mathbb{K} = \text{Locust}$

1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a×b7 5.g4 6.g5 7.g6 $8.g7 9.g8 = SZ 10.b8 = SZ + B \times b8 \#$

Quintessence: nightrider that changes direction in every 2:1-step by 90° in alternating sense – moving in 2:1-steps on a zig-zag line.

TEP33 Manfred Rittirsch Sébastien Luce Original



 $\mathbf{D} = \text{Hamster}$

1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a×b7 5.g4 6.g×f5 7.f6 1.a4 2.a5 3.a×b6 4.b7 5.g4 6.g5 7.g6 8.f7 9.f8=LO 10.b8=LO+ Sf4 # The mating move is a typical way to Hamster: like a grasshopper, but parry check from a locust.

1

Ë

۵

Å

C+(5+6)

NG 🕹

 $8.g7 9.g \times f8 = H 10.b8 = H + Bc6 \#$ deviates by 180° over the hurdle.

ser-s#10||| = Squirrel

April 2017

TEP34 Sébastien Luce Original



 \square = Mantis

TEP35 Sébastien Luce Original



ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11



Sébastien Luce

0.a4
2.a5
3.a6
4.a×b7
5.g4
6.g5
7.g6

8.g7
9.g8=M
10.b8=M+ B×b8 #
Image: Comparison of the second second

1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a×b7 5.g4 6.g5 7.g6

 $8.g \times h7 9.h8 = BT 10.b8 = BT + B \times b8 \#$

Beetle: moves like a grasshopper, but

makes a knight move in one direction

For example, here the beetle b2 can

move to d5 or e4 via c3 but also to g3

or another when crossing the hurdle.

or g1 via e2.

Mantis: knight + locust.



TEP36

TEP37 Manfred Rittirsch Original



ser-s# 10 C+ (5+7) \blacksquare = Friend 1.b4 2.b5 3.b6 4.b7 5.b×c8=M 6.g4 7.g5 8.g6 9.g×h7 10.h8=M+ R×h8 #

An improvement of Manfred's problem. I insisted that he co-author, but he decided I sign it alone.

Let us conclude with one of the best realizations, in my opinion, from Manfred himself!

1.a4 2.a5 3.a6 4.a7 $5.a \times b8 = F 6.c4 7.c5$ 8.c×d6 9.d7 10.d8=F+ B×c6 # Friend: can only move or capture when it is observed by a piece of its own camp, and then it moves like the piece(s) which observe it.

Here a very clever strategem is used: bQb8 controls Fb1, which pins the wPc2. To break this pin, wPa2 promotes, by capturing on b8 to friend, which moves as a knight (being controlled by wSc6) and covers black king's flight d7. The second excelsior, also a friend promotion, controling f7 and giving check! To parry that, black must capture the observing knight c6, and white is checkmated.

Sébastien Luce, Clichy, March 20, 2017

riginal

April 2017

Amazon: Queen + knight.

Antelope: 4:3-leaper.

Beetle (german: *Skarabäus*): Same as grasshopper, but makes a knight step (deviating by $\sim 27^{\circ}$ in one sense or the other) over the hurdle.

Bison: 3:1+3:2-leaper (= *camel* + *zebra*).

Boy scout: 1:1-spiral rider = zigzag bishop.

Camel: 3:1-leaper.

Cardinal: Line piece moving like a bishop, additionally reflecting by 90° at the edge of the board in the corner of the ultimate square, thus changing the square color.

Contragrasshopper: Same as Grasshopper, but the hurdle must be adjacent to the CG, whereas the distance of the arrival square to the hurdle is arbitrary.

Double-grasshopper: Allowed and obliged to make 2 consecutive grasshopper leaps, where the first one must not capture.

Eagle: Same as grasshopper, but deviating by 90° over the hurdle.

Friend: Moves only when it is controlled like the controlling unit(s). **Giraffe**: 4:1-leaper.

Girl scout: 0:1-diagonal spiral rider = zigzag rook.

Gnu: 2:1+3:1-leaper (= *knight* + *camel*).

Grasshopper: Moves on queen lines over a random piece (hurdle) to the square adjacent to that piece in the same direction.

Hamster: Same as grasshopper, but deviating by 180° over the hurdle. **Hippogriff**: Knight + grasshopper.

Kangaroo: Same as grasshopper, but needs exactly 2 hurdles on the same line that do not need to be in juxtaposition with each other.

Lion: Same as grasshopper, but the distance of the arrival square to the hurdle is arbitrary.

Locust: Same as grasshopper, but can only move over an opponent's piece if the adjacent arrival square is empty, capturing the hurdle.

Marguerite: Same as grasshopper, but deviating by any angle over the hurdle (= grasshopper + moose + eagle + sparrow + hamster).

Moose: Same as grasshopper, but deviating by 45° over the hurdle.

Nightrider: Makes any number of 2:1-steps on a straight line without capturing before the last step.

Octopus (german: *Krake*): rook + 1:1+2:1-leaper.

Okapi: 2:1+3:2-leaper (= knight + zebra).

Princess: Bishop + knight.

Quintessence: Same as nightrider, but changing direction in every 2:1step by 90° in alternating sense, thus moving in 2:1-steps on a zig-zag line. **Rose**: Same as nightrider, but changing direction in every 2:1-step by the same minimum angle, thus moving in 2:1-steps on a circle.

Sparrow: Same as grasshopper, but deviating by 135° over the hurdle. **Squirrel**: Knight + 2:0+2:2-leaper.

Trojan horse (CAT): Starts with a 2:1-step and is able to step further parallel to the 2:0 component of that step according to a dabbaba rider (= in 2:0-steps) up to an obstacle (edge of the board or piece) in the same move.

Ubi-ubi: Makes any number of consecutive 2:1-steps in a single move without capturing before the last step.

Zebra: 3:2-leaper.

Zebu: 3:1+4:1-leaper (= *camel* + *giraffe*).

UNIT COUNT TABLE

FAIRY PIECE	PIECE COUNT (w)	PIECE COUNT (b)	PIECE COUNT (total)
6:1+7:1-leaper	3	9	12
Amazon	3	4	7
Antelope	6	9	15
Beetle	3	9	12
Bison	4	5	9
Boy scout	3	5	8
Camel	5	7	12
Cardinal	3	5	8
Contragrasshopper	5	5	10
Double-grashopper	6	4	10
Eagle	6	4	10
Friend	5	7	12
Giraffe	5	7	12
Girl scout	4	3	7
Gnu	5	7	12
Grasshopper	4	6	10
Hamster	5	9	14
Hippogriff	3	9	12
Kangaroo	7	3	10
Lion	4	6	10
Locust	5	6	11
Mantis	3	5	8
Marguerite	3	6	9
Moose	4	5	9
Nightrider	5	6	11
Octopus	3	5	8
Okapi	4	5	9
Princess	4	5	9
Quintessence	3	6	9
Rose	5	4	9
Sparrow	3	7	10
Squirrel	4	6	10
Trojan horse	5	7	12
Ubi-ubi	3	9	12
Zebra	4	8	12
Zebu	4	8	12

Dictionary and Unit Count Table by Manfred Rittirsch, Buch am Erlbach, March 26 2017

Series-mover Artists: Unto Heinonen

by Arno Tüngler





Unto Heinonen Photo credit & copyright: Hannu Harkola (Helsinki, 2014) *Prisma* processing: Cornel Pacurar

ARTICLES

Arno Tüngler Series-mover Artists: Unto Heinonen

Juraj was right – Unto Heinonen really composes everything. However, series-movers have a special position in his artwork as you notice in a search of his problems in the Chess Problem Database Server (http://pdb.dieschwalbe.de/index.jsp). Of the 1654 problems registered under his name 877 are series-movers, more than half! It is obviously impossible to cover even part of this huge creative output, so I show here only six examples, of outstanding quality, that have especially impressed me. Have a look yourself. I am sure you will easily find another set of examples, just as excellent or better. Most of our problems are once again promotion tasks - UH-1 shows three parallel promotions in both solutions, in a harmonious but still diversified interpretation and an attractive initial position. Afterwards, a threefold "Babson", black being forced to promote into the same officer white had already promoted twice! Then see how with two different stipulations we come again to an AUW, featuring a surprising repetition of white final moves.

George P. Sphicas, another great artist in this realm, commented on **UH-4** in StrateGems: "Achieving the task of four excelsiors and AUW with only 10 units is admirable. For this stipulation, series-selfmate, the best economy was 13. Bringing it down to 10 is fantastic! It is worthwhile to examine this excellent composition carefully. Particularly clever is the absolute need to have an S on a1 (and arriving there only via c2), B on b3 and Q on c3, and the logic of why nothing else is possible. While the blocker on a3 could be S or R, certainly the most efficient is the Rook, assuring the unique order of moves and a beautiful finale. A great combination of logic and elegance!"

The two final masterpieces are actual length records, but how extraordinarily composed! Hans Gruber was seemingly out of breath after solving **UH-6**: "Incredibly rich, fireworks of ideas from the start to the end!" You may be surprised when checking out which of these problems made it into the corresponding FIDE Albums. On a final note, I wish you much fun with a deeper study of Unto Heinonen's magnificent series-mover treasures.

UH-1 Unto Heinonen StrateGems 1998 1st Prize



b) $2c4 \rightarrow c2$

UH-2 Unto Heinonen Die Schwalbe 2005 2nd Prize



ser-s# 8 C+ (7+13) Madrasi 4 Solutions

UH-3 Unto Heinonen diagrammes 2001



ser-h# 4 C+ (9+2) b) ser-h= 4 2 Solutions

ARTICLES



Unto Heinonen

Photo credit & copyright Hannu Harkola (Helsinki, 2014)

UH-4 Unto Heinonen StrateGems 2012

1st Prize



ser-s# 30 C+(5+5)

UH-5 Unto Heinonen Problemkiste 2000

ser-r+58



UH-6 Unto Heinonen Problemkiste 2011



ser-s= 120 (8+15) Black Maximummer White Minimummer

Solutions:

 $\textbf{UH-1: a) 1.h2-h1=S 2.Sh1\times g3 3.Sg3-e4 6.g2-g1=S 7.Sg1\times e2 8.Se2-d4 10.e2-e1=S 12.Sd3-c5 Sc8-e7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 7.Be1\times g3 8.Bg3-e5 11.g2-g1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.e2-e1=B 12.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.Bf3\times e2 4.Be2-c4 6.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#; b) 1.Bf3\times e2 4.Bg1-c5 Ba6-b7 ~\#;$

(14+10)

UH-2: 1) $1.e7-e8=Q 2.Qe8 \times d7 4.e7-e8=Q 6.Qe7 \times a3 7.Qa3 \times d3 8.c2-c4 + b2-b1=Q #; 2) 1.e7-e8=S 3.Sf6 \times d7 5.e7-e8=S 8.Se4-d2+ b2-b1=S #; 3) 1.e7-e8=R 3.Rd8 \times d7 5.e7-e8=R 6.Re8 \times xe2 8.Re1-f1+ b2-b1=R #; 4) 1.e7-e8=B 2.Bc8 \times d7 4.e7-e8=B 6.Bg6 \times d3 7.c2-c4 8.Bd3-e4+ b2-b1=B #$

UH-3: a1) 1.h2-h1=Q 3.Qf1×b5 4.Kc5-c4 Rb3-c3 #; a2) 1.h2-h1=B 3.Bg2-f1 4.Bf1-c4 Bf6-d4 #; b1) 1.h2-h1=S 3.Sg3-e4 4.Kc5-d5 Rb3-c3 =; b2) 1.h2-h1=R 3.Rd1-d5 4.Kc5-c6 Bf6-d4 =

UH-4: 1.h2-h4 5.h7-h8=R 7.Rd8×d3 8.Rd3-a3 13.d7-d8=S 15.Sc6×b4 16.Sb4-c2 21.b7-b8=Q 22.Qb8×g3 23.Qg3-c3 28.g7-g8=B 29.Bg8-b3 30.Sc2-a1+ Se4×c3 #

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{UH-5:} \ 1.a2-a4\ 5.a7-a8=B\ 7.Bf3-g4\ 8.Rg1\times g2\ 10.Rg3-b3\ 12.Bf3-c6\ 13.Rb3-c3\ 14.b2-b3\ 16.Ba3\times b4\ 17.Bb4-a5\ 21.b6\times c7\ 22.c7-c8=S\ 23.Ba5-d8\ 25.Rc1-d1\ 26.Bc6-e4\ 28.Sd6\times f5\ 30.Bf3-g4\ 31.Sf5\times h6\ 32.Sh6-f5\ 34.Bh5-g6\ 35.Rh8\times h7\ 37.Rh5-g5\ 41.h7-h8=R\ 43.Rh4-g4\ 48.h7-h8=R\ 50.Rh3-g3\ 55.h7-h8=R\ 57.Rh2-g2\ 58.Qg8-h8\ Rh1\times h8\ + \end{array}$

UH-6: 1.g2-g3 6.g7-g8=R 12.Rf4×e4 13.Ke3-f3 16.Re2×d2 20.Re4-f4 22.Kg3-h3 24.Rg4-g5 26.Kh4-h5 27.Rg5-g6 29.Kh6-h7 30.Rg6-g7 32.Kh8-g8 36.Rd7×c7 39.Re7-f7 41.Kf8-e8 46.Rb7×b6 47.Rb6×c6! 49.Bb7-a8! 50.a4×b5 52.b6-b7 59.Rb1×c1 70.Re7-f7 72.Kf8-g8 73.Rf7-g7 75.Kh8-h7 76.Rg7-g6 78.Kh6-h5 79.Rg6-g5 81.Kh4-h3 83.Rg4-f4 87.Ke3×d3 91.Kg3-h3 93.Rg4-g5 95.Kh4-h5 96.Rg5-g6 98.Kh6-h7 99.Rg6-g7 101.Kh8-g8 102.Rg7-f7 104.Kf8-e8 105.Rf7-e7 107.Kd8-d7 112.Rc7-c6 115.Kc8-b8 117.Rb6×a6 118.Ra6-a7 119.a5-a6 120.Sd1×c3+ Be5×c3 =

Arno Tüngler Bishkek, April 15th, 2017

Record Breakers IV

by Arno Tüngler



Spring Records (Cornel Pacurar - *Isometric, Matter* and *Pixlr* for iPhone, 2017)

ARTICLES

Arno Tüngler **Record Breakers IV**

This time we start with a very small improvement, but what follows is a real thunderstorm! **RB-30** shows as many moves as forerunner **HZ-20** in the seventh issue of the Bulletin, but has no white check in the initial position. As you already know, this counts as a new record in our race.

All six following record breakers were triggered by a fantastic novel idea of our new collaborator Jean-Christian Galli. The first he found was RB-32, which adds an amazing 14 moves (!!) to Branko's HM-7 record in our last issue. Then came the new record with 6 units, adding no less than 16 moves to the 20-years-old HM-8. Surprisingly, the 4-unit record **HM-6** was annihilated with the new matrix. Finally, I joined the effort and we added new records for 7, 8, and 9 units. Maybe even more is possible, using the same idea??

These new achievements should motivate all who are interested in series-mover length records to search for fresh ideas. It seems that even with the basic stipulations we are not yet at the limits, so more should be possible with the not as popular fairy goals. Off to the races!

> Arno Tüngler Bishkek, April 12th, 2017

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

RB-30 Branko Koludrović Paul Răican Original



ser-hZg577C+(8+2)Circe

RB-33 Jean-Christian Galli Original





RB-34

75.Kg5×f4[Ra1] 77.Kf3×f2[Bc1] Bg5 Z

RB-31: 1.Kh1-g1 7.Kb1×a2[Rh1] 19.Ka5-a4 Rh1-a1 #

RB-32: 1.Kh1-g1 7.Kb1×a2[Rh1] 19.Ka5×a4[Pa2] 31.Kb2×a2 43.Ka5-a4 Rh1-a1 #

RB-33: 1.Kh1-g1 5.Kd1 \times c1[Sg1] 9.Kf1 \times g1 15.Kb1 \times a2[Rh1] 27.Ka5×a4[Pa2] 39.Kb2×a2 51.Ka5-a4 Rh1-a1 #

RB-31 Jean-Christian Galli Original



Circe

RB-35 Jean-Christian Galli Arno Tüngler Original



RB-32 Jean-Christian Galli Original



 $\operatorname{ser-h}\# 43$ Circe

RB-36 Jean-Christian Galli Arno Tüngler Original



RB-30: 1.Kh5-g6 18.Kf1×g2[Rh1] 19.Kg2×h1 38.Kg6×g5[Pg2] 56.Kf1×g2 1.Ke3-f4 8.Kd7×c7[Pc2] 20.Kb1×a2[Rh1] 35.Ka5×a4[Pa2] RB-34: 50.Kb2×a2 65.Ka5-a4 Rh1-a1 #

> RB-35: 1.Ka5-b6 11.Kf4×e5[Pe2] 18.Kc1×b1[Bf1] 22.Ke1×f1 27.Kb1×a2[Rh1] 42.Ka5×a4[Pa2] 57.Kb2×a2 72.Ka5-a4 Rh1-a1 #

> **RB-36**: 1.Kd3-e3 9.Ke8×d7 17.Kf4×e5[Pe2] 24.Kc1×b1[Bf1] 28.Ke1×f1 33.Kb1×a2[Rh1] 48.Ka5×a4[Pa2] 63.Kb2×a2 78.Ka5-a4 Rh1-a1 #

April 2017



ifaybish.com TT Retrospective & TT8

by Itamar Faybish



Chess Connections (Cornel Pacurar - *Prisma* and *Pixlr* for iPhone, 2017) (German chess and tric-trac board, 16th century.)

ifaybish.com TT Retrospective & TT8

Itamar Faybish

I would like first to thank Arno and Cornel for giving me the opportunity to write this article, it is an honour and a great pleasure to do so. What I'm going to present is a short retrospective of the thematic tournaments I organized, and a more thorough one of the latest. I just hope it will be an interesting read, without the need of any stimulants to keep one awake :)

So.. what are those tournaments all about, and why do I keep organizing them? I also enjoy very much other type of tournaments and problems of course, my Well.. as for the second part, it's a lot about fun, and the perspective of a most interesting and creative (peaceful) battle of imagination, creativity, and stamina among the participants.

amazing adventure filled with stubborn determination and frustrations, with light clearing out in the horizon when one catches a glimpse of a promising matrix, bringing exhilaration and excitement.

Although it may seem at first glance to be "just" a record hunt, it is much more than that. The problems of those tournaments often have great beauty and originality in them. There are hidden ideas and mechanism that are far from trivial to find and achieve.

With all that said, I have not even really vet explained what they are about. The basic idea is that they are based on some property, or properties of either the position or solution that one needs to either maximize or minimize.

If I remember well, the very first time I came across such type of tournament was the excellent ProblemOnline Proof Games TT in 2005, organized by Ivan Bender and Nikola Predrag, where one had to find proof games that maximize areas delimited by closed knights circuits. I was hooked to say the least, spending quite a few nights on it if I remember well, my mind completely spellbound by this for some reason.

Then there were a few series tournaments, organized by Jean-Marie Choreïn (with the assistance of Michel Caillaud for the first one), of a type that was completely new to me. One had to maximize the length of series problems, where the final position was fixed, and the stipulation was series help-stalemate.

Well. all I can say is that I loved it. I do not really remember the rest, there were a few more such tournaments, then I started organizing some myself (the first one in 2006), Nicolas Dupont too, and Cornel followed.

Unfortunately they are very rare. As far as I know there weren't any in the last couple of years (I was away from chess composition for about 4-5 years, so not sure..), until my TT8 recently.

"specialty" if one could say that (I'm a beginner still), being proof games. I do not usually try to go for records (maybe because my knowledge about them is limited), but rather for interesting and original ideas. I consider that problems should not only show themes but also if possible be tricky to solve and have Whoever participated in any of those can - I hope - testify for this. It's an some form of beauty and surprise in them, be it in the aesthetics of the positions themselves or the solutions. Although that is quite subjective evidently. Tibor Orbán's famous proof game in 4.0 is a perfect example of those I enjoy.

> These series tournaments, though, have a special appeal, to me at least, in that they are at the same time very competitive, challenging, one could say sportive, but also requiring a good dose of creative imagination. They are objective, in the sense that the results are determined mathematically. One always knows exactly where one is in the race.

> Series stipulations seem ideal for this kind of tournaments. There has been some evolution over the years. In the beginning for example, the final positions were determined, and one could only use series-help stalemate. It is remarkable that even with such conditions, it was still interesting and composers did not necessarily come up with what all the others did. Although certain standard pieces configurations did come forth.

> Then other ideas where tried, like Nicolas Dupont's series- $a \rightarrow b$, where the requirement was to get to a position with only the kings left on the board. In time, the stipulations and requirements became more and more relaxed.

One could use many more than before. Also the conditions slowly migrated to TT1 different Circe types. Maybe because they add some interesting complexity to the positions, permitting new ideas that are normally not possible.

There were also some original ideas for the categories themselves, like to have positions that have exactly one solution in n moves, and one solution in n-1 moves. This brought about some beautiful problems.

Another important aspect of this type of tournament is the real-time factor. As far as I remember, all the organizers have updated their sites relatively fast as soon as new entries were sent. This may be the fun aspect of it. No need to wait for results, all is direct and immediate, and brings the notion of a race to all the participants.

Also, for a few TTs, I explored their possibilities quite extensively before the tournament even started. Then I presented those as challenges, and the first who succeeded to surpass them would win. This was quite fun, for me too. Alas.. my level being what it is, those challenges were often.. short-lived :)

I would add that there were many true masterpieces and original problems composed during these tournaments. I sincerely thank all the participants from the very beginning, several having taken part in most if not all of them.

Let's move on to some more tangible stuff, the problems themselves :)

I'll present just a few interesting problems from each of my TTs as a retrospective, and end with all the problems from the latest TT8 with comments. Many more beautiful problems could be shown, but it is not the goal here. For those who might be interested, one can always visit the sites where all the themes details and results of the TTs are given (http://ifaybish.com/blog/chess-composition/).

Ż

Å

Ŷ

ser-h = n

Let's start with my very first TT. One had to find series help-stalemates that end with a very simple configuration:

> Ivan Skoba Vladimír Janál **Guy Sobrecases** ifaybish.com TT1 2006 Category B(post-tournament)

IF-TT1-1



This one is based on Guy's 1st place in Category B. This category, and in following TTs too, was - usually - for problems without visible promoted-pieces in the initial position. Whereas Category A was for positions with visible promoted-pieces.

(2+1)

It is a very nice problem, the goal being to free the black pawn on g7 in order to achieve the required final position. Many problems from this TT used a similar idea of freeing a pawn which promotes. It works quite well in these settings.

One may notice the somewhat perfect configuration of the pieces that requires several tours by the king until the f5 square is finally freed. This pieces configuration is well-known, and was already used by composers in Jean-Marie's tournaments, and probably before as well. Its efficient use is not always trivial Solution: though. It may work best when there are no conditions added.

This is another aspect of those tournaments, that there are certain piece configurations that are known to work well in several situations and are used in records. I tried as much as possible to find conditions that would somewhat avoid those in future TT, in order to attract ideas and configurations that were novel.

Solution:

1.Kd2-c1 $7.Ka5 \times a6$ $21.Kg2 \times f1$ $29.Kd2 \times d1$ $31.Kd2 \times c3$ $38.Kg2 \times f2$ $40.Ke3 \times d4$ $43.Kf5 \times g6 \ 44.Kg6 \times h7 \ 47.Kh5 \times h4 \ 48.Kh4 \times g5 \ 50.Kg6 \ f7 \ 55.g2 \ g1 = S \ 57.Sf3 \times e5$ $58.\text{Se5} \times \text{d7} \ 60.\text{Ke8-d8} \ \text{c6} \times \text{d7} =$

IF-TT1-2

Jean-Marie Choreïn

Jean-Christian Galli ifaybish.com TT1 2006 (post-tournament)



This problem presents a very neat idea found after the tournament, based on an entry by Jean-Christian Galli in category A, to liberate the bishop on a1 (notice that the position is legal, the bishop just has to be a promoted one), which would have set a new record with the tournament's conditions, were it not for the unfortunate d5 pawn present in the final position.

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

1.Kf8-g7 $4.Kh5 \times h4$ $14.Ka7 \times a6$ $26.Kg3 \times f3$ $28.Ke2 \times d3$ $31.Kf3 \times g4$ $45.Kb3 \times a2$ 61.Kf3×e3 63.Kd2×c1 64.Kc1×b2 65.Kb2-c3 66.Ba1-b2 67.Bb2×a3 68.Kc3-b4 $69.Kb4 \times c5$ 72.Kc7-d8 73.Ba3×d6+ Ke5×d6 =

TT2

Let's move on to the second TT. One had to find series $a \rightarrow b$ problems, that would end with only a white king and bishop and a black king. This is similar to Nicolas's TT, except there it had to end with only the kings. Bringing the bishop in the picture changes the possibilities completely.

Another important requirement was that the white bishop had to be a "free" bishop. That is, it couldn't be blocked for the entire solution, and only freed at the end. It could only be blocked for the very few first moves. It's not the most clear of definitions, since it's not that objective. It's hard to define what a free bishop really means. Luckily there were very few issues with it as far as I remember.

This was in my opinion more interesting than TT1. There were a lot more composition freedom and possibilities. There were also a fair number of composers who participated, which was great, I even made a table with country flags and composer names!

There were several brilliant problems, like the following one:
IF-TT2-1

Ivan Skoba

ifaybish.com TT2 2008 Category A1st Place Dedicated to Libuše





Now I'm going to use, inside quotes, comments from back then, along with the commentator's name when it wasn't me. "Absolutely splendid matrix! What can I say... look closely at all the ideas, for example the king cannot take the knight at b5 after taking the bishop at a4 because then he would be trapped!

Thus he must first take the rook at d5. Also look closely how the white bishop helps the king to pass all the black pieces, like an umbrella. 25 bishop moves! And the great idea of putting the queen at d8, thus only when the king is at g7, it should be taken! It is the only problem to have passed the 100 mark..."

Solution:

1.Ke3-d2 9.Kh6-g7 10.Bf6×d8 11.Bd8-e7 12.Kg7-f8 14.Ke8-d8 15.Be7-d6 16.Bd6c7 17.Kd8-c8 18.Kc8-b7 19.Bc7-b6 20.Kb7-a6 22.Ka5×a4 23.Ka4-a5 25.Ka6b7 26.Bb6-c7 27.Kb7-c8 28.Kc8-d8 29.Bc7-d6 30.Bd6-e7 31.Kd8-e8 33.Kf8-g7 34.Be7-f6 35.Kg7-h6 42.Ke1×d1 43.Kd1-d2 46.Ke4×d5 47.Kd5-e4 57.Kh6-g7 58.Bf6-e7 59.Kg7-f8 61.Ke8-d8 62.Be7-d6 63.Bd6-c7 64.Kd8-c8 65.Kc8-b7 66.Bc7b6 67.Kb7-a6 68.Ka6×b5 69.Kb5-a6 70.Ka6-b7 71.Bb6-c7 72.Kb7-c8 73.Kc8 $d8 \ 74.Bc7-d6 \ 75.Bd6-e7 \ 76.Kd8-e8 \ 78.Kf8-g7 \ 79.Be7-f6 \ 80.Kg7-h6 \ 89.Ke3-d4 \ 85.Bf2 \times e3 \ 86.Be3 \times b6 \ 87.Bb6 \times a7 \ 88.Ba7-d4 \ 85.Bf2 \times e3 \ 86.Be3 \times b6 \ 87.Bb6 \times a7 \ 88.Ba7-d4 \ 87.Bb6 \times a7 \ 8$ 90.Bf6×e5 91.Be5-d6 92.Bd6-c5 93.Kd4×c4 94.Kc4×d3 95.Kd3-c4 96.Kc4-b5

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

97.Bc5-b6 98.Kb5-a6 99.Ka6-b7 100.Bb6-c7 101.Kb7-c8 102.Kc8×d7 103.Bc7d6 104.Kd7×c6 105.Kc6-d7 106.Bd6-e7 107.Kd7-e8 108.Ke8×f7 109.Kf7×e6 110.Ke6-f5 111.Kf5×g4 112.Kg4×h3 113.Kh3-g4 117.Kd7-c8 118.Be7×a3

IF-TT2-2

Alexandre Leroux ifaybish.com TT2 2008 Category B







"Stunning matrix! Everything works in perfect synergy. Four long king tours, and a beautiful and original finish with the king taking g5, going to h6, leaving the bishop at e7 to take directly the pawn at h4, and so on until a7... very nice..."

Solution:

1.Bh2×g1 2.Bg1-h2 3.Bh2-d6 4.Bd6-e7 5.Kf8-e8 6.Ke8-d7 7.Be7-d6 8.Kd7-c6 21.Kg4×h5 35.Kc6-d7 36.Bd6-e7 37.Kd7-e8 40.Kg7×h7 41.Kh7×g8 44.Ke8d7 45.Be7-d6 46.Kd7-c6 59.Kg4×f5 73.Kc6-d7 74.Bd6-e7 75.Kd7-e8 76.Ke8×f7 $77.Kf7 \times e6$ 78.Ke6×d5 79.Kd5×e4 81.Kf5×g5 82.Kg5-h6 83.Be7×h4 84.Bh4×f2

IF-TT2-3

Arno Tüngler ifaybish.com TT2 2008 Category E1st Place



Å Ê Ż

(2+1)

ser-a \rightarrow b 47 2 solutions: one in 46 moves and one in 47 moves

C+(2+15)Position B

This one is in the category where one needed two unique solutions, one in n, and one in n-1 moves. It is quite unique and special since often in this kind of categories, the king travels on the edges almost exclusively, while here it is not.

My comments then: "Beautiful one, and very original! It is the king which is trapped, and the white bishop must come to its rescue. But he can only do one step at a time, thus not being able to lose a tempo! Everything works perfectly here..."

Solutions:

1.Ba6-b7 $2.Bb7 \times a8$ $9.Ba2 \times b1$ 15.Ba6-b7 $19.Kb3 \times c2$ $20.Kc2 \times d1$ $24.Kg2 \times h3$ 26.Kg2×f3 29.Kd3×c4 32.Ka6×a7 33.Ka7×b8 35.Kc8×d8 37.Ke8×f8 39.Kg8×h7 $42.Kh5 \times h4$ a) $46.\text{Kh}7 \times \text{h}8$ b) 46.Kh7-g8 47.Kg8×h8

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

IF-TT2-4 Nicolas Dupont ifaybish.com TT2 2008 Category B

8th Place





"This is a very special one. It was found late in the competition, and is the best found so far with just 7 black pieces! Quite elegant..."

Solution:

 $1.Bf8-d6 \quad 2.Bd6-f4 \quad 3.Kg4-f3 \quad 16.Kf8\times g7 \quad 17.Kg7\times h7 \quad 33.Kg4\times f5 \quad 47.Ke7\times f7$ $49.\text{Ke6} \times \text{d5}$ 50.Kd5×e4 54.Kb7-a8 55.Bf4-d2 a→b

TT3

The third TT introduced for the first time a fairy condition – Lortap (a piece can capture only if it is not observed by a unit of its side). This proved quite interesting.

IF-TT3-1

Arno Tüngler *ifaybish.com TT3 2008 Category D1* 1st Place



ser-h= 30 C+ (12+3) 2 solutions: one in 29 moves and one in 30 moves Lortap

"Based on the fabulous idea seen previously, a masterpiece...", and I still agree.. A brilliant dance of the knights, which unbelievably works. The timing and reasoning behind the knights' moves are impeccable.

Solutions:

1.Ka6-a5 4.Ka $3 \times a2$ 10.Ka $7 \times b8$

a) 11.Kb8-c8 12.Kc8×d8 15.Kf8×g8 16.Sf7-d6 17.Sd6×f5 18.Sf5×h6 19.Sh6×g4 20.Sh8-f7 21.Sg4×e5 22.Se5-f3 23.Sf3×g1 24.Sg1-e2 25.Se2-g3 26.Sg3×h5 27.Sh5-f6 28.Sf6-h7 29.Kg8-h8 Kg6×f7 =

b) 11.Kb8-b7 13.Kc8×d8 16.Kf8×g8 17.Sf7-d6 18.Sd6×f5 19.Sf5×h6 20.Sh6×g4 21.Sh8-f7 22.Sg4×e5 23.Se5-f3 24.Sf3×g1 25.Sg1-e2 26.Se2-g3 27.Sg3×h5 28.Sh5-f6 29.Sf6-h7 30.Kg8-h8 Kg6×f7 =

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

IF-TT3-2

Ivan Skoba ifaybish.com TT3 2008 Category A1 2nd Place





"This is pure Lortap madness... splendid! How do the competitors come up with such ideas, it is beyond my wildest dreams to even imagine this :) I cannot even start to explain, one must go through the entire solution and admire the precision with which each move is executed, and why one move is possible while another is not...".

It pretty much sums it up :) Very precise solution with Lortap at every step.

Solution:

April 2017

IF-TT3-3

Arno Tüngler ifaybish.com TT3 2008 Category B1 1st Place



Lortap

"Again a fabulous matrix, using the non-promoted pieces very well! Brilliant start also, with the knight at a6 which cannot be captured before the king captures at least one of the blocking pawns!"

Solution:

 $1.Ka8-a7 6.Ka3 \times a2 8.Kb3 \times c4 10.Kb5 \times a6 12.Kb5 \times b4 14.Kc5 \times d6 16.Kd7 \times e8$ 22.Kd3×d2 24.Ke3×f4 28.Kg1×h2 32.Kh5×h6 37.Ke3×d4 44.Kg8×h8 56.Kh5h6 Bg2-f3 =

TT4

The fourth TT was quite special. It had no director, and I was actually a participant! How could that be?! Well, I received only the numerical results from the participants, without the actual diagrams. Thus I could update the site without knowing any more than the others.

featuring a fairy piece, a Hamster (a piece which jumps to squares right before as expected. The hamster being free plays his role perfectly, blocking the b5-e8 other pieces, it cannot capture anything). I remember even working on it in the diagonal, then finding a safe haven next to the king where it has no possible move. hotel when I was on assignment in Norway for my work.

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11







From Arno's comment at the time for another version with promoted pieces (but similar): "Never I would have believed that it is possible to control the hamster when it is out of its cage, at least not in high numbers. And here the hamster plays already in the 6th move, with 54 moves still ahead!! This is far over my intelligence level, and so I can only marvel...

Interesting that again two composers with a similar attitude found each other, and when you look at the 8th place you can already imagine how Ivan loved to work with that great idea. In my opinion, the best in this category!", and for this one in particular: "Probably the best achievement in the whole tournament (as it is without promoted pieces), that could easily win a prize at any fairy competition in an informal tournament.."

It was a lot of fun, I worked mostly in duo with Cornel. This is also the first TT This is indeed a splendid problem, and it seems incredible that it all works

Solution:

IF-TT4-2

Itamar Faybish Cornel Pacurar *ifaybish.com TT4 2009*

Category B1 4^{th} Place



From Arno's comment at the time: "Interesting cage – for the white rook! Interesting that the hamster that is so free, and could theoretically jump as early as in the 8th move, does it only once."

Solution:

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

IF-TT4-3

Ján Golha Ivan Skoba ifaybish.com TT4 2009 Special Prize



A splendid concept. Again a completely free hamster, but one which works so much! If the tournament was based on the number of hamster moves, this might have won it..

Solution:

IF-TT4-4

Ivan Skoba ifaybish.com TT4 2009 Category A2 - 7 pieces



🏽 🎼 = Hamster

IF-TT4-5 Arno Tüngler Cornel Pacurar Itamar Faybish Ralf Krätschmer Ivan Skoba Ján Golha Phénix 183 April 2009 2nd Prize



From Arno's comment: "Absolutely marvellous! A great miniature that deserves wide publication.". Well, it got it now :) It is indeed very elegant, and with just 7 pieces..

Solution:

This is a brilliant miniature problem of just 5 pieces that we all found independently! It was decided not to publish it on the tournament's site, but in the magazine *Phénix* instead.

Solution:

1. Ka
5-a6 12. Kb2×a3 16. Ka6-a7 17. HAa2-a6 18. Ka7-b8 27. Kb4-a5 Kc6-c5 =

TT5

Let's move on to the fifth TT. This one used the Strict Circe condition. It is the same as Circe, except that the rebirth square of a piece must be vacant in order for it to be captured. There were categories where the kings were not included in this definition, and others where they were. It has many gems, not sure which ones to illustrate..

IF-TT5-1

Arno Tüngler

ifaybish.com TT5 2009 Category A1 Improvement over the 1st

Place



ser-h= 141 C+ (16+3) StrictCirce

IF-TT5-2 Arno Tüngler MatPlus Review 2009



 $ser-h=206 \qquad C+ (15+8)$ StrictCirce

Comments for the tournament's entry, which was similar to this version: "This is quite an incredible achievement. Fully using all the pieces available. The bishop shields the king during two very long tours, but then the intended final position is also quite something, with the two black pieces pinned!".

Solution:

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} 1.\mathrm{Kf2}\mbox{-f1}\ 13.\mathrm{Bd1-e2}\ 16.\mathrm{Kd1-c1}\ 18.\mathrm{Bd1-c2}\ 20.\mathrm{Kd2-c3}\ 21.\mathrm{Bc2-b3}\ 23.\mathrm{Kb4-a4}\ 34.\mathrm{Ba6-b5}\ 36.\mathrm{Ka5-a6}\ 47.\mathrm{Bc8-b7}\ 51.\mathrm{Kc8-d8}\ 55.\mathrm{Be8-f7}\ 57.\mathrm{Ke7-f6}\ 58.\mathrm{Bf7-g6}\ 60.\mathrm{Kg5-h5}\ 71.\mathrm{Bh3-g4}\ 72.\mathrm{Kh5}\times\mathrm{h4}\ [\mathrm{Sg1}]\ 73.\mathrm{Kh4-h5}\ 84.\mathrm{Be8-g6}\ 86.\mathrm{Kg5-f6}\ 87.\mathrm{Bg6-f7}\ 89.\mathrm{Ke7-d8}\ 93.\mathrm{Bc8-b7}\ 97.\mathrm{Ka7-a6}\ 108.\mathrm{Ba4-b5}\ 110.\mathrm{Ka5-a4}\ 121.\mathrm{Bd1-b3}\ 123.\mathrm{Kb4-c3}\ 124.\mathrm{Bb3-c2}\ 126.\mathrm{Kd2-d1}\ 127.\mathrm{Bc2}\times\mathrm{d3}\ [\mathrm{Pd2}]\ 128.\mathrm{Bd3-e2}\ 130.\mathrm{Ke1-f2}\ 133.\mathrm{Bh3-g4}\ 135.\mathrm{Kg2}\times\mathrm{h2}\ [\mathrm{Bc1}]\ 137.\mathrm{Kg3-h4}\ 138.\mathrm{Sg8}\times\mathrm{h6}\ [\mathrm{Ph2}]\ 139.\mathrm{Sh6}\times\mathrm{f5}\ [\mathrm{Bf1}]\ 141.\mathrm{Sg3-h5}\ \mathrm{Qe4-h7}= \end{array}$

Solution (with Arno's comments):

1.K×a2(Rh1)? and the bK cannot reach a4. Therefore, first the bPh7 must be moved to h2: 1.Bb2! 2.Kc1 13.Bd2 15.Ke1 26.Bf2 28.Kg2 29.Bg3 31.Kh4 42.Bg5 45.K×h7 [+wPh2] and then the wRa2 can be captured: 48.Kh4 59.Bg3 61.Kg2 62.Bf2 64.Ke1 75.Bd2 77.Kc1 88.Bb2 90.K×a2 [+wRh1] and thereafter the wPa4, so that the square a2 is blocked: 92.Kc1 103.Bd2 105.Ke1 116.Bf2 118.Kg2 119.Bg3 121.Kh4 132.Bg5 144.K×a4 [+wPa2] then the bK is moved back to a1: 156.Kh4 167.Bg3 169.Kg2 170.Bf2 172.Ke1 183.Bd2 185.Kc1 196.Bb2 198.Ka1 and closing with the lock-in of the bB: 203.B×f4 [+wBc1] 205.Bd4 206.e5 Tf5=

Logical series-mover with structured plans.

The tournament also led to other ideas and matrices, as the following amazing problem. Note that in this TT, one could use maximum 3 black pieces.

IF-TT5-3

Ralf Krätschmer ifaybish.com TT5 2009 Category B1 1st Place



ser-h= 89 C+ (13+3) StrictCirce IF-TT5-4 Ralf Krätschmer *ifaybish.com* TT5 2009

Category D1



ser-h= 67 C+ (15+3) 2 solutions: one in 66 moves and one in 67 moves StrictCirce

"Brilliant construction and a gem of a matrix. Five King tours with some very nice justifications."

Solution:

1.Kd4-c5 16.Kf1×e2 [+wSb1] 32.Kc5-d4 33.Bb4×c3 [+wRa1] 35.Bb2-c1 36.Kd4-c5 54.Kc2×b3 [+wPb2] 72.Ka6×a5 [+wPa2] 89.Kd1-c2 Sb1-c3 =

"Another gem from Ralf, and quite complex. The idea being that in the final position, in order for it to be a stalemate, the knight at b4 must be neutralized, and thus the knight on c1 must first be moved to g1.". Incredibly precise work is required for this to succeed. The king must follow a very thin line without allowing any possible sidestep given the 2 solutions requirement.

Solutions:

a) 1.Kh8-h7 6.Kg3×f2 [+wRa1] 21.Kc5-c4 22.Ba4-b3 23.Bb3-a2 24.Ba2-b1 25.Kc4-c5 42.Kd1×c1 [+wSg1] 60.Kc5-c4 61.Bb1-a2 62.Ba2-b3 63.Bb3-a4 64.Kc4-c5 66.Kb6-a5 Bc3-d4 =

b) 1.Kh8-g8 7.Kg3×f2 [+wRa1] 8.Kf2-g3 22.Kc5-c4 23.Ba4-b3 24.Bb3-a2 25.Ba2-b1 26.Kc4-c5 43.Kd1×c1 [+wSg1] 44.Kc1-d1 61.Kc5-c4 62.Bb1-a2 63.Ba2-b3 64.Bb3-a4 65.Kc4-c5 67.Kb6-a5 Bc3-d4 =

IF-TT5-5 Ralf Krätschmer

ifaybish.com TT5 2009 Category E1 1st Place



ser-h= 87 C+ (14+3)StrictCirce RexInclusiv

IF-TT5-6 Cornel Pacurar *ifaybish.com TT5 2009* Category E1 2nd Place



ser-h= 66 C+ (15+3) StrictCirce RexInclusiv

"A masterpiece. A really incredible feast, pawn d6's threat to capture the bishop on e5 being the key. Notice the final position, where the king cannot capture the bishop, not because there is a piece on f1, but because of auto-check.".

Solution:

1.Kh4-h5 17.Kc1×d2 [+wRa1] 32.Kh4×h3 [+wSb1] 48.Ke2-e1 49.Bg1-h2 58.Bb6-d4 59.Bd4×e5 [+wBc1] 60.Be5-d4 70.Bh2-g1 71.Ke1-e2 87.Kh3-h2 Bh1-g2 =

"Another brilliant matrix. Again the final position is not at all clear from the diagram, one really has to analyze it carefully.". The bishop tour is brilliant, and so is the fact that the knight on a8 needs to be captured first in order to allow the bishop to capture the rook on b4 once the king is at e1.

Solution:

IF-TT5-7

Arno Tüngler ifaybish.com TT5 2009 Category A2 - 6 pieces



ser-h= 30 C+ (4+2) StrictCirce

A very original and beautiful idea with just 6 pieces.

Solution:

1.Ka1-b1 2.Bc2-d1 3.Kb1-c2 11.Kc8×b8 [+wBc1] 17.Kf5-e4 18.Bd1-f3 19.Bf3-h1 20.Ke4-f3 21.Kf3×g3 [+wPg2] 30.Ka7-a6 Bc1-e3 =

IF-TT5-8 Cornel Pacurar *ifaybish.com* TT5 2009 Category B2 - 6 pieces (post-tournament)



ser-h=34 C+ (4+2) StrictCirce

An elegant and beautiful matrix. The switchback of the bishop is very nice.

Solution:

1.Kh3-h2 11.Kg7×h6 [+wRa1] 23.Kh3×h4 [+wPh2] 32.Kf1-g1 33.Bb1×g6 [+wBf1] 34.Bg6-b1 Bf1-g2 =

TT6

TT6 started the important trend of allowing stipulations other than ser-h=, which until then had been used exclusively (except TT2). One could thus use any of the three: ser-h=, ser-h#, and ser-h!=.

In addition, this TT used several fairy pieces, 3 marines ones to be precise: Sirens, Tritons and Nereïdes. Their movements are similar to queens, rooks and bishops, except that a capture consists of jumping over the enemy piece and landing on the square just beyond (which must be vacant).

Hoping to stir up some new interesting and creative ideas. I find it once again very difficult to select just a few illustrative examples..

IF-TT6-1

Ralf Krätschmer

ifaybish.com TT6 2010 Category A1 1st Place



u≋ = Sirene □ = Triton ₩⇒₩ = Nereïde Therefore one of the units on g3 or h4 needs to be captured. However, to achieve that the bND needs to help the bK by moving to g5. The bK can make this possible from e5 but when opening with f5 and NDg7-f6-g5 the white NDh8 pins the bND! Therefore, white needs first to capture it by $22.K \times h8$ [Fore-plan No. 1].

Now the bND can be helped to g5 by 42.Ke5 43.f5 and 45.NEg5 [Fore-plan No. 2].

Next step seems to be $49.K \times h4$ (SIh8)?! but it turns out that then the bND can never return to g7 and f8 as the bK is hindered to enter e5! Thus, the bK must first care that h8 is again occupied by a wND by playing $65.K \times h7$ (NDh8)! [Fore-plan No. 3] and then capturing the wSI $85.K \times h4$ without rebirth! [Fore-plan No. 4]

Now the wNDh8 needs be captured with $105.K \times h8$ [Fore-plan No. 5] so that after wTRg3's capture by $123.K \times g3$ (TRg8) [Fore-plan No. 6] the way to e5 is free and the bND can be led back to g7 and f8 by 126.Ke5 and 129.NDf8 [Fore-plan No. 7].

And finally the main plan... The absolute best achievement in this tournament and a clear winner not only in quantity (number of moves) but also in quality!"

And Ivan's comments: "I must say that this is in my opinion the best among all the entries. We see a splendid matrix with great synergy of black king and bishop."

Needless to say, it is a masterpiece.

Arno's extensive comments at the time: "A splendid logical series-mover with a clear main plan that needs to be carefully prepared by multiple fore-plans. With a white TR or SI on g8 or h8 Black could play his ND to f8, the king to e8 and c6-c7 stalemates.

Solution:

1.Kc5-d6 22.Kg8×h8 42.Ke4-e5 43.f6-f5 44.NDg7-f6 45.NDf6-g5 46.Ke5-e4 65.Kg8×h7[+wNDh8] 85.Kg4×h4 105.Kg8×h8 123.Kf2×g3[+wTRg8] 126.Ke4-e5 127.NDg5-f6 128.NDf6-g7 129.NDg7-f8 130.Ke5-e4 146.Kd8-e8 c6-c7 =

IF-TT6-2 Miodrag Mladenović Arno Tüngler ChessProblems.ca 2010 2nd Honorable Mention



 $\mathbb{D} = \mathrm{Nereide}$

IF-TT6-3 Ralf Krätschmer ifaybish.com TT6 2010 Category A2 - 5 pieces



A new record found after the tournament! Beautiful problem, a significant Hard to find and very elegant, a miniature of just 5 pieces! extension of Miodrag's 4th place, it won a 2nd HM in the chessproblems.ca informal tourney as a collaboration with Arno. It's a perfect combination of Arno's neat idea of a trapped king between two marines pieces plus the ser-h!= stipulation, and Miodrag's matrix.

Solution:

 $1.g7-g6 \ 18.Kb1 \times c1 \ [NDc8] \ 27.Kb8 \times c8 \ 36.Kf4 \times e3 \ 58.Kf1 \times g1 \ [NDg8] \ 75.Kf8 \times g8$ 81.Ke3×d4 [Sg1] 104.Kf1×g1 126.Kf4×f3 [Pf2] 127.Kf3-e2 128.NDh1×g2-f3 [TRg8] 129.Ke2×f2 135.Kh7×g8 140.Kc8-b8 141.NDf3×b7-a8 142.NDa8-c6 143.Kb8×c7 [SIc8] 144.Kc7×c8 146.NDd5×e6-f7 [Pe2] 148.Kd7-e6 e2-e4 !=

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

Solution:

 $\mathbf{P} = \text{Nereïde}$

1.Ka4-a3 15.Ke6-d5 16.NDa8×b7-c6[+wSb1] 17.NDc6-b5 18.Kd5-e6 26.Kf1-e1 27.NDb5-f1 SIb2-c1 =

IF-TT6-4

Ján Golha Ivan Skoba *ifaybish.com TT6 2010*

Category A1 3rd Place



ser-h= 129 C+ (15+2)Circe $\mathbf{V} =$ Sirene $\mathbf{V} =$ Triton $\mathbf{V} =$ Nereïde

Arno: "Also a wonderful "logical" series-mover. The hidden main plan is to play the wNDc1 to c8, and for that the bK needs to be very careful when choosing the next capture... This is very hard to solve, as premature captures are only revealed much later. It is a miracle that all this works without any dual!"

Solution:

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

$\mathbf{TT7}$

If I remember right, I thought this would be the last TT I would organize. Well.. it was not far from the truth, as the next one came 5 years later!

It brought many new innovations that were quite interesting. For one, it was now possible to use five usual stipulations instead of the previous TT's three (and one before that). The two additional stipulations being: ser-hs= and ser-hs#.

Also it was not the number of moves that one had to maximize, but the number of "points" calculated in a specific manner that forces one to use as many pieces as possible in the solution. One could say it was a way to direct the flow of the river to certain places where it was seldom seen.

And finally parry-series categories were added, also with the possibility of using five stipulations (similar to the non-parry ones). It required the Vertical Mirror Circe condition (similar to Circe except that the rebirth is on the symmetrical equivalent square), which turned out to be a great choice.

There were many beautiful creations. One most amazing problem was a completely new idea by Arno that was just unbelievable. It's like a sliding-block puzzle adapted to chess.

IF-TT7-1

Arno Tüngler ifaybish.com TT7 2011 Version of the 1st Place in category A1





Author: "Without wQc8 this could be solved in two moves: 1.a4-a3! 2.g7-g6 + Kf5-f4 =

Therefore black must promote a black knight on b1 that can capture the wQ coming from a7. However, after 10.b2-b1S? black has not enough maneuvering force to achieve that goal. Therefore, first a black queen must be promoted and only the bPb5 can become a knight!"

Astonishing..

Solution:

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

IF-TT7-2 Miodrag Mladenović *ifaybish.com TT7 2011 Category B1*

2nd Place



ser-hs# 26 C+ (8+4) Vertical Mirror Circe

Beautiful problem with a brilliant final position.

Solution:

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.\text{Kc6-d6}\ 2.\text{a5-a4}\ 5.\text{a2-a1}{=}\text{S}\ 6.\text{Sa1-b3}\ 7.\text{Sb3-d4}\ 8.\text{Sd4}{\times}\text{b5}\ 9.\text{Sb5-d4}\ 10.\text{b6-b5}\ 14.\text{b2-b1}{=}\text{S}\ 15.\text{Sb1-c3}\ 16.\text{Sc3-d5}\ 17.\text{Sd5}{\times}\text{e7}\ [+\text{wRh1}]\ 18.\text{Se7}{\times}\text{g6}\ [+\text{wSg1}]\ 19.\text{Kd6}{\times}\text{e5}\ 20.\text{Ke5-f4}\ 21.\text{e6-e5}\ 24.\text{e3-e2}\ 25.\text{Kf4-e3}\ 26.\text{Sg6-f4}\ \&\ 1.\text{Sh4-g2}{+}\ \text{Sf4}{\times}\text{g2}\ \# \end{array}$

IF-TT7-3

Arno Tüngler ifaybish.com TT7 2011 Category C2 - 4 pieces



C+(2+2)pser-h# 19 Vertical Mirror Circe

IF-TT7-4 **Cornel Pacurar** Miodrag Mladenović ifaybish.com TT7 2011 Category A1 ∠th Place



ser-h# 29 C+(2+6)Vertical Mirror Circe

Amazing problem with just 4 pieces!! Quite complex and shows the potential of parry-series. Even the final mate is not trivial, with the rook protected by the Amusing quadruple rook promotions. condition.

Solution:

 $1.Kh8-g7 5.Kf4\times g4 [+wPb2] 6.Kg4-h5 7.g5-g4 9.g3-g2 + Kf1-f2 10.g2-g1=Q + 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.Rf1xf4 [+wPc2] 9.Rf4-e4 10.f5-f4 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-d5 5.Bf1-d4 6.f3-f2 7.f2-f1=R 8.f4-f2 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-f2 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-f2 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 4.Kd4-f2 (-1.1) - 1.Ka1-b2 (-1.1) - 1.Ka$ $b4 \times c5$ [+bQe8] 15.Qe8-d7 + Kf5-f6 16.Qd7-d6 + $c5 \times d6$ [+bQe8] 17.Qe8-e7 + 27.f2-f1=R 28.Rf1-f6 29.Rf6-e6 c2-c4 # $d6 \times e7$ [+bQe8] 18.Qe8-f8 + $e7 \times f8 = R$ [+bQe8] 19.Kh5-h6 Rf8-h8 #

Solution:

 $Kf2-f3\ 11.Qg1-d1 + Kf3-e4\ 12.Qd1-a4 + b2-b4\ 13.Qa4-c6 + Ke4-f5\ 14.Qc6-c5 + 13.f2-f1=R\ 14.Rf1-f5\ 15.Rf5-e5\ 16.f6-f5\ 20.f2-f1=R\ 21.Rf1-f6\ 22.Rf6-d6\ 23.f7-f5$

IF-TT7-5 Ralf Krätschmer

ifaybish.com TT7 2011 Category A1 5th Place



ser-h# 25 C+ (4+3)Vertical Mirror Circe

IF-TT7-6 Arno Tüngler *ifaybish.com TT7 2011* Category A2 - 5 pieces



ser-h# 22 C+ (2+3)Vertical Mirror Circe

Amazing and aesthetically pleasing problem with just 7 pieces. It could serve as Another brilliant problem, with two bishops promotions, and well orchestrated. a good puzzle to give in clubs!

Solution:				Solution:
1.Kg7-f8 7.Ka7-b6	$8.Kb6 \times b5[+wPg2]$	9.Kb5-a4 10.b7-b5	14.b2-b1=Q	$1.h6-h5 5.h2-h1=B 6.Bh1-e4 7.Be4 \times g6 [+wBc1] 8.Kd5-e4 12.Kd1 \times c1 [+wBf1]$
$15.Qb1 \times f5[+wPc2]$	16.Qf5-a5 17.f7-f5	$20.f3 \times g2[+wPb2]$	21.g2-g1=R	14.Kb1-a1 15.Bg6-b1 16.g7-g5 20.g2×f1=B [+wBc1] 21.Bf1-c4 22.Bc4-a2 Bc1-
22.Rg1×g4[+wSg1] 23.Rg4×g1[+wSb1] 24.Rg1-g4 25.Rg4-b4 Sb1-c3 $\#$				b2#

IF-TT7-7

Arno Tüngler

ifaybish.com TT7 2011 Category C1 Alternative 1st Place



pser-h# 32 C+ (10+7)Vertical Mirror Circe

A very interesting parry-series problem, with a creative construction and ending.

Solution:

$\mathbf{TT8}$

Now we move to the last of the tournaments, from just a few months ago. It would never have seen the light were it not for the gentle persuasion by Arno (thank you!). As said previously. 5 years after TT7!

This TT brought about a few innovations, one could say experiments. Four themes were presented, each one very different from the other. It was basically a 4-in-1 tournament. It also provided a lot of freedom to composers, given that many different stipulations could be used, and there were few restrictions about

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

the positions apart from the themes themselves. This seems to be the general trend of the TTs.

For each theme 2 categories, one for as many pieces as one wishes, and another for a maximum of 12. All without visible promoted pieces on board. This time there were no categories for per-number-of-pieces problems. Although I enjoy these a lot, with 4 themes it would just have been too much.

The level of creativity was over the top, with many gems and novel ideas. The most peculiar theme was undoubtedly the second one, where one had to use a parry-series stipulation, combined with the volcanic circe condition (a captured piece whose rebirth square is occupied, goes into a "buffer", and reappears as soon as the piece on that square moves), and where the number of significant volcanic rebirths or effects was the main property to maximize. It was relatively slow to start, but once it got going, it was just amazing. The other themes were great as well, and I hope fun to explore for the composers.

TT8 - Theme 1 - A1

For this theme, one had to use the combination of Extinction (every piece that is the last one of its type can be checked/mated like regular kings, kings are not special and one can promote to them) and Circe conditions.

One important point is that Popeye and WinChloe have a slight difference here. For Popeye, Extinction and Circe include the kings as well by default, while not so with WinChloe, where one has to add an additional condition. Mind you, both were fine and accepted. IF-TT8-1 Branko Koludrović Arno Tüngler *ifaybish.com TT8 2016* Theme A1 1st Place



Solution:

1.Kh4-g5 11.Ka7×a6[+wPa2] 15.Ka3×a2 19.Kd1×e1[+wSg1] 35.Kh6×h5[+wSb1] 52.Ke1×f2[+wRa1] 70.Kg5×f5[+wPf2] 87.Ke1×f2 105.Kf5×e4[+wRh1] 123.Kf8-g8 Rh1-h8 #

This is a brilliant problems given the number of dependent captures that are necessary before other captures are possible, or the king would be stuck in each case. Ingenious to say the least..

To start with, notice the powerful idea of having a queen on e8. Since this is the rebirth square of the black king, any checks by other pieces are now real threats.

Then the knight on h5 cannot be captured before the pawn on a2 (a6) is captured, or the king would no longer be able to pass. Nor can the rook on f2 be captured before the knight on h5.

And after the capture of the rook on f2, the king returns to capture the pawn on f5, but still cannot capture the rook on d4 because the reborn pawn on f2

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

does not allow it to continue through g3, so two additional tours are required. A refined structure of dependencies.

IF-TT8-2

Ralf Krätschmer ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme A1 2nd Place



ser-hs# 90 C+ (16+4)Extinction Circe

An amazing problem by Ralf that ends with an elegant extinction-circe specific selfmate in 1 by white.

Black wants to get to a position where white can force him to mate white. It is not clear how this can be achieved by just looking at the position. In extinction problems, a mate can be achieved by checking two pieces simultaneously, which is exactly what happens here. Brilliant.

Solution:

1.Kb2×c1 18.Kg3×f2[+wRa1] 35.Kb2×a1 53.Kf2×e1[+wSg1] 71.Kb2×c2 72.Kc2×d3[+wRh1] 73.Kd3×c3 87.Kh4-g3 88.h5-h4 90.h7-h6 & 1.Bg2-f1 Kg3-f2 #

April 2017

IF-TT8-3

Cornel Pacurar

ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme A1 3rd Place



ser-h# 71 C+ (14+1)Extinction Circe

A nice problem with similar characteristics to the first.

Solution:

1.Ka2×a1 21.Kb5×a4[+wSb1] 40.Kc1×b1 60.Kb4×c3[+wRa1] 71.Kh7-h6 Ra1-h1 #

TT8 - Theme 1 - A2 (max 12 pieces)

IF-TT8-4

Branko Koludrović Arno Tüngler *ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme A2* 1st Place



ser-h# 84 C- (11+1)Extinction Circe

A great problem which is very optimized, all working with clockwork precision. Five big tours. Notice the important point that the knight on d4 is auto-protected since its rebirth would block the important h3 square, and so is the bishop e8, since its capture would free the rebirth square of the black king.

Solution:

1.Kd5-c4 11.Kh7×h7 15.Kh3×h2[+wBc1] 30.Kd5×e5[+wPe2] 49.Kd1×c1 70.Kf4×e3[+wRa1] 84.Kh6-h5 Ra1-h1 #

IF-TT8-5

Cornel Pacurar ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme A2 2nd Place



ser-h# 61 C+ (11+1)Extinction Circe

A nice problem similar to the one in A1.

Solution:

1.Ka2×a1 18.Kb5×a4[+wSb1] 34.Kc1×b1 51.Kd4×c3[+wRa1] 61.Kh6-h5 Ra1-h1 #

IF-TT8-6 Ralf Krätschmer *ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme A2 3rd Place*



Very elegant problem, with again a specific extinction-circe mate. The final mate is anything but trivial.

As before, the key is that two pieces which are checked simultaneously, could be a mate, as is the case here where both the king on g4 (because of the bishop on the rebirth square e8), and the pawn on f7 are checked simultaneously. A nice touch is that the black pawn gets to f7 through a rebirth!

Solution:

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.\mathrm{Kg1-h2}\; 11.\mathrm{Kc3} \times \mathrm{b2[+wRa1]}\; 21.\mathrm{Kh3} \times \mathrm{g2[+wSb1]}\; 30.\mathrm{Kd4} \times \mathrm{e3}\; 32.\mathrm{Kf3} \times \mathrm{g3[+wPg2]}\; \\ 33.\mathrm{Kg3} \times \mathrm{f4[+wPf2]}\; 34.\mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{\cdot g4}\; 35.\mathrm{f5} \mathrm{\cdot f4}\; 36.\mathrm{f4} \mathrm{\cdot f3}\; \mathrm{g2} \times \mathrm{f3[+bPf7]}\; \# \end{array}$

IF-TT8-7

Sébastien Luce

ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme A2 χ^{th} Place



ser-h!=31 C+ (9+1) Extinction Circe RexExclusiv

Another very nice problem. This uses the definition where the kings cannot be captured. It's a nice puzzle, well orchestrated.

The ending is again an extinction-circe specific stalemate, where white cannot promote his last pawn, since the pawn on b2 would then be the last one standing and be in check.

Solution:

TT8 - Theme 2 - B1

As mentioned before, this theme was a combination of parry-series stipulations, volcanic circe, and a particular way of counting points based on the number of significant volcanic rebirths or effects.

I thought that 2 or 3 such rebirths might be a challenge enough. Well, maybe it was in the beginning, but the participants showed amazing imagination to get to around 10!!

IF-TT8-8

Cornel Pacurar ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme B1 1st Place



pser-h!= 28 C- (13+10) Circe Volcanic

This is a masterpiece, very original, and aesthetically quite pleasing.

First one needs to bring the white queen back to d1, which results in an interesting round-trip of the bishop with many volcanic captures and rebirths. This must be done without allowing white to untangle itself. After that the white king needs to be escorted to c1, resulting in a great final stalemate position.

Solution:

 $1.Kf7-f8 \qquad 2.Bb1 \times c2[+wPc2 \rightarrow v] \qquad 3.Bc2-d1[+wPc2] \qquad 4.Bd1 \times e2[+wPe2 \rightarrow v]$

 $5.Be2 \times f1[+wBf1 \rightarrow v][+wPe2] = 6.Bf1 \times g2[+wPg2 \rightarrow v][+wBf1] = 7.Bg2-h3[+wPg2]$ 8.Bh3-g4 9.Bg4-h5 10.Bh5-g6 $11.Bg6 \times h7[+wQd1]$ 12.Bh7-g6 13.Bg6-h514.Bh5-g4 15.Bg4-h3 16.Bh3×g2[+wPg2 \rightarrow v] 17.Bg2×f1[+wBf1 \rightarrow v][+wPg2] $18.Bf1 \times e2[+wPe2 \rightarrow v][+wBf1]$ $19.Be2 \times d1[+wQd1 \rightarrow v][+wPe2]$ $20.Bd1 \times c2[+wPc2 \rightarrow v][+wQd1] 21.Bc2-a4[+wPc2] 22.Ba4-b5 + Ka6 \times b5[+bBc8]$ 23.Bc8-a6 + Kb5-a4 24.Ba6-b5 + Ka4-b3 25.Bb5-a4 + Kb3-a2 26.Ba4-b3 + Ka2-b3 + Ka2b1 27.Bb3-a2 + Kb1-c1 28.Kf8-g7 g5-g6 !=

IF-TT8-9

Ján Golha Ivan Skoba ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme B1 2nd Place



C+(11+6)pser-h=11Circe Volcanic

Another amazing problem with a fantastic final position: no black piece can move without a white volcanic rebirth that would check its own king!!

Solution:

 $1.\text{Rh4} \times \text{h1}[+\text{wRh1} \rightarrow \text{v}] \quad 2.\text{Ra1} \times \text{b1}[+\text{wSb1} \rightarrow \text{v}] \quad 3.\text{Rb1} \times \text{b2}[+\text{wPb2} \rightarrow \text{v}][+\text{wSb1}] \quad 1.\text{Rb3} \times \text{b2}[+\text{wPb2} \rightarrow \text{v}] \quad 2.\text{Rb2} \times \text{d2}[+\text{wPd2} \rightarrow \text{v}][+\text{wPb2}] \quad + \quad \text{Kf2-e1} \quad 3.\text{Rd2-preserve} \quad 3.\text{Rd2 5.\text{Rc}2 \times d2 [+wPd2 \rightarrow v] [+wPc2]$ $4.\text{Rb}2\times\text{c}2[+\text{wPc}2\rightarrow\text{v}][+\text{wPb}2]$ + Kd3- $Kb4 \times b5[+bPb7] 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v][+wPc2] + Kb5 - c5 9.Kc1 \times c2[+wPc2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Kb1 - a1 9.Rb2 - a2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Ra4 \times a2[+bRa8 \rightarrow v] 10.Bh8 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Ab1 + a1 9.Rb2 + a2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + Ab1 + a2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v] + A$ $10.Rh1 \times b1[+wSb1 \rightarrow v][+wRh1]$ 11.Kc2-c3[+wPc2] Rh1-c1 =

ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11

TT8 - Theme 2 - B2 $(\max 12 \text{ pieces})$

IF-TT8-10

Cornel Pacurar ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme B21st Place



C- (7+4) pser-h!=11Circe Volcanic

Well, yet another gem! Many interesting points, like the necessity for the king to be at c1 before black can capture the bishop on h2, everything working to perfection. Notice that the knight on a8 cannot move in the end because it would uncover the black rook which would check white!

Solution:

 $e2[+wPd2] + Ke1-d1 4.Re2 \times d2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] + Kd1-c1 5.Rd2 \times h2[+wBc1 \rightarrow v][+wPd2]$ $c3 \quad 6.Rd2 \times c2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] [+wPd2] + Kc3-b4 \quad 7.Bh6 \times d2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] + 6.Rh2 \times d2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] + 6.Rh2 \times d2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] + 6.Rh2 \times d2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2] + Kc1-b1[+wBc1] \\ 8.Rc2 \times b2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] \\ 7.Rd2 - c2[+wPd2 \rightarrow$ $Ra2 \times b2[+bBf8]$ 11.b4-b3 Rb2-b1[+wPb2] !=

IF-TT8-11 Ján Golha Ivan Skoba ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme B22nd Place



pser-h=13C+(7+5)Circe Volcanic

Similar to the previous one in category B1, but with just 12 pieces!

Solution:

 $1.\text{Rh4} \times \text{h1}[+\text{wRh1} \rightarrow \text{v}] \quad 2.\text{Rc1} \times c2[+\text{wPc2} \rightarrow \text{v}] \quad 3.\text{Rc2} \times d2[+\text{wPd2} \rightarrow \text{v}][+\text{wPc2}] \quad +$ $Kd3-c3 = 4.Rd2 \times c2[+wPc2 \rightarrow v][+wPd2] + Kc3-b4 = 5.Bf4 \times d2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] + c3-b4 = 0.8f4 \times d2[+wPd2 \rightarrow v] + 0.8f4 \times d2[$ $Kb4 \times b5[+bPb7] 6.Rc2 \times b2[+wPb2 \rightarrow v][+wPc2] + Kb5-c5 7.Kd1 \times c2[+wPc2 \rightarrow v]$ $8.\text{Rh1}\times\text{b1}[+\text{wSb1}\rightarrow\text{v}][+\text{wRh1}]$ 9.Kc2-c3[+wPc2] Rh1-c1 =

TT8 - Theme 3 - C1

corners of the board. The condition is Vertical Mirror Circe. It proved quite hard.

IF-TT8-12

Arno Tüngler ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme C1



 $\operatorname{ser-h}\# 130$ C+(12+11)Vertical Mirror Circe

A fantastic and original matrix. Quite complex in its implementation, and again, there is a very specific order of capture that one must follow or it won't work.

Notice the white pawn on h3, it switches sides 3 times, to finally be part of the last move, amazing!!

Solution:

1.Ka2-a3 6.Ka7-a8 7.Bb8-a7 8.Ka8-b8 14.Kg8-h8 15.Bh7-g8 16.Kh8-h7 $20.Kh4 \times h3[+wPa2]$ 25.Kh7-h8 26.Bg8-h7 27.Kh8-g8 33.Kb8-a8 34.Ba7b8 35.Ka8-a7 40.Ka3×a2[+wPh2] 46.Ka7-a8 47.Bb8-a7 48.Ka8-b8 54.Kg8h8 55.Bh7-g8 56.Kh8-h7 63.Kf2×e1[+wRh1] 71.Kh7-h8 72.Bg8-h7 73.Kh8g8 79.Kb8-a8 80.Ba7-b8 81.Ka8-a7 87.Ka2×a1 94.Ka7-a8 95.Bb8-a7 96.Ka8-b8 102.Kg8-h8 103.Bh7-g8 110.Kg $2 \times f1$ [+wSg1] 112.Kg $2 \times h1$ [+wRa1] This theme is also unusual, for my TTs at least. One's king must visit all four $113.Kh1 \times h2[+wPa2]$ 119.Kh7-h8 120.Bg8-h7 121.Kh8-g8 127.Kb8-a8 128.Ba7 $b8 129.Ka8-a7 130.b4-b3 + a2 \times b3[+bPg7] #$

IF-TT8-13 Ralf Krätschmer

ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme C1 2nd Place



ser-hs# 70 C- (16+7)Vertical Mirror Circe

IF-TT8-14 Alain Biénabe

ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme C1



ser-h# 62 C+ (14+4)Vertical Mirror Circe

Another brilliant and original problem, quite complex. One needs to liberate the Very nice problem where the final position is probably not easy at all to see. b7 pawn, but to do that takes time.

Solution:

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.Ka2\text{-}b1\;13.Kg7\times h8\;14.Kh8\times h7[+wPa2]\;26.Kb1\times a1[+wBf1]\;27.Ka1\times b2[+wPg2]\\ 31.Ke1\times f1[+wBc1]\;\;33.Kg1\times h1[+wSg1]\;\;45.Kb8\times a8\;\;59.Kd1\times c1[+wBf1]\;\;60.Kc1\-b2\;\;61.Kb2\times a3[+wRh1]\;\;63.Kb4\times a5[+wPh2]\;\;64.Ka5\times b6\;\;65.Kb6\text{-}c5\;\;66.b7\text{-}b5\;\;69.b3\times a2\;\;70.a2\text{-}a1=Q\;\&\;1.Qe4\times d4[+bPe7]+\;Qa1\times d4[+wQe1]\;\# \end{array}$

Solution:

TT8 - Theme 3 - C2 (max. 12 pieces)



IF-TT8-15

Ralf Krätschmer

ser-h# 43 C+(10+1)Vertical Mirror Circe

IF-TT8-16 Alain Biénabe ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme C2 2^{nd} Place



ser-h# 32C+(10+2)Vertical Mirror Circe

executed with just 12 pieces or less, which is far from easy!

Solution:

 $7.Kb8 \times a8[+wBc1]$ $22.Kh1 \times g1[+wSb1]$ 1.Kh4-g5 $21.Kg1 \times h1[+wSg1]$ $35.\text{Kg7} \times h8[+\text{wRh1}]$ $27.\text{Kc1} \times \text{b1}[+\text{wSg1}]$ $26.Kd1 \times c1[+wBf1]$ $28.Kb1 \times a1$ 43.Ke1×f1[+wBc1] Sg1-e2 #

A very hard to find problem. The theme of visiting all 4 corners needed to be Very nice again. The white rook on h7 is tossed between a1 and h1 3 times! Maybe that's why I like very much the Vertical Mirror Circe condition, it permits such pendulum ideas.

> Solution: 1.Kf8-e8 $5.Kb8 \times a8[+wSg1]$ $12.\text{Kg8} \times h7[+\text{wRa1}]$ $13.Kh7 \times h8[+wBf1]$ $18.Kd5 \times c5[+wPf2] 21.Kb3 \times b2[+wPg2] 22.Kb2 \times a1[+wRh1] 27.Ke1 \times f1[+wBc1]$ 28.Kf1×g2[+wPb2] 29.Kg2×h1[+wRa1] 32.Kf1-e1 Bc1-d2 #

TT8 - Theme 4 - D1

This theme was a combination of both Take & Make (when a piece captures, it additionally moves to another square from there, according to how the captured $123.Kc1 \times b1-a3[+wSg1]$ 132.Kh2-h1 Sg1-f3 = piece moves) and Vertical Mirror Circe conditions. I was pleasantly surprised by the variety and originality of the problems.

IF-TT8-17

Cornel Pacurar

ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme D1 1st Place



C+(13+5)ser-h=132Take & Make Vertical Mirror Circe

This was another gem from Cornel, really amazing. There is a very specific capture order that must be followed. The underlying reasons can be quite interesting and make good use of the fairy conditions.

Some amusing and efficient use of both conditions together are also seen, like Solution: the capture of a rook, and immediately jumping to its rebirth place, thus truly capturing it! The path of the black king is also quite unusual and interesting, it's an S-like path instead of the more common D.

Solution:

 $1.Kf8 \times f7 - f8[+wPc2]$ $18.Kb1 \times a2-a1$ $36.Kf8 \times g7-g6[+wRh1]$ 51.Kg2×h1- $69.Kf8 \times g8-h7[+wBc1] = 86.Kd1 \times c1-a3[+wBf1] = 106.Kg7 \times h6-g8[+wSb1]$ a1

IF-TT8-18

Ralf Krätschmer

ifaybish.com TT8 2016

Theme D1





ser-hs# 101 C+(15+5)Take & Make Vertical Mirror Circe

And another brilliant problem from Ralf. He is the only one, I think, who worked with the ser-hs stipulations in this TT, with great originality and creativity. The entire idea is fantastic.

1.Kb6-a7	$13.Kh3 \times h2-h3$	$16.Kf2 \times e3-e4[+wPd2]$	$20.Kf2 \times e2-e4$	$27.Kc1 \times b2$ -
b1 45.Kb6	$\times b5-b6[+wPg2]$	$58.Kg3 \times g2-g3[+wPb2]$	$64.Kb1 \times a2-a1$	$82.Ka7 \times a6$ -
a7[+wPh2]	94.Kh3×h2-h3[-	-wPa2] 101.Kb1×a2-a4[-	+wPh2] & 1.d2-	d3 d6-d5 $\#$

IF-TT8-19 Ján Golha Ivan Skoba *ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme D1* 3rd Place



ser-h= 85 C+ (12+5) Take & Make Vertical Mirror Circe

TT8 - Theme 4 - D2 (max 12 pieces)

IF-TT8-20

Cornel Pacurar ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme D2 1st Place





A very nice problem with an interesting final position which works because of the A 12 pieces version of the D1 category entry. Take & Make condition.

Solution:

1.Kh3-g2 18.Kh7×h6-h7[+wPa2] 30.Ka3×a2-a4[+wPh2] 42.Kg6×g5-g6[+wPb2] 61.Kh3×h4-g5[+wBf1] 62.Kg5×h5-g7[+wSg1] 76.Ke1×f1-g2[+wBc1] 77.Kg2-f1 81.Kc2×b2-b3[+wPg2] 82.Kb3-c2 85.Ke1-f1 Bc1-d2 =

Solution:

1.Ka3-a2 15.Kf8×g7-g6[+wRh1] 26.Kg2×h1-a1 40.Kf8×g8-h7[+wBc1] 53.Kd1×c1-a3[+wBf1] 69.Kg7×h6-g8[+wSb1] 82.Kc1×b1-a3[+wSg1] 91.Kh2-h1 Sg1-f3 =

IF-TT8-21 Ján Golha Ivan Skoba ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme D2 2nd Place



ser-h# 63 C+ (11+1) Take & Make Vertical Mirror Circe

A great and creative idea. It would probably be very hard to solve! The final position is anything but trivial, and the entire solution feels like a builder setting up some kind of structure, without outsiders really understanding where he goes with it until the very end..

Solution:

1.Ka5-a6 10.Kh7×h6-h7[+wPa2] 12.Kh6×h5-h6 17.Ke4×d5-e3[+wSg1] 21.Kf1×g1-f3[+wSb1] 31.Kc7×c6-c7[+wPf2] 41.Kf3×f2-f3[+wPc2] 52.Kc6×c5-c6[+wPf2] 63.Kf3-e4 Sb1-d2 #

IF-TT8-22 Paul Răican Cornel Pacurar ifaybish.com TT8 2016 Theme D2 3rd Place



ser-h= 30 C+ (3+1) Take & Make Vertical Mirror Circe

An elegant miniature with just 4 pieces, but plenty of action!

Solution:

1.Kh3-h2 11.Kf7×g8-h6[+wSg1] 13.Kg6×f6-c3[+wBf1] 16.Ke1×f1-d3[+wBc1] 21.Kf1×g1-h3[+wSb1] 22.Kh3-h2 30.Ka2-a1 Sb1-c3 =

Conclusion

All I can say is thank you again to all the participants, and those who followed these TTs. It was an amazing adventure.. I loved it personally, and I hope it was as much fun and interesting to the composers and followers as well.

I do not know if or when I'll organize a new one, nor which form it will take, time will tell. If I do, it will probably be similar to the last one with some tweaks. Then again, maybe not.. :)

All the best, and till next time!!!

LAST PAGE

Paz Einat in Toronto

At the Great October Intercontinental Meeting (at Firkin on Yonge then, on a back cover here), I felt strangely reassured (-The sea was angry that day, my friends.), in a Seinfeld kind of way, just in case someone would inquire: Is anyone here a molecular biologist? Paz in fact came to Canada to pick up old issues of HaProblemai for his archive (in exchange for several Variantims). And, purely incidentally, to bring together all local chess composers, a historical first. Beer, a few books (from Cornel), a cyclic twomover (Paz's), unexpectedly fine weather, an original watercolour (by Elke), and a couple of smokes (before and after) made for a most memorable event. (NB I do not recognize the individual seated across from Paz. Clearly an impostor. (Cornel is MIA altogether. You must consult another cover, Variantim's, Dec. 2016, for him.)) - Adrian



ISSN 2292-8324 ChessProblems.ca Bulletin Issue 11



Adrian Storisteanu and Paz Einat – Toronto, October 12, 2016. Photos by Cornel Pacurar.